IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF NORTH DAKOTA WESTERN DIVISION

Civil Action No. 1:19-cv-00150-DMT-ARS

VIDEOTAPE DEPOSITION OF:
LIEUTENANT GENERAL TODD T. SEMONITE (RET.)
July 26, 2022
(via RemoteDepo)

STATE OF NORTH DAKOTA,

Plaintiff,

v.

THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Defendant.

PURSUANT TO NOTICE, the videotape deposition of LIEUTENANT GENERAL TODD T. SEMONITE (RET.) was taken on behalf of the Plaintiff in Prince William County, Virginia, by remote means, on July 26, 2022, at 8:34 a.m. MDT, before Gail Obermeyer, Registered Professional Reporter and Notary Public within Colorado, appearing remotely from Douglas County, Colorado.

Case 1:19-cv-00150-DMT-ARS Document 405-7 Filed 02/02/24 Page 2 of 45 Lieutenant General Todd T. Semonite (Ret.) July 26, 2022

1	ремоте	E APPEARANCES	Page 2	1		I N D E X (Continued)	Page
2	For the Plaintiff:	E APPEARANCES		2		INDEX (Continued)	INITIAL
3	PAUL M. SEBY, E	ESO.		~	DEPOSITION E	XHIBITS: (Previously marked)	REFERENCE
	PAUL B. KERLIN,			3			
4	Greenberg Traur	rig, LLP			Exhibit 26	Email to Archambault from	154
	1144 15th Stree	et, Suite 3300		4		Henderson, 9/16/16, Subject: FW: Press Release	
5	Denver, Colorad	do 80202		5		(UNCLASSIFIED), Bates Nos.	
_	Email: sebyp@g					USACE_00003114 - USACE_00003115	
6	kerling	p@gtlaw.com		6			
7	For the Defendant:				Exhibit 420	(Confidential document)	149
8	ror the berendant.			7		Bates Nos. ARMY_0011059 - ARMY 00110567	
	ERICA ZILIOLI,	ESQ.		8		Indii_00110307	
9	TIMOTHY B. JAFE	EK, ESQ.			Exhibit 429	(Confidential document)	163
	United States A	Attorney's Office/		9		Bates Nos. ARMY_00110568 -	
10	District of C			10		ARMY_00110570	
		a Street, Suite 1600		10	Exhibit 494	Email to SRJ2@ios.doi.gov from	142
11	Denver, Colorad			11		U.S. Department of the Interior,	
12		m.zilioli@usace.army.m	nıl			9/9/16, Subject: Joint	
L2 L3	CIMOCHY	y.jafek@usdoj.gov		12		Statement from the Department of	
13	Also Present (Remotely):			13		Justice, the Department of the Army and the Department of the	
L4	(13		Interior regarding Standing Rock	
	Michael Banks,	Remote Video Technic	ian	14		Sioux Tribe v. U.S. Army Corps	
15	Rachel Hymel					of Engineers, Bates Nos.	
L 6				15		DOI_00000001 - DOI_00000002	
17				16			
8				18			
L9 20				19			
21				20			
22				21			
23				23			
24				24			
25				25			
			Dago 3				Dago
1	I	NDEX	Page 3	1		WHEREIDON the following proceed	
	I EXAMINATION OF LIEUTENANT		Page 3	1	+ -	WHEREUPON, the following proceed	lings
2	EXAMINATION OF LIEUTENANT TODD T. SEMONITE (RET.):		_		-	WHEREUPON, the following proceed	lings
2	EXAMINATION OF LIEUTENANT TODD T. SEMONITE (RET.): July 26, 2022		PAGE		were taken p		lings
2	EXAMINATION OF LIEUTENANT TODD T. SEMONITE (RET.):		_	2	-		lings
2 3 4	EXAMINATION OF LIEUTENANT TODD T. SEMONITE (RET.): July 26, 2022 By Mr. Seby		PAGE 7	2	-	ursuant to the Federal Rules of C	lings 'ivil
2 3 4 5 6	EXAMINATION OF LIEUTENANT TODD T. SEMONITE (RET.): July 26, 2022 By Mr. Seby By Ms. Zilioli		PAGE 7 INITIAL	2 3 4 5	Procedure.	wrsuant to the Federal Rules of C * * * * * THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We are now on	lings livil
2 3 4 5 6	EXAMINATION OF LIEUTENANT TODD T. SEMONITE (RET.): July 26, 2022 By Mr. Seby By Ms. Zilioli DEPOSITION EXHIBITS:	Γ GENERAL	PAGE 7 	2 3 4 5	Procedure.	wrsuant to the Federal Rules of C * * * * * THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We are now on ticipants should be aware that the	lings Livil the
2 3 4 5 6	EXAMINATION OF LIEUTENANT TODD T. SEMONITE (RET.): July 26, 2022 By Mr. Seby By Ms. Zilioli DEPOSITION EXHIBITS: (Exhibits provided electr	Γ GENERAL	PAGE 7 INITIAL	2 3 4 5	Procedure.	wrsuant to the Federal Rules of C * * * * * THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We are now on	lings Livil the
2 3 4 5 6	EXAMINATION OF LIEUTENANT TODD T. SEMONITE (RET.): July 26, 2022 By Mr. Seby By Ms. Zilioli DEPOSITION EXHIBITS:	Γ GENERAL	PAGE 7 INITIAL	2 3 4 5	Procedure. record. Par proceeding i	wrsuant to the Federal Rules of C * * * * * THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We are now on ticipants should be aware that the	lings Livil the his
2 3 4 5 6	EXAMINATION OF LIEUTENANT TODD T. SEMONITE (RET.): July 26, 2022 By Mr. Seby By Ms. Zilioli DEPOSITION EXHIBITS: (Exhibits provided electr	r GENERAL	PAGE 7 INITIAL	2 3 4 5 6 7	Procedure. record. Par proceeding i conversation	* * * * * * THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We are now on ticipants should be aware that the seing recorded, and as such, also held will be recorded, unless to	lings Livil the his here is a
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	EXAMINATION OF LIEUTENANT TODD T. SEMONITE (RET.): July 26, 2022 By Mr. Seby By Ms. Zilioli DEPOSITION EXHIBITS: (Exhibits provided electroporter.) Exhibit 684 (Confidential Bates Nos. Confidential Bates Nos. Confidentia	r GENERAL ronically to the al document) JSACE_00044967 -	PAGE 7 INITIAL REFERENCE	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Procedure. record. Par proceeding i conversation request and	* * * * * * THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We are now on ticipants should be aware that the seing recorded, and as such, also held will be recorded, unless tagreement to go off the record.	lings Tivil The Tis The
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	EXAMINATION OF LIEUTENANT TODD T. SEMONITE (RET.): July 26, 2022 By Mr. Seby By Ms. Zilioli DEPOSITION EXHIBITS: (Exhibits provided electroporter.) Exhibit 684 (Confidential)	r GENERAL ronically to the al document) JSACE_00044967 -	PAGE 7 INITIAL REFERENCE	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Procedure. record. Par proceeding i conversation request and conversation	* * * * * * THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We are now on ticipants should be aware that the seing recorded, and as such, alse held will be recorded, unless the agreement to go off the record. Is and/or attorney-client interact.	tings tivil the tis there is a Private
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	EXAMINATION OF LIEUTENANT TODD T. SEMONITE (RET.): July 26, 2022 By Mr. Seby By Ms. Zilioli DEPOSITION EXHIBITS: (Exhibits provided electroporter.) Exhibit 684 (Confidentian Bates Nos. UNACE_000448)	ronically to the al document) JSACE_00044967 -	PAGE 7 INITIAL REFERENCE	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Procedure. record. Par proceeding i conversation request and conversation	* * * * * * THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We are now on ticipants should be aware that the seing recorded, and as such, also held will be recorded, unless tagreement to go off the record.	tings tivil the tis there is a Private
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	EXAMINATION OF LIEUTENANT TODD T. SEMONITE (RET.): July 26, 2022 By Mr. Seby By Ms. Zilioli DEPOSITION EXHIBITS: (Exhibits provided electr reporter.) Exhibit 684 (Confidentia Bates Nos. U USACE_000445 Exhibit 686 (Confidentia	ronically to the al document) JSACE_00044967 -	PAGE 7 INITIAL REFERENCE	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Procedure. record. Par proceeding i conversation request and conversation	* * * * * * THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We are now on ticipants should be aware that the seing recorded, and as such, alse held will be recorded, unless the agreement to go off the record. Is and/or attorney-client interact.	tings tivil the tis there is a Private
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	EXAMINATION OF LIEUTENANT TODD T. SEMONITE (RET.): July 26, 2022 By Mr. Seby By Ms. Zilioli DEPOSITION EXHIBITS: (Exhibits provided electr reporter.) Exhibit 684 (Confidentia Bates Nos. U USACE_000445 Exhibit 686 (Confidentia	ronically to the al document) USACE_00044967 - 968 al document) USACE_00002482 -	PAGE 7 INITIAL REFERENCE	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	record. Par proceeding i conversation request and conversation should be here.	* * * * * * THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We are now on ticipants should be aware that the seing recorded, and as such, also held will be recorded, unless the agreement to go off the record. It is and/or attorney-client interacted outside the presence of the record.	tings tivil the tis l there is a Private tions
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	EXAMINATION OF LIEUTENANT TODD T. SEMONITE (RET.): July 26, 2022 By Mr. Seby By Ms. Zilioli DEPOSITION EXHIBITS: (Exhibits provided electroporter.) Exhibit 684 (Confidential Bates Nos. UNACE_000449 Exhibit 686 (Confidential Bates Nos. UNACE_0000449	ronically to the al document) USACE_00044967 - 968 al document) USACE_00002482 - 484	PAGE 7 INITIAL REFERENCE 111	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Procedure. record. Par proceeding i conversation request and conversation should be he interface.	* * * * * * THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We are now on ticipants should be aware that the speing recorded, and as such, also held will be recorded, unless the agreement to go off the record. It is and/or attorney-client interacted outside the presence of the recorded that is the remote video-recorded.	tings tivil the tis l there is a Private tions mote
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 110 111	EXAMINATION OF LIEUTENANT TODD T. SEMONITE (RET.): July 26, 2022 By Mr. Seby By Ms. Zilioli DEPOSITION EXHIBITS: (Exhibits provided electroporter.) Exhibit 684 (Confidential Bates Nos. UNACE_0000445 Exhibit 686 (Confidential Bates Nos. UNACE_0000244 Exhibit 688 (Confidential Bates Nos. UNACE_00002445)	ronically to the al document) USACE_00044967 - 968 al document) USACE_00002482 - 484 al document)	PAGE 7 INITIAL REFERENCE	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Procedure. record. Par proceeding i conversation request and conversation should be he interface.	* * * * * * THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We are now on ticipants should be aware that the seing recorded, and as such, all is held will be recorded, unless tagreement to go off the record. It is and/or attorney-client interacted outside the presence of the recorded outside the presence of the recorded of General Todd Semonite, being taget.	tings tivil the tis l there is a Private tions mote d ken by
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 110 111 112 113	EXAMINATION OF LIEUTENANT TODD T. SEMONITE (RET.): July 26, 2022 By Mr. Seby By Ms. Zilioli DEPOSITION EXHIBITS: (Exhibits provided electroporter.) Exhibit 684 (Confidential Bates Nos. UNACE_000044 Exhibit 686 (Confidential Bates Nos. UNACE_000024 Exhibit 688 (Confidential Bates Nos. UNACE_000024	ronically to the al document) JSACE_00044967 - 968 al document) JSACE_00002482 - 484 al document) SACE_00049705	PAGE 7 INITIAL REFERENCE 111	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Procedure. record. Par proceeding i conversation request and conversation should be he interface.	* * * * * * THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We are now on ticipants should be aware that the speing recorded, and as such, also held will be recorded, unless the agreement to go off the record. It is and/or attorney-client interacted outside the presence of the recorded that is the remote video-recorded.	tings tivil the tis l there is a Private tions mote d ken by
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 L0 L1 L2 L3	EXAMINATION OF LIEUTENANT TODD T. SEMONITE (RET.): July 26, 2022 By Mr. Seby By Ms. Zilioli DEPOSITION EXHIBITS: (Exhibits provided electrreporter.) Exhibit 684 (Confidential Bates Nos. UUSACE_000044 Exhibit 686 (Confidential Bates Nos. UUSACE_000024 Exhibit 688 (Confidential Bates Nos. UUSACE_000024 Exhibit 688 (Confidential Bates No. USExhibit 689 (Confidential Bates No. USExhibit 689) (Confidential Bates No. USExhibit 689)	ronically to the al document) JSACE_00044967 - 968 al document) JSACE_00002482 - 484 al document) SACE_00049705	PAGE 7 INITIAL REFERENCE 111 115	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	record. Par proceeding is conversation request and conversation should be he interface.	* * * * * * THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We are now on ticipants should be aware that the seing recorded, and as such, all is held will be recorded, unless tagreement to go off the record. It is and/or attorney-client interacted outside the presence of the recorded outside the presence of the recorded of General Todd Semonite, being taget.	tings tivil the tis l there is a Private tions mote d ken by July 26,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 L0 L1 L2 L3 L4 L5	EXAMINATION OF LIEUTENANT TODD T. SEMONITE (RET.): July 26, 2022 By Mr. Seby By Ms. Zilioli DEPOSITION EXHIBITS: (Exhibits provided electrreporter.) Exhibit 684 (Confidential Bates Nos. UUSACE_000044 Exhibit 686 (Confidential Bates Nos. UUSACE_000024 Exhibit 688 (Confidential Bates Nos. UUSACE_000024 Exhibit 688 (Confidential Bates No. USExhibit 689 (Confidential Bates No. USExhibit 689) (Confidential Bates No. USExhibit 689)	ronically to the al document) USACE_00044967 - 968 al document) USACE_00002482 - 484 al document) SACE_00049705 al document) USACE_00026566 -	PAGE 7 INITIAL REFERENCE 111 115	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Procedure. record. Par proceeding is conversation request and conversation should be he interface. deposition of counsel for 2022. The topological records are the counsel for the counsel f	* * * * * * THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We are now or ticipants should be aware that the speing recorded, and as such, also held will be recorded, unless the agreement to go off the record. It is and/or attorney-client interacted outside the presence of the record outside the presence of the record of General Todd Semonite, being that the plaintiff. Today is Tuesday, time is now 2:34 p.m. UTC, 8:34 a.	tings divil dithe dis dhere is a Private dions mote d ken by July 26, m.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	EXAMINATION OF LIEUTENANT TODD T. SEMONITE (RET.): July 26, 2022 By Mr. Seby By Ms. Zilioli DEPOSITION EXHIBITS: (Exhibits provided electroporter.) Exhibit 684 (Confidential Bates Nos. UNACE_000449 Exhibit 686 (Confidential Bates Nos. UNACE_00024 Exhibit 688 (Confidential Bates Nos. UNACE_00024 Exhibit 689 (Confidential Bates Nos. UNACE_00026 Exhibit 689 Exhibit 689 Email to Ted	ronically to the al document) USACE_00044967 - 968 al document) USACE_00002482 - 484 al document) SACE_00049705 al document) USACE_00026566 - 669 deschi from Turner,	PAGE 7 INITIAL REFERENCE 111 115	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Procedure. record. Par proceeding is conversation request and conversation should be he interface. deposition of counsel for 2022. The to Mountain.	* * * * * * THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We are now on ticipants should be aware that the seing recorded, and as such, also held will be recorded, unless the agreement to go off the record. It is and/or attorney-client interacted outside the presence of the recorded of General Todd Semonite, being that the plaintiff. Today is Tuesday, time is now 2:34 p.m. UTC, 8:34 a. We are here in the matter of States.	tings Tivil The the tis I there is a Private Tions Tio
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 .0 .1 .2 .3 .4 .5 .6 .7	EXAMINATION OF LIEUTENANT TODD T. SEMONITE (RET.): July 26, 2022 By Mr. Seby By Ms. Zilioli DEPOSITION EXHIBITS: (Exhibits provided electroporter.) Exhibit 684 (Confidential Bates Nos. UNACE_0000445 Exhibit 686 (Confidential Bates Nos. UNACE_0000245 Exhibit 689 (Confidential Bates Nos. UNACE_0000265 Exhibit 689 (Confidential Bates Nos. UNACE_000265 Exhibit 689 (Exhibit 689 (Confidential Bates Nos. UNACE_000265 Exhibit 690 Email to Ted 9/8/16, Subj	ronically to the al document) USACE_00044967 - 968 al document) USACE_00002482 - 484 al document) SACE_00049705 al document) USACE_00026566 - 569 deschi from Turner, ject: FW:	PAGE 7 INITIAL REFERENCE 111 115 124 126 129	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Procedure. record. Par proceeding is conversation request and conversation should be he interface. deposition of counsel for 2022. The to Mountain.	* * * * * * THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We are now on ticipants should be aware that the seing recorded, and as such, also held will be recorded, unless the agreement to go off the record. It is and/or attorney-client interacted outside the presence of the result of General Todd Semonite, being the plaintiff. Today is Tuesday, time is now 2:34 p.m. UTC, 8:34 a. The United States of America.	tings tivil the tis l there is a Private tions mote d ken by July 26, m.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	EXAMINATION OF LIEUTENANT TODD T. SEMONITE (RET.): July 26, 2022 By Mr. Seby By Ms. Zilioli DEPOSITION EXHIBITS: (Exhibits provided electroporter.) Exhibit 684 (Confidential Bates Nos. UNACE_000449 Exhibit 686 (Confidential Bates Nos. UNACE_0000269 Exhibit 689 (Confidential Bates Nos. UNACE_000269 Exhibit 689 (Confidential Bates Nos. UNACE_000269 Exhibit 689 (Confidential Bates Nos. UNACE_000269 Exhibit 689 (Exhibit 689 (Confidential Bates Nos. UNACE_000269) Exhibit 689 (Exhibit 689 (Confidential Bates Nos. UNACE_000269) Exhibit 689 (Exhibit 689 (Confidential Bates Nos. UNACE_000269) Exhibit 689 (Confidential Bates Nos. UNACE_000269)	ronically to the al document) JSACE_00044967 - 968 al document) USACE_00002482 - 484 al document) SACE_00049705 al document) USACE_00026566 - 569 deschi from Turner, ject: FW: E TASKER - LAYDOWN OF	PAGE 7 INITIAL REFERENCE 111 115 124 126 129	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Procedure. record. Par proceeding is conversation request and conversation should be he interface. deposition of counsel for 2022. The to Mountain.	* * * * * * THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We are now on ticipants should be aware that the seing recorded, and as such, also held will be recorded, unless the agreement to go off the record. It is and/or attorney-client interacted outside the presence of the recorded of General Todd Semonite, being that the plaintiff. Today is Tuesday, time is now 2:34 p.m. UTC, 8:34 a. We are here in the matter of States.	tings tivil the tis l there is a Private tions mote d ken by July 26, m.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 L0 L1 L2 L3 L4 L5 L6 L7	EXAMINATION OF LIEUTENANT TODD T. SEMONITE (RET.): July 26, 2022 By Mr. Seby By Ms. Zilioli DEPOSITION EXHIBITS: (Exhibits provided electroporter.) Exhibit 684 (Confidential Bates Nos. UNACE_000449 Exhibit 686 (Confidential Bates Nos. UNACE_0000246 Exhibit 689 (Confidential Bates Nos. UNACE_000266 Exhibit 690 Email to Tede 9/8/16, Subj LTG SEMONITE PROTEST SITE	ronically to the al document) USACE_00044967 - 968 al document) USACE_00002482 - 484 al document) SACE_00049705 al document) USACE_00026566 - 569 deschi from Turner, ject: FW:	PAGE 7 INITIAL REFERENCE 111 115 124 126 129	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Procedure. record. Par proceeding is conversation request and conversation should be he interface. deposition of counsel for 2022. The to Mountain. We be a pakota versus	* * * * * * THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We are now on ticipants should be aware that the seing recorded, and as such, also held will be recorded, unless tagreement to go off the record. It is and/or attorney-client interacted outside the presence of the recorded for General Todd Semonite, being that the plaintiff. Today is Tuesday, time is now 2:34 p.m. UTC, 8:34 a. We are here in the matter of States is The United States of America. My name is Michael Banks, remotes	tings divil dithe dis dis l here is a Private dions emote d ken by July 26, m. do North de video
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	EXAMINATION OF LIEUTENANT TODD T. SEMONITE (RET.): July 26, 2022 By Mr. Seby By Ms. Zilioli DEPOSITION EXHIBITS: (Exhibits provided electroporter.) Exhibit 684 (Confidential Bates Nos. UNSACE_000445 Exhibit 686 (Confidential Bates Nos. UNSACE_000024 Exhibit 688 (Confidential Bates Nos. UNSACE_000024 Exhibit 689 (Confidential Bates Nos. UNSACE_000256 Exhibit 689 (Confidential Bates Nos. UNSACE_000256 Exhibit 689 (Confidential Bates Nos. UNSACE_000256 Exhibit 689 (Exhibit 689	ronically to the al document) JSACE_00044967 - 968 al document) JSACE_00002482 - 484 al document) SACE_00049705 al document) JSACE_00026566 - 669 deschi from Turner, ject: FW: E TASKER - LAYDOWN OF E - 8 SEP 2016 MRD	PAGE 7 INITIAL REFERENCE 111 115 124 126 129	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	record. Par proceeding is conversation request and conversation should be he interface. deposition of counsel for 2022. The the Mountain. We Dakota versus technician of the counsel for the counsel for 2022 the theorem.	* * * * * * THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We are now or ticipants should be aware that the speing recorded, and as such, also held will be recorded, unless the agreement to go off the record. It is and/or attorney-client interacted outside the presence of the recorded of General Todd Semonite, being the plaintiff. Today is Tuesday, the plaintiff. Today is Tuesday, the plaintiff. Today is Tuesday, the are here in the matter of States as The United States of America. My name is Michael Banks, remote on behalf of U.S. Legal Support.	tings divil the dis l there is a Private dions mote d ken by July 26, m. e of North
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	EXAMINATION OF LIEUTENANT TODD T. SEMONITE (RET.): July 26, 2022 By Mr. Seby By Ms. Zilioli DEPOSITION EXHIBITS: (Exhibits provided electroporter.) Exhibit 684 (Confidential Bates Nos. UNACE_000449 Exhibit 686 (Confidential Bates Nos. UNACE_00024 Exhibit 688 (Confidential Bates Nos. UNACE_00024 Exhibit 689 (Confidential Bates Nos. UNACE_000265 Exhibit 689 Email to Tectory (Samuel Confidential Bates Nos. UNACE_000265 Exhibit 690 Email to Tectory (Samuel Confidential Bates Nos. UNACE_000272 Exhibit 690 Email to Tectory (Samuel Confidential Bates Nos. UNACE_000272 Exhibit 690 Email to Tectory (Samuel Confidential Bates Nos. UNACE_000272 Exhibit 690 Email to Tectory (Samuel Confidential Bates Nos. UNACE_000272	ronically to the al document) USACE_00044967 - 968 al document) USACE_00002482 - 484 al document) USACE_00049705 al document) USACE_00026566 - 569 deschi from Turner, ject: FW: E TASKER - LAYDOWN OF E - 8 SEP 2016 MRD DOTT (UNCLASSIFIED) USACE_00027169 - 207	PAGE 7 INITIAL REFERENCE 111 115 124 126 129	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Procedure. record. Par proceeding is conversation request and conversation should be he interface. deposition of counsel for 2022. The tomountain. We be a part of the counsel for related to a second related to a second related to a second record record.	* * * * * * THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We are now on ticipants should be aware that the seing recorded, and as such, all is held will be recorded, unless the agreement to go off the record. It is and/or attorney-client interacted outside the presence of the recorded of General Todd Semonite, being that the plaintiff. Today is Tuesday, time is now 2:34 p.m. UTC, 8:34 a. We are here in the matter of State is The United States of America. My name is Michael Banks, remote on behalf of U.S. Legal Support. My party in this action, nor am I	tings tivil the tis the tis there is a Private tions mote d ken by July 26, m. e of North video I am not
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	EXAMINATION OF LIEUTENANT TODD T. SEMONITE (RET.): July 26, 2022 By Mr. Seby By Ms. Zilioli DEPOSITION EXHIBITS: (Exhibits provided electroporter.) Exhibit 684 (Confidential Bates Nos. UNACE_000024 Exhibit 686 (Confidential Bates Nos. UNACE_00024 Exhibit 688 (Confidential Bates Nos. UNACE_00026 Exhibit 689 (Confidential Bates Nos. UNACE_00026 Exhibit 690 Email to Ted 9/8/16, Subjut LTG SEMONITE PROTEST SITE Morning Report Bates Nos. UNACE_000272 Exhibit 694 (Confidential Bates Nos. UNACE_000272 Exhibit 694 (Confidential Bates Nos. UNACE_000272 Exhibit 694 (Confidential Bates Nos. UNACE_000272	ronically to the al document) USACE_00044967 - 968 al document) USACE_00002482 - 484 al document) SACE_00049705 al document) USACE_00026566 - 569 deschi from Turner, ject: FW: E TASKER - LAYDOWN OF E TASKER - LAYDOWN OF DOT (UNCLASSIFIED) USACE_00027169 - 207 al document)	PAGE 7 INITIAL REFERENCE 111 115 124 126 129	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Procedure. record. Par proceeding is conversation request and conversation should be he interface. deposition of counsel for 2022. The tomountain. We be a part of the counsel for related to a second related to a second related to a second record record.	* * * * * * THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We are now or ticipants should be aware that the speing recorded, and as such, also held will be recorded, unless the agreement to go off the record. It is and/or attorney-client interacted outside the presence of the recorded of General Todd Semonite, being the plaintiff. Today is Tuesday, the plaintiff. Today is Tuesday, the plaintiff. Today is Tuesday, the are here in the matter of States as The United States of America. My name is Michael Banks, remote on behalf of U.S. Legal Support.	tings tivil the tis the tis there is a Private tions mote d ken by July 26, m. e of North video I am not
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	EXAMINATION OF LIEUTENANT TODD T. SEMONITE (RET.): July 26, 2022 By Mr. Seby By Ms. Zilioli DEPOSITION EXHIBITS: (Exhibits provided electroporter.) Exhibit 684 (Confidential Bates Nos. UNACE_000449 Exhibit 686 (Confidential Bates Nos. UNACE_000269 Exhibit 689 (Confidential Bates Nos. UNACE_000269 Exhibit 689 (Confidential Bates Nos. UNACE_000269 Exhibit 689 (Confidential Bates Nos. UNACE_000269 Exhibit 690 Email to Ted 9/8/16, Subjuct LTG SEMONITE PROTEST SITE Morning Repute Bates Nos. UNACE_000272 Exhibit 694 (Confidential Bates Nos. Exhibit 695 (Conf	ronically to the al document) JSACE_00044967 - 268 al document) JSACE_00002482 - 484 al document) JSACE_00049705 al document) JSACE_00026566 - 569 deschi from Turner, ject: FW: E TASKER - LAYDOWN OF E - 8 SEP 2016 MRD ort (UNCLASSIFIED) JSACE_00027169 - 207 al document) ARMY_00078874 -	PAGE 7 INITIAL REFERENCE 111 115 124 126 129	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Procedure. record. Par proceeding is conversation request and conversation should be he interface. deposition of counsel for 2022. The to Mountain. We Dakota versus technician of related to a financially	* * * * * * THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We are now on ticipants should be aware that the seing recorded, and as such, all is held will be recorded, unless the agreement to go off the record. It is and/or attorney-client interacted outside the presence of the recorded of General Todd Semonite, being that the plaintiff. Today is Tuesday, time is now 2:34 p.m. UTC, 8:34 a. We are here in the matter of State is The United States of America. My name is Michael Banks, remote on behalf of U.S. Legal Support. My party in this action, nor am I	tings tivil the tis the tis l there is a Private tions mote d ken by July 26, m. e of North e video I am not tis time
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	EXAMINATION OF LIEUTENANT TODD T. SEMONITE (RET.): July 26, 2022 By Mr. Seby By Ms. Zilioli DEPOSITION EXHIBITS: (Exhibits provided electroporter.) Exhibit 684 (Confidential Bates Nos. UNACE_000024 Exhibit 686 (Confidential Bates Nos. UNACE_00024 Exhibit 688 (Confidential Bates Nos. UNACE_00026 Exhibit 689 (Confidential Bates Nos. UNACE_00026 Exhibit 690 Email to Ted 9/8/16, Subjut LTG SEMONITE PROTEST SITE Morning Report Bates Nos. UNACE_000272 Exhibit 694 (Confidential Bates Nos. UNACE_000272 Exhibit 694 (Confidential Bates Nos. UNACE_000272 Exhibit 694 (Confidential Bates Nos. UNACE_000272	ronically to the al document) JSACE_00044967 - 268 al document) JSACE_00002482 - 484 al document) JSACE_00049705 al document) JSACE_00026566 - 569 deschi from Turner, ject: FW: E TASKER - LAYDOWN OF E - 8 SEP 2016 MRD ort (UNCLASSIFIED) JSACE_00027169 - 207 al document) ARMY_00078874 -	PAGE 7 INITIAL REFERENCE 111 115 124 126 129	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	record. Par procedure. record. Par proceeding is conversation request and conversation should be he interface. deposition of counsel for 2022. The to Mountain. We be be be be be because the counsel for a counsel for a counsel for a counsel for the counsel for a counsel for the counsel for a co	* * * * * * THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We are now on ticipants should be aware that the seing recorded, and as such, also held will be recorded, unless tagreement to go off the record. It is and/or attorney-client interacted outside the presence of the recorded of General Todd Semonite, being taken the plaintiff. Today is Tuesday, time is now 2:34 p.m. UTC, 8:34 a. The United States of America. My name is Michael Banks, remote on behalf of U.S. Legal Support. Interested in the outcome. At the interested in the outcome. At the	tivil the tis the tis there is a Private tions mote d ken by July 26, m. of North video I am not tis time of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	EXAMINATION OF LIEUTENANT TODD T. SEMONITE (RET.): July 26, 2022 By Mr. Seby By Ms. Zilioli DEPOSITION EXHIBITS: (Exhibits provided electroporter.) Exhibit 684 (Confidential Bates Nos. UNACE_000449 Exhibit 686 (Confidential Bates Nos. UNACE_000269 Exhibit 689 (Confidential Bates Nos. UNACE_000269 Exhibit 689 (Confidential Bates Nos. UNACE_000269 Exhibit 689 (Confidential Bates Nos. UNACE_000269 Exhibit 690 Email to Ted 9/8/16, Subjuct LTG SEMONITE PROTEST SITE Morning Repute Bates Nos. UNACE_000272 Exhibit 694 (Confidential Bates Nos. Exhibit 695 (Conf	ronically to the al document) JSACE_00044967 - 268 al document) JSACE_00002482 - 484 al document) JSACE_00049705 al document) JSACE_00026566 - 569 deschi from Turner, ject: FW: E TASKER - LAYDOWN OF E - 8 SEP 2016 MRD ort (UNCLASSIFIED) JSACE_00027169 - 207 al document) ARMY_00078874 -	PAGE 7 INITIAL REFERENCE 111 115 124 126 129	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	record. Par procedure. record. Par proceeding is conversation request and conversation should be he interface. deposition of counsel for 2022. The the Mountain. We be be be becaused to a financially will the repulse. Legal St.	* * * * * * THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We are now on ticipants should be aware that the seing recorded, and as such, all is held will be recorded, unless tagreement to go off the record. It is and/or attorney-client interacted outside the presence of the recorded for General Todd Semonite, being that the plaintiff. Today is Tuesday, time is now 2:34 p.m. UTC, 8:34 a. We are here in the matter of States is The United States of America. My name is Michael Banks, remote on behalf of U.S. Legal Support. Interested in the outcome. At the corter, Gail Obermeyer, on behalf	tings divil dithe dis divil diservite dis diservite dise

```
Page 6
                THE REPORTER: The attorneys participating
                                                             1 I'd like to go over a few just basic ground rules for
2 in this deposition acknowledge that I am not physically
                                                             2 the deposition, most of which are simply intended to
3 present in the deposition room and that I will be
                                                             3 help the court reporter take down everything we say.
4 reporting this deposition remotely. They further
                                                             4 Everything we say today is being written down and
 5 acknowledge that, in lieu of an oath administered in
                                                             5 videotaped. And because of that, if you'd please
 6 person, the witness will verbally declare his testimony
                                                             6 verbalize your responses with a yes or no or other
 7 in this matter is under penalty of perjury. The
                                                                manner of answer, and not nodding your head, one way or
8 parties and their counsel consent to this arrangement
                                                               the other, so that's a nonverbal communication.
9 and waive any objections to this manner of reporting.
                                                                             Likewise, it's difficult for the court
10 Please indicate your agreement by stating your name and
                                                            10 reporter to take down what we say -- are saying if we
11 your agreement on the record.
                                                            11 happen to inadvertently talk over each other. So I'll
12
                MR. SEBY: This is Paul Seby, counsel for
                                                            12 do my best not to interrupt you; if you would do the
                                                            13 same, if that's acceptable. And if you need a break,
13 the plaintiff, State of North Dakota, and we agree.
                MS. ZILIOLI: This is Erica Zilioli,
                                                                please just let me know. Otherwise, I suggest we take
14
15 counsel for the defendant, United States, and we agree.
                                                               a short break every hour or so. If you do not
                THE REPORTER: And, General Semonite, do
                                                                understand a question that I've posed, please just let
16
17 you declare your testimony in this matter is under
                                                                me know, and I'll -- and ask me to repeat it or
                                                                rephrase it, and I'll do my best to clarify what I'm
18
   penalty of perjury?
19
                                                                trying to ask you. Okay?
                THE DEPONENT: I understand and I agree.
20
                THE REPORTER: Thank you.
                                                            20
                                                                             Sounds good.
21
                MR. SEBY: You ready?
                                                            21
                                                                            And if you answer a question I've asked,
22
                THE REPORTER: Yes.
                                                            22 I'm going to assume that you've understood the question
23
                                                            23 that I am asking. Is that understood?
24
                                                            24
                                                                        A. Understood.
25
                                                            25
                                                                        Q. Okay. General, is there anyone with
                                                   Page 7
                                                                                                               Page 9
        LIEUTENANT GENERAL TODD T. SEMONITE (RET.),
                                                             1 the room -- in the room with you this morning?
                                                                        A. Erica is here.
 2 having verbally declared his testimony in this matter
3 is under penalty of perjury, testified as follows:
                                                                            Okay. And are you relying upon any
                         EXAMINATION
                                                             4 documents in front of you during the deposition today
4
                                                                to answer any questions or refresh your recollection on
 5 BY MR. SEBY:
                                                               anything?
 6
           Q. Okay. Good morning, Mr. Semonite. May I
7 refer to you as -- as Mr. or General, sir?
                                                                            No documents.
                                                                             Okay. And you understand, sir, that
 8
           A. General is probably great, sir.
                That's -- that's fine. I'm happy to do
                                                             9 you're obligated by oath to tell the truth this
10 that. Good morning, General Semonite. I -- my name is
                                                            10 morning?
11 Paul Seby. I'm an attorney with the law firm of
                                                                        A. I do.
12 Greenberg Traurig and also a Special Assistant Attorney
                                                                            Okay. General, what did you do to prepare
13 General for the State of North Dakota, and we represent
                                                            13 for your deposition today?
14 the State in this proceeding. My co-counsel, Paul
                                                                             So I've never been deposed, so Erica asked
15 Kerlin, is on the Zoom with us today. And today, we'll
                                                            15 me to come in to help me understand the process of a
16 refer to our client collectively as "North Dakota" or
                                                            16 deposition.
17 the "State." Do you understand, sir, that you have
                                                            17
                                                                            And you met with her to do that?
18 just been sworn in this morning?
                                                            18
                                                                             I did, in person. I did, twice.
19
                                                            19
                                                                             Did you meet with anyone else, with or
           Α.
               I do.
                                                                        ٥.
20
                Would you please state your full name for
                                                            20 without Erica?
           Q.
21 the record.
                                                            21
                                                                            There was Tim, who's on the -- on the
22
                Todd Thurston Semonite.
                                                            22 video teleconference. He and one of his counterparts
                And the middle name was Thurston?
                                                            23 were on the -- on virtual.
24
                Thurston.
                                                                        Q. Yeah. Okay. When did you meet, sir, with
25
                Got it. Thank you. Before we begin, sir,
                                                            25 your counsel?
```

```
July 26, 2022
                                                 Page 10
                                                                                                            Page 12
           A. Last week was one session. I think the
                                                            1 take -- I did not have copies of those documents.
2 one before that was two weeks ago, it might have been
                                                                       Q. Okay. Well, what happened? They were
3 three weeks ago. But both of these probably happened
                                                            3 projected on the Zoom?
4 in the last two or three weeks, two times.
                                                                       A.
                                                                           Right.
           Q. How long did you meet on each occasion?
                                                                       Q.
                                                                            Sure.
           A. I think anywhere from two to three hours.
                                                                           They were projected up on the screen.
                                                                       Α.
7 The first one might have been three hours. The second
                                                                           Okay. Did you do any research on your own
8 one was perhaps a little shorter.
                                                               about the issues in this case?
           Q. Okay. Did you talk to anyone else, other
                                                                           I did not, and I was specifically
10 than your counsel, in preparation for your deposition
                                                           10 instructed to not go into a lot of detail.
11 today?
                                                                           Yeah. Okay. Did you refer to any notes
                                                           12 from the past that you may have maintained with respect
12
           A. I don't believe I did, other than my wife
                                                           13 to your involvement in the DAPL-related protests in the
13 and maybe somebody just in my office that knows that
14 I'm out of the net all day today.
                                                           14 state of North Dakota in 2016 and '17?
               Sure. Are you aware that North Dakota has
                                                                       A. I did nothing to research my notes.
16 taken the sworn depositions of Major Startzell; former
                                                           16
                                                                       Q. Okay. All right. General, are you aware
17 United States Marshal for the State of North Dakota,
                                                           17 that your deposition today pertains to North Dakota's
18 Paul Ward; Corps of Engineers employees Eileen
                                                           18 case against the United States under the Federal Tort
19 Williamson and Eric Stasch; and Colonel John Henderson
                                                           19 Claims Act, involving $38 million in damages that the
20 and General Scott Spellmon; Mr. Lowry Crook; former
                                                           20 State of North Dakota seeks to recover from the United
21 Secretary of the Interior, Sally Jewell; and former
                                                           21 States as a result of the Corps of Engineers' and other
22 Assistant Secretary of the Army for Civil Works,
                                                           22 federal officials' actions associated with the protests
23 Jo-Ellen Darcy? Are you aware of that?
                                                           23 against the Dakota Access Pipeline? Do you understand
           A. A lot of names. The first four or five I
                                                           24 that, sir?
25 do not recognize. Obviously, I know Crook, Darcy,
                                                           25
                                                                      A. In a very vague context, but I do not
                                                 Page 11
                                                                                                            Page 13
1 Spellmon, Henderson, and I understand Jewell. The
                                                            1 understand the details of the tort claim. I'm not an
 2 first several names, I didn't understand who those
                                                            2 expert on legal actions.
                                                                       Q. No. You understand, though, your
3 were.
                                                            4 deposition today is in relation to that matter?
           Q. Okay. No -- no problem. The -- the
                                                                       A.
                                                                           I do.
 5 question was, are you aware that they have been
                                                                       Q.
 6 deposed?
                                                                           Okay. Are you aware of any of the United
7
           A. I understood there were some depositions
                                                            7 States District Court for the District of North
                                                            8 Dakota's rulings in the case thus far?
8 that have been done already.
           Q. Okay. Have you spoken to any of the
                                                                       A. So I don't understand the question,
10 individuals that I identified to you about their
                                                           10 exactly. I understand some rulings that the federal
11 depositions in this matter, prior to this morning?
                                                           11 government made several years ago. I don't know of any
12
           A. Absolutely not.
                                                           12 of the North Dakota rulings.
```

- Q. Okay. Have you reviewed any of their 14 deposition transcripts or videos?
- 15 A. Absolutely not.
- Q. Okay. Did you review any documents, prior
- 17 to today's deposition, in preparation for the -- the 18 deposition this morning?
- There were a couple documents that Erica 19
- 20 showed me that I think had been introduced in
- 21 discovery, so I am aware that there was some email
- 22 traffic.
- 23 Q. Sure. Did you review documents on your 24 own or always with your attorney?
- A. Only with my attorney. And I did not

- Q. These are -- the ones I referred to, sir, 14 are from the United States District Court, Federal
- 15 Court, in North Dakota with respect to this case. Are
- 16 you aware of any of those orders or decisions?
- A. I remember that some of those rulings were 18 made, but I don't have any specific details on where or
- 19 how they were done.
- Q. Have you read any of the court's orders or 21 determinations in this case to date?
 - Not at all.
 - Okay. Okay. General, what is your
- 24 current residence address? Where do you reside, sir?
- 25 I don't need a street address.

Page 14 Page 16 A. I live at -- yeah. 15 -- or 13159 Lake 1 Engineers was helping to build Fort Drum, as a major, 2 Hill Drive, Manassas, Virginia 20181. 2 in 1987. I did work in building the grid in Baghdad, 3 Q. Thank you. And where are you from, 3 in 2003, as a colonel; and then from 2006 on, pretty 4 General? 4 much uninterrupted, as a general officer working as, 5 initially, a division commander in charge of six or A. Let me back up. It's not Manassas. It's 6 Nokesville. It's in a vicinity of Manassas. But it's 6 seven states, then ultimately as the number two person 7 N-o-k-e-s-v-i-l-l-e. I was born and raised in Bellows 7 in the Corps of Engineers, called the deputy chief of 8 Falls, Vermont. 8 engineers; a short deployment to Afghanistan for 9 Q. Bellows Falls? 9 13 months, and then I was brought back in 2016 to serve 10 A. Correct. 10 as the chief of engineers and basically served a Q. Would you describe and summarize your 11 four-year tour and then was extended by the 11 12 Administration for another five months. 12 education, please. A. Following high school, I went to West Q. I see. Okay. Thank you for that. Would 14 you describe your position as the chief of engineers 14 Point United States Military Academy for four years. 15 And then I have several civilian degrees and military 15 with respect to the Dakota Access Pipeline project? 16 degrees -- I can go into more detail -- both on -- in A. So the chief of engineers really wears two 17 civil engineering and also in military arts and 17 hats. Number one, the senior engineer in the 18 Department of Defense for all engineering matters, both 18 sciences. 19 Q. And are those degrees from West Point or 19 tactical, strategic; and with respect to the Corps of 20 other institutions? 20 Engineers, anything that the Corps of Engineers has to A. Bachelor of science is from West Point, a 21 do to be able to support deployed soldiers or 22 master's of science in civil engineering from the 22 construction of installations, Civil Works, 23 University of Vermont, a master's of science in 23 environmental, et cetera. The second hat is actually 24 military arts and sciences from the Command and General 24 the commander of the Corps of Engineers, a 25 Staff College, Fort Leavenworth, Kansas. And I also 25 36,000-person organization that does roughly about a Page 15 Page 17 1 went to the Army War College. At the time I went, 1 \$68 billion portfolio a year. 2 there was not a degree given. They gave a degree about Q. Okay. And, sir, if you would describe 3 a year after. 3 the -- your position, specifically with respect to the 4 period of time March of 2016 to 2017, involving the --Q. I see. Have you always been employed with 5 the United States military? 5 the United States Government's consideration and review 6 of the Dakota Access Pipeline project. 6 A. Until I retired in November of 2020. 7 Q. And what do you do as post-government-A. So I didn't actually take command until 8 service work? 8 May, so I won't go to March and April. I was preparing A. I took a job as president of a large 9 for command. And then, basically, the first several 10 architect/engineering firm that does federal work. 10 months of my command were orienting myself to the task 11 Q. And is that located in Virginia? 11 and missions of the command, sending a strategic A. I think the headquarters office is 12 vision, trying to put systems in place; what you would 13 actually in New York City, but our federal office is 13 expect most senior leaders, like a CEO of large 14 here in Washington, D.C. 14 organizations, to do. Q. I see. Okay. Now, I'd like to go back to The Corps has thousands of projects. I 16 your time as -- in the -- the Army Corps of Engineers. 16 pride myself on not getting deeply involved in any 17 Would you describe your -- the evolution of your career projects, so I probably didn't do anything with this 18 in the -- in the United States Army. 18 particular mission until sometime when we began to see A. So all second lieutenants start out pretty 19 activity. I think it was somewhere around the end of 20 much as a tactical officer working with troop units. 20 August or maybe even September. 21 But in the Corps of Engineers, we cycle our officers Q. Okay. So you -- you became chief of 22 back and forth between troop duty and with the 22 engineers in May of 2016? Make sure I understand that. 23 U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, which is much more of an A. That's correct. May 19, 2016. 24 engineering firm. Q. Okay. Okay. And -- and who was your I think my first job in the Corps of 25 immediate predecessor as chief of engineers?

```
Page 18
                                                                                                             Page 20
 1
           A. Lieutenant General Thomas Bostick.
                                                             1 a general, J-E- -- or G-E-N Dan Allyn. I think he only
           Q. And where did Mr. -- or General Bostick go
                                                             2 stayed there for about a year. And the Chief of Staff
 3 after he left the chief of engineers position?
                                                             3 was General Mark Milley, who is currently the Chairman
           A. I think he stayed physically in the D.C.
                                                            4 of the Joint Chiefs of Staff.
                                                                       Q. Yes. Okay. And where does the Secretary
 5 area. I believe he took a private job. And I have not
 6 had a lot of contact with him, so I don't -- I'm not
                                                             6 of the Army fit in that regard?
   prepared to answer that question in any detail.
                                                                            So this is a -- kind of a dual chain of
           Q. So are you saying he retired?
                                                            8 command. The military reported up to the four-star
           A. He did. I'm sorry. He retired.
                                                            9 general, General Milley, at the time. And at the same
 9
10
           Q. Okay. Thank you. So I -- I want to
                                                            10 time, we, of course, are subservient to the civilian
11 understand the -- the command structure of the -- the
                                                            11 control of the government. So the Secretary of the
12 military command structure, basically, and -- and
                                                            12 Army -- basically, General Milley reports to the
13 who -- who you report to and who reports to you. Would
                                                           13 Secretary of the Army. At the time, it was
14 you help me understand your relation in the United
                                                            14 Mr. Fanning.
15 States Army and who your superiors were?
                                                                            And then the Secretary of the Army has
           A. So the Corps of Engineers is a --
                                                           16 several, maybe seven or eight, what's called Assistant
17 basically, a standalone unit that reports to the number
                                                           17 Secretary of the Army. And at the time, the Assistant
18 two general in the Army. It's called the Vice Chief of
                                                           18 Secretary of the Army for Civil Works was Ms. Jo-Ellen
19 Staff. And that person reports to the Chief of Staff.
                                                           19 Darcy. So while I didn't report to her directly, I
20 So my direct report was a four-star general in the
                                                            20 always listened to her guidance. I felt like we had a
21 Army. And then underneath me I have -- all of those
                                                            21 very strong relationship; but it was not a hard line,
22 36,000 employees are primarily broken into a
                                                            22 it was much more of a dotted line.
23 headquarters element here in Washington, D.C.
                                                                       Q. Thank you. Where -- where does Major
                                                           24 General Ed Jackson fit into that schematic?
                And then it's important that you remember
25 these next terms of reference. We have divisions which
                                                                       A. So I talked about there being a
                                                 Page 19
                                                                                                             Page 21
1 are normally -- they're commanded by a general officer,
                                                            1 headquarters element here in Washington, D.C.
                                                            2 Underneath me, I had three two-star generals. They're
2 either a one- or a two-star, and they normally go
3 anywhere from six to eight states. Underneath the
                                                            3 major generals. One of them was the deputy chief of
4 division, the main unit of operation of the Corps of
                                                            4 engineers, which mainly performed day-to-day activities
5 Engineers is a district. Some districts might have one
                                                            5 of running a command. And then there are two
6 or two states, some might have five or six states. We
                                                             6 operational components in the headquarters; one which
7 have 43 district commanders in the Corps of Engineers.
                                                            7 does all military construction, think of that as DOD
8 They are worldwide, but most of them remain here in the
                                                            8 work and other federal agency work. The second
9 continental United States, commanded by a colonel. But
                                                            9 component was Civil Works. General Jackson was the
10 they're made up about about 99 percent U.S. Army
                                                            10 two-star who was the director of Civil Works.
11 civilians. The Corps only has maybe 500 military, the
                                                                            And I'd do a lot of delegation. Most of
12 other 35,000-plus are civilian employees.
                                                            12 the time, if the division commanders, those 9, or those
           Q. Thank you. And then with respect -- those
                                                           13 43 district commanders had specific issues or needed
14 are the individuals that report to you, sir, I
                                                            14 quidance in the Civil Works arena, then they would go
15 understand. How about above you? What is -- you
                                                            15 up through General Jackson and seek help from him and
                                                           16 his staff.
16 indicated the Vice Chief of Staff of the -- of the Army
17 is your immediate direct report. And above that person
                                                                       Q. I see. What was the name of the
18 is the -- is the Chief of Staff?
                                                            18 individual that held the deputy chief of engineers
19
           A. That's correct.
                                                               position during your tenure, sir?
           Q. Okay. And during the period of time
                                                                       A. I think the first -- when I came in, I
21 2016 -- August 2016 through summer of 2017, what were
                                                            21 think it was Major General Rick Stevens, but I -- he
22 the names of the people that held the Vice Chief of
                                                           22 was at some point, but it might have been a couple
23 Staff position, singular or plural, just to make sure
                                                            23 months after. It was somewhere in that period Rick
24 we capture all who were in there?
                                                           24 Stevens was the deputy chief of engineers.
               So the Vice -- the Vice Chief of Staff was
                                                                            Okay. And how about the major general
```

```
Page 22
                                                                                                             Page 24
 1 that -- that oversaw the military construction
                                                             1 your predecessor's involvement, or did that carry over
2 component of the Corps? What was that individual's
                                                            2 into the beginning of your tenure?
3 name?
                                                                            MS. ZILIOLI: Objection, relevance.
           A. I think -- I think, initially, it was
                                                                       A. So I got several onboarding classes.
5 Major General Ken Cox, but I might be off by one
                                                             5 Because I was in Afghanistan for 13 months, I was out
 6 general. It ended up eventually being Major General
                                                             6 of the net for quite a while. Obviously, rulemaking
 7 Tony Funkhouser afterward. They'd rotate about every
                                                             7 and ROTUS (phonetic) were things that I wanted to be
 8 two years. They'd rotate out of those jobs.
                                                            8 informed of. I think the other thing is -- is, that
           Q. I see. Okay. Okay. You mentioned
                                                            9 was not necessarily a finite event. It continued to
10 interacting with -- with Jo-Ellen Darcy, the Assistant
                                                            10 evolve. Different states had different opinions, there
                                                            11 was different litigation. So I think it would be best
11 Secretary of the Army for Civil Works. What was
12 your -- what was the nature of your interaction with
                                                            12 to characterize to say that we were always interested
13 her? Did you office in the same location as Ms. Darcy
                                                           13 or aware of where the Administration was going with
14 or -- or not?
                                                            14 respect to Waters of the United States.
          A. Her office was in the Pentagon with a very
                                                                            (BY MR. SEBY) Yeah. Was that a
16 small staff, maybe 15 or 20 people. I delegated most
                                                           16 controversial topic at the time you took your position
                                                           17 as chief?
17 of the Civil Works actions to General Jackson, his
18 deputy, who was a senior civilian executive. And they
                                                                            MS. ZILIOLI: Same objection.
19 would have meetings, at least once a week, face to
                                                                       A. I don't believe it was real controversial.
                                                            20 I don't remember the details. It continues to be law,
20 face. We would -- Ms. Darcy and I would probably meet
21 maybe once a month to have a very, very high-level
                                                            21 based on different Administrations. And as the Corps,
                                                            22 we took our lead from where the Administration wanted
22 review of issues, but it was much less frequent than
23 perhaps what I was doing more on the military side.
                                                            23 to go. But I was -- it was not something I laid awake
               I think it's important to also realize
                                                           24 worrying about, necessarily.
                                                                       Q. (BY MR. SEBY) Okay. Was it -- was it
25 that as the chief of engineers, I also was responsible
                                                                                                             Page 25
                                                 Page 23
1 for the training and resourcing of 90,000 soldiers.
                                                             1 something that was a matter of friction within the
2 That's a different -- those are troop units. We're
                                                            2 Corps --
3 talking about -- the Corps of Engineers, right now, is
                                                                            MS. ZILIOLI: Same objection.
4 a command. But most of -- a lot of my duties were
                                                                       Q. (BY MR. SEBY) -- and the Army?
                                                                       A. I think -- without going into a lot of
5 going to visit troop units and helping the Army
                                                            6 detail, I think there was some degree of confusion,
6 understand how to fight the wars in Iraq and
7 Afghanistan. So that's another critical component of
                                                            7 based on Supreme Court decisions. And I think a lot of
8 what I did as really a dual-hatted military leader.
                                                            8 the people in the Corps wanted to be able to make sure
           Q. Thank you. Did you also office at the
                                                            9 that it was cleared up. Now, regardless of what that
10 Pentagon, sir?
                                                            10 meant with respect to, what does that mean, we just
           A. I had two staffs, two offices; one here in
                                                           11 don't like to work in an ambiguous environment. I
12 downtown D.C., one in the Pentagon. Most times, I
                                                            12 think at some point the lack of clarity caused our
13 would do two or three visits back and forth during,
                                                            13 people to be in a position to have to make decisions
14 actually, a day.
                                                            14 which were probably judged several different ways.
15
                                                                       Q. Yeah. Okay. Understand. Did part of
           Q. And you did that routinely?
           A. Mainly stayed in Washington on Mondays and
                                                           16 your responsibilities as chief of engineers involve
17 Fridays. I'm a muddy boots guy that likes to be on the
                                                               serving as a liaison of sorts with other federal
18 ground. So almost every Tuesday to Thursday, for four
                                                           18 agencies?
19 years, I traveled.
                                                           19
                                                                       A. Not necessarily in a description of
           Q. Okay. So you were -- when you became the
                                                           20 duties. I felt it incumbent on the Corps to really
21 chief of engineers in May of 2016, had the -- the
                                                            21 almost serve as the federal engineer at certain times.
22 issues surrounding the -- the Waters of the United
                                                           22 So there were times when the Corps had capabilities
23 States rulemaking that was developed in 2015, had that
                                                            23 that no one else in the federal government could do.
24 whole experience already occurred, the Corps' position
                                                            24 For instance, we did a lot of emergency management
25 on the rulemaking and EPA's position? Was that all
                                                            25 work, so we were an unbelievable partner with FEMA in
```

22 to 25

```
Page 26
 1 the big storms of '17 and '18. We ended up doing a lot
2 of work with Customs and Border Patrol, a lot of work
3 with the NEXUS administration.
                But it was not necessarily a task that was
5 given to me. It was much more that we had a
 6 capability, and we were -- we wanted to continue to be
 7 able to provide both the taxpayers and the
8 Administration the best engineering advice possible.
                Sure. Okay. So you worked with those
10 agencies that you mentioned. Did you interact with the
11 Department of the Interior in any respects?
           A. Not specifically. There were different
13 things that happened on my four years where I might
14 have had more involvement. But I would say that the
```

- 16 list versus the Veterans Administration or CBP. 17 Q. Okay. But you did interact with them to 18 some degree, you're just telling me that on a -- on a 19 hierarchy scale, it was less than others?
- 20 A. I would concur with that.
- 21 Q. Okay. All right. With respect to the
- 22 Department of the Interior, do you recall interacting
- 23 with an individual who held the title Assistant
- 24 Secretary of the Department of the Interior,
- 25 Mr. Michael Connor?

8

9 her, either.

```
1 House Civil Works Committees. So a good example is,
                                                             2 some of the representatives from North Dakota, I got to
                                                             3 know quite well.
                                                                        Q. For -- for example, who -- what
                                                             5 individuals are you referring to?
                                                                        A. I think in North Dakota -- and this
                                                             7 relationship evolved, if you're talking '16 and '17, I
                                                             8 was aware of Senator Hoeven. But it really came to the
                                                             9 later years when we were doing a couple big projects in
                                                            10 North Dakota, where he was probably one of the top
                                                            11 seven or eight senators that I knew, especially when I
                                                            12 left here in 2020.
                                                                        Q. Sure. And you said you met with --
                                                            14 occasionally with governors of states where the Corps
15 Department of the Interior was much further down on the
                                                            15 had project work. Do you recall meeting with the
                                                            16 governor -- governor or governors of the State of North
                                                            17 Dakota?
                                                                        A. I don't think I ever did, but there could
                                                            19 have been a time where we had some big event in -- I
                                                            20 think Fargo-Moorhead was the billion-dollar project we
                                                            21 were doing, where I think there might have been a
                                                            22 ribbon-cutting or something. But it was not something
                                                            23 that I necessarily remember. I don't even remember who
```

```
Page 27
           A. I don't believe I ever worked with him or
2 was really aware of him at that time.
3
           Q. Never?
          A. I don't believe I knew who he was.
               Okay. How about the Secretary of the
6 Interior, Sally Jewell? Did you interact with her in
7 any regard?
```

- 10 Q. How about Mr. Larry Roberts of the 11 Department of the Interior?
- I might have heard the name, but I 13 couldn't tell you what he did, and I don't think I ever 14 talked to him.

A. I don't believe I ever interacted with

- 15 Q. Okay. How about in your capacity as chief 16 of engineers, did you interact with any of the state 17 governments or representatives where the Corps' mission
- 18 was -- was ongoing? A. So because I traveled a lot, wherever we 20 were doing big projects, many, many times I would fly 21 in to see a governor, a governor's staff. I think, 22 more importantly, when you talk about representatives, 23 I was the chief of engineers. I had to testify several 24 times in Congress. As a result, I got to be very, very 25 close to almost every member of both the Senate and the

```
Page 29
1 involvement with the two -- with the governors of North
2 Dakota.
```

24 the first governor of North Dakota was. I think the

25 person changed. But I don't have any specific

- Q. Okay. And that was true during the 4 DAPL -- the period of the DAPL protests, approximately 5 August of 2016 through, say, March or April of 2017? 6 You'd never met or spoke with, on the phone, either 7 governor of the State of North Dakota? A. I don't believe so. There were times
- 9 where I think some members of the Administration were 10 working as a team, there could have been a time; or 11 there might have been a VTC or a call, I might have 12 been in a room. But I don't -- most of the engagements 13 I had with governors I remember. I don't ever remember
- 14 specifically meeting or who that person was. Q. Okay. Okay. Now, I want to talk about 16 the DAPL protests themselves; again, the August 2016
- 17 time period through mid- -- mid-year 2017. Were you --18 were you confident, as chief of engineers, that the
- 19 information you were receiving was -- about the
- 20 protests and the situation in North Dakota -- that you
- 21 were receiving was timely, accurate, and complete?
- A. I had confidence that my team was telling 23 me their -- what they saw on the ground. But I have to
- 24 be honest with you, after 41 years in the military as a
- 25 general, I always like to verify. I always like to

```
Page 30
                                                                                                                             Page 32
                1 question and double-check. So I'm not sure that every
                                                                            1 town.
                2 single thing I always heard was necessarily true. It
                                                                                       Q. Right. Okay. And General Jackson's
                3 was probably what my team felt was their perception of
                                                                           3 reporting duty or -- to -- to Ms. Darcy was -- was the
                4 what was happening on the ground.
                                                                           4 same as yours, sort of a collaborative relationship, or
                               Okay. And that -- I appreciate that. Are
                                                                            5 was it a -- a boss relationship?
                6 you thinking of anything in specific with respect to
                                                                                      A. I'm not sure that either one of those
                7 the -- the DAPL protest-related events that cause you
                                                                           7 would be right. The bottom line is, I would call it
                8 to say that, or is that just a general observation?
                                                                           8 more of a partnership. If there was ever a time where
                                                                           9 there was a conflict, we always strived to somehow
                9
                           A. It's just a general observation of always
               10 checking and double-checking.
                                                                           10 defuse a conflict. But most of the time when the
                                                                           11 military, working with a civilian leadership, we take
                               Yeah, sure. Especially with a lot of
               12 moving parts, right?
                                                                           12 their guidance. But they normally give us concepts of
               13
                                                                           13 what they'd like to do, but the execution is normally
                               There's always a lot of things that you
                14 know, and there's normally a lot of things you don't
                                                                              administered by the military.
               15 know.
                                                                                           Sure. Did you ever direct that the Corps
               16
                           Q. Yeah, yeah. General, what sources of
                                                                           16 should independently monitor the DAPL protests
               17 information did you rely upon for updates on the DAPL
                                                                               occurring on Corps of Engineers property?
                                                                                                                               Wha ND OBJ:
               18 protests in the state of North Dakota?
                                                                                           So I don't understand the question.
                                                                                                                                   As to
                           A. I don't remember, exactly. We had a
                                                                              do you mean "independently monitor"?
                                                                                                                                   32:24-33:11
               20 series of weekly SITREPS that every district, those
                                                                                            Versus rely upon other sources of
                                                                                                                                   Non-
                                                                           21 information. Did you -- did you ever insist that the Responsive
               21 colonel-level districts, sent to their generals. I
               22 never saw those district reports, because there were 43
                                                                               Corps place monitors to firsthand observe the protests?
Non-Responsive
               23 of them. My division commanders would then send me a
                                                                                       A. I certainly never directed that. I had --
               24 SITREP, some two- or three-page paragraph of actions
                                                                           24 I know that another key name here is Colonel John
               25 that was happening. They would send me routine updates
                                                                           25 Henderson, who was the district commander of the Omaha
                                                                 Page 31
                                                                                                                            Page 33
                                                                           1 District. He was probably the most visible and was on
               1 every -- every other week. General Scott Spellmon was
               2 the division commander of the Northwest Division, so
                                                                           2 the ground. So when you talk about monitoring, he
               3 I'm assuming he continued to send me updates, like he
                                                                           3 definitely had insights, both of the people and of the
                4 would every two weeks. And at some given point, as an
                                                                           4 issues and of the actions. So I always was very
               5 issue either evolved and got resolved, or at some point
                                                                           5 attuned to what Henderson's perceptions were of what
                6 if it got more intense, then that would trigger either
                                                                           6 was happening.
               7 a question from me or my staff.
                                                                                            But I don't think -- we never wanted to do
                8
                                General Jackson was on those SITREPS. And
                                                                           8 things independent. We always strived to be a federal/
               9 because I was working a very, very hectic pace, I tried
                                                                           9 state integrated team, where everybody understands
                                                                           10 others' authorities and we try to work as best we can
               10 to triage everything we were doing and delegate and
               11 empower to my team the ability to resolve, at the
                                                                           11 as a collaborative body.
               12 lowest level, to be able to put things where they
                                                                                           Thank you. And what -- do you recall
               13 needed to be. So most of the time my division
                                                                           13 directing General Spellmon or Colonel Henderson to do
               14 commanders or my generals here in the headquarters were
                                                                           14 anything specific with regard to that striving to be a
               15 the day-to-day go-to people to provide updates.
                                                                              federal/state team with regards to the North Dakota
               16
                               Sure. And when you were walking me
                                                                           16 DAPL protests?
                                                                                       A. I'm not sure that I remember any specific
               17 through the -- the hierarchy chart of -- of -- that you
                                                                           17
               18 described, when you were talking about Major General
                                                                           18 actions, but -- and I might have alluded to this. Most
                                                                              of the time, I did not get involved in day-to-day
               19 Jackson, I just want to ask a question about that.
               20 Major General Jackson was a direct report to you or
                                                                              activities. Probably 80 percent of the Corps' actions
               21 Ms. Darcy?
                                                                           21 that happened were executed inside the districts and
                           A. All the generals reported direct to me.
                                                                           22 resolved inside the districts. Whatever the 50 percent
                23 So he was a direct report to me, was here in my
                                                                               that were not done by districts, were probably resolved
```

24 headquarters. I saw him two or three times,

25 personally, every Monday and Friday when I was here in

ND OBJ:

As to 30:19

(beginning "We

had a...")-31:15,

24 by the different divisions. So that allowed me to --

25 instead of worrying about a hundred percent of issues,

Page 34 1 I was able to focus on really the strategic direction 2 where the Corps was going. But then when there was a 3 tactical issue, which DAPL started out at, then I was 4 able to direct my focus at those very, very few things 5 which needed the commander to get involved. Q. Okay. And are -- are you aware that 7 the -- whether the -- the Corps of Engineers manages a 8 project in the state of North Dakota on the Missouri 9 River in the southeastern part of the state? A. I am aware. Q. And is it correct that the lands and 12 project that you're referring to being aware of is 13 called the Oahe Project? A. It is. But it's important to point out 15 that I've never been there. And in the last six years, 16 I've not seen a map. So anything with respect to the 17 orientation or the physical layout is something I'm not 18 very knowledgeable of. Q. Okay. But is it correct that your 20 understanding is that the Corps of Engineers manages 21 that -- that property and project on behalf of the 22 United States Government? A. That's correct.

Page 36 Q. Okay. Do you agree with me that the Oahe 2 Project is a flood control project along the Missouri 3 River?

A. A lot of our projects have five or six 5 different purposes, so I can't answer that. I think 6 the primary reason was a flood control project, but there are several of our reservoirs that actually have 8 four or five authorized purposes. And I don't know the details of that particular project.

Q. Okay. Are you aware that there is a -- a 11 hydropower function to the Oahe Dam that's part of the 12 Oahe Project?

13 A. I'm not aware of that. It doesn't 14 surprise me, though.

Q. Okay. And, of course, there's recreational aspects to the Oahe Project as well that are ancillary to flood control and power generation purposes. Is that a fair understanding as well?

A. I was aware that there was a recreation 20 function, only because as this process evolved, 21 obviously we had park rangers that were involved. So 22 when I heard the word "park rangers," we only put our 23 park rangers normally where there's a recreational 24 authorization.

Q. And what does a park ranger duty mean to

ND OBJ: As to 35:11 (beginning "There are times...")-35:10, Non-Responsive

A. I don't know that. There are times where, 2 on some of our federal areas, we might lease parts of a 3 recreation area to a concession; that we might allow 4 local and state organizations to come and do things 5 like upgrade trails and other stuff. So I'm not -- it 6 wouldn't be fair to probably say that we solely 7 organize it, but most of the time we have partnering 8 agreements with other entities. So sometimes it might 9 be where we seek or receive assistance from either 10 state or local officials or organizations. Q. And do you know that was the case with 12 regard to the Oahe Project or -- or not?

Q. Does anyone else manage or control that

25 project, in addition to the Corps?

13 A. I don't know that. Okay. Do you recall the -- the function

15 and purpose of the Oahe Project?

16 I don't know exactly what those functions 17 are. Every one of our federal lands has different 18 authorities. Some are flood control; some are based on 19 when you have a water control structure, like a dam, to 20 be able to control water for either hydro or for 21 environmental reasons. Most of those rec areas are

22 associated with a Civil Works project that had been

23 constructed earlier. And as I recall, I think that's 24 what caused the actual lake or the water structure to

25 be formed.

Page 35

25

15

1 you, sir, generally speaking?

A. I'm going off my gut here, but mainly it's 3 a trained civilian who allows the project to be done

4 under authorized purposes; anywhere from managing

5 the -- the operations that are performed, like

6 recreational services, taking care of the environment.

7 There's probably some type of capability of making sure 8 that we have nature, Boy Scout troops get tours. Very,

9 very similar to, like, I think the average American

10 would think of as a U.S. Park Service park ranger.

Q. Okay. Would that include making sure 12 that -- that rules are followed on -- on Corps property 13 and that peaceful enjoyment of the property for all 14 lawfully using it would -- would ensue?

MS. ZILIOLI: Objection to the extent it 16 calls for a legal conclusion.

> (BY MR. SEBY) As a practical matter? Q.

A. I would say that that's generally correct. 19 I have never been a park ranger, and I'm not 20 necessarily an expert on the duties and functions of a 21 park ranger. But normally, yes, we want -- the Corps 22 is an institution where we want to continue to be able 23 to make sure that we have accountability and the rules 24 are followed.

Q. Yeah. That's sort of a central -- central

Page 37

```
Page 38
                                                                                                            Page 40
 1 value of the Corps and its properties and purposes,
                                                            1 certainly assume that my district sent to the division
                                                            2 that trigger. And inside of my headquarters, there's
2 right?
                                                            3 actually a command post. I call it the Emergency
3
           A. I think that taking care of our
4 responsibilities is something that we hold very dear to
                                                            4 Operations Center, EOC, that normally gathers that
5 where we're at. So I would say, generally, yes.
                                                            5 data. And a lot of times in our Monday morning
                                                            6 meeting, I would, then, have been briefed on it. Now,
           Q. Okay. Do you know whether the -- the
7 United States ever delegated responsibility for the use
                                                            7 if Labor was a Monday, then it was probably the next
 8 or management of the Corps Oahe Project lands to the
                                                            8 Tuesday. But I probably either got an email or a
9 State of North Dakota?
                                                            9 briefing on what had transpired over the weekend.
                MS. ZILIOLI: Objection, legal
                                                                       Q. I see. And what did you do after you
11 conclusion.
                                                           11 received that first indication that protesters were
12
           A. I don't know that.
                                                           12 accessing and using Corps of Engineers property?
13
           Q. (BY MR. SEBY) Okay. How about to the
                                                                       A. I don't remember that. I would normally
14 Standing Rock Sioux Tribe or any other tribe?
                                                           14 have asked my two-star, General Jackson, who was
15
                MS. ZILIOLI: Objection, legal
                                                           15 probably sitting at the table, "Okay. What does that
16 conclusion.
                                                           16 mean? What's the plan? What are we going to do? And
17
           A. I don't know that, either.
                                                           17 do you need any help?"
                (BY MR. SEBY) Okay. To any of the
                                                                            Most of the time, like I said earlier, I
           Q.
                                                           19 try to delegate and empower. And unless they either
19 protesters?
20
                MS. ZILIOLI: Same objection.
                                                           20 don't have the resources or they don't have the
           A. I know at one point there was a standing
                                                           21 authorities, I let them execute what they feel is the
22 use permit. So I'm not sure that that answers your
                                                           22 best-laid-out plan.
23 question. But I know that there was some ability that
                                                                       Q. Yeah. Do you know why DAPL-related
24 was being worked to be able to allow freedom of speech.
                                                           24 protesters chose to enter onto and stay on Corps of
25 So that -- I'm not sure that answers your question, but
                                                           25 Engineers property?
                                                 Page 39
                                                                                                            Page 41
1 I -- I don't want to say no. I know there was some --
                                                                           MS. ZILIOLI: Objection, speculation.
2 some issue there.
                                                                       A. So I don't know any specific details, but
                (BY MR. SEBY) Yeah. Do you recall,
                                                            3 I think it was because of their objection of putting
4 General Semonite, when you first learned that
                                                            4 the pipeline underneath the waterway.
5 protesters were physically present on Corps of
                                                                       Q. (BY MR. SEBY) The -- the crossing of the
6 Engineers-managed lands in North Dakota?
                                                            6 Waters of the U.S.?
          A. I think it's sometime around Labor Day
                                                                       A. That is correct.
8 weekend of 2016, when I -- I think there was one of the
                                                                       Q. Yeah. Do you have a thought, though, why
9 first occurrences of something. But I'm not sure
                                                            9 they chose Corps of Engineers property and not some
10 whether that was a demonstration or, you know, a march
                                                           10 other property?
11 or what it was. But I think it's sometime around Labor
                                                                           MS. ZILIOLI: Objection; assumes facts not
12 Day.
                                                           12 in evidence, and speculation.
13
           Q. Yeah. Do you recall how you learned that,
                                                                       A. I don't know that.
                                                                            (BY MR. SEBY) Okay. Do you know, was --
14 sir?
                                                                       Q.
15
                                                           15 was anyone inviting or encouraging or calling for
           A. So, I don't; but normally, we have a
16 system in the Army that establishes critical
                                                           16 protesters to come and stay on the Corps property?
17 information requirements. It's documented. It's
                                                                       A. I can't -- I can't comment on anybody
18 actually on one page, and it says, if someone dies in
                                                           18 external to the Corps. Certainly, the Corps did not do
19 the Corps, then you notify and send up a flash report
                                                           19 that. There could -- there's a lot of players in this
20 to leadership to say, somebody -- one of our -- one of
                                                           20 equation. There could have been other elements in, I
21 our team died. If there's a specific thing where we
                                                           21 don't know, America that felt that this was an
22 think we're going to be in national news, the district
                                                           22 appropriate gesture and could have then made
23 is required to send something up.
                                                           23 recommendations accordingly; for instance, different
                So if it was something where it was a
                                                           24 types of Native American tribes, at some point there
25 protest or something that was relevant, then I would
                                                           25 were people from Hollywood involved. So I don't know
```

```
Page 42
                                                                                                             Page 44
1 who recommended what. I just know that it did not come
                                                             1 the Corps property?
                                                                            MS. ZILIOLI: Same objection.
2 from the Corps.
                                                             3
3
           Q. Do you agree with me that you -- you
                                                                       A. So I have no knowledge of that.
4 learned around Labor Day that people were -- protesters
                                                                            (BY MR. SEBY) Okay. Okay. Are you
5 were -- were entering on and staying on Corps property?
                                                             5 aware, sir, how the Corps of Engineers administers the
                                                             6 public use of Corps property for recreational
           A. I'm not sure I know if they were
 7 physically on the property. I know that there was
                                                             7 activities? What -- what -- what form of -- of rules
8 definitely some type of -- I'm not sure "altercation"
                                                             8 and regulations and administration of those rules does
9 is the -- is the right word -- some type of an incident
                                                             9 the Corps use to manage its property?
10 that happened there, either on property or external.
                                                                            MS. ZILIOLI: Objection to the extent it
11 But I don't physically know -- I don't know if they
                                                            11 calls for a legal conclusion.
12 were physically on the property at that time. They
                                                                       A. So the Corps has hundreds of publications.
13 very easily could have been.
                                                            13 We pride ourselves on having systems in place. So
14
           Q. Okay. Do you agree that at some point
                                                            14 while I don't know the regulation -- the recreation
15 thereafter they were -- they did enter onto and stay on
                                                            15 regulations very well, I know that as I have visited
16 Corps property?
                                                            16 many of these places, there are certain things that
           A. So I know that this continued to evolve
17
                                                            17 involve putting boats in, fishing contests, people
18 and the numbers continued to get higher. And as a
                                                            18 going swimming, hunting. We have rules for all that.
19 result, we continued to get more worried.
                                                            19 So most of the time there is some documented regulation
                As the numbers grew higher and the -- the
                                                            20 that those park men -- those park rangers try to use --
21 presence of people on Corps property increased, were
                                                            21 they use to administer the proper use of those
                                                            22 facilities. But I probably don't have anywhere near
22 you aware that those people were using the Corps
23 property as a base of sorts and would go off of the
                                                            23 the level of detail that you're looking for.
24 Corps property to private property in the vicinity?
                                                                            (BY MR. SEBY) No -- no problem. But
                MS. ZILIOLI: Objection, assumes --
25
                                                            25 you're aware that the Corps of Engineers -- or are you
                                                 Page 43
                                                                                                             Page 45
1 objection, assumes facts not in evidence.
                                                             1 aware that the Corps of Engineers has a title in the
               (BY MR. SEBY) I'm asking that -- whether
           Q.
                                                             2 Code of Federal Regulations, known as Title 36, that
3 you knew that, sir?
                                                             3 spells out the permissible use and manner of use for
                MS. ZILIOLI: Same objection.
                                                             4 Corps property and the types of permissions that are
           A. So I don't have the details on that. I
                                                             5 necessary to conduct those activities?
 6 know that there was one portion, which I believe was
7 Corps property, which was leased out to some farmer for
                                                             7 became aware of it more when we were in the middle of
 8 grazing. I'm -- I'm imagining what you stipulate could
                                                             8 September '16 and we were faced with some of these
9 have happened on private property. I just don't have
                                                             9 questions as, what were our authorities and what were
```

43:12-21 602, 611

```
10 the personal knowledge of where exactly they went and
11 what their target of operation was.
                (BY MR. SEBY) Okay. Are you aware that
13 individuals residing on Corps property left the Corps
14 property to travel to public roads and bridges?
                MS. ZILIOLI: Objection, assumes facts not
16 in evidence.
           A. I know that there were protesters on
18 public roads and bridges. It could have very easily
19 been that they did come off of Corps property, some of
20 them might have come from other places. I just don't
21 know the details.
           Q.
                (BY MR. SEBY) Okay. How about with
23 respect to the same residents on Corps property
24 traveling from the Corps property to the cities of
25 Bismarck or Mandan, North Dakota and then returning to
```

A. I'm vaguely aware of Title 36. I probably 10 our options when it came to the ability to keep good order and discipline. But I probably couldn't quote you anything with respect to what's in Title 36. Q. Right. General, is it fair to say that 14 that DAPL protest-related situation was your 15 introduction to the -- the Corps regulations, 16 authorities if you will, for the use and management of 17 its properties? A. I think it's fair to say that it was the 19 first time that we probably had been faced with an 20 issue where it wasn't easy to resolve. There were 21 other times where we might have to worry about -- at 22 one point we had people that were -- somebody committed 23 suicide in one of the -- you know, the parks; so what 24 were the authorities there on calling police. There 25 were other times where we might find people stealing

Page 46 Page 48 1 that issue, who made the decision to pull the Corps' 1 lumber or other things. So, I was the division commander for six 2 consideration from the normal process, sir, that you 3 years, I was the deputy for two years, so I'm not sure 3 mentioned concerning the Standing Rock Sioux Tribe's 4 it's fair to say I'd never been involved in it. But 4 special use permit application, up to the Corps 5 most of the times, none of those had to do with willful 5 headquarters? 6 disobedience on Corps land. MS. ZILIOLI: Objection, assumes facts not Q. Okay. And was that -- unlike the DAPL 7 in evidence. 8 experience, are you -- are you contrasting your -- your A. So I'm not sure I knew that that happened. 9 past experience with your experience in the DAPL 9 It doesn't necessarily surprise me. There were -- we 10 protests? 10 always try to, again, align as a federal government. 11 A. I'm not sure what you mean. 11 And there could have very easily been times where the 12 Q. I was -- I was just trying to understand 12 discussions between Ms. Darcy's office and General 13 the choice of words that you used, civil disobedience 13 Jackson might have recommended that some of these 14 and that kind of thing. Were you suggesting that that 14 actions be elevated to a more strategic level. So it 15 was occurring during the DAPL protests on the Corps 15 doesn't surprise me that happened, but I'm not sure I 16 land? 16 was aware that happened. 48:17-MS. ZILIOLI: Objection, misstates (BY MR. SEBY) Do you know, sir, who made 17 49:18 18 testimony. 18 the decision to involve others in the Obama 611 Q. (BY MR. SEBY) I'm asking you just to 19 Administration with respect to the -- to the special 20 clarify, so I understand. 20 use permit sought by the Standing Rock Sioux Tribe, A. Yeah. It was my understanding, as this 21 outside the Corps? 22 22 evolved into September, that we were in a scenario MS. ZILIOLI: Objection, assumes facts not 23 where we had willful disobedience of certain functions 23 in evidence. 24 that were against the way we wanted to manage that A. I know that there was a lot of interest by 25 a lot of federal agencies as to what was occurring on 25 land. Page 49 Page 47 Q. (BY MR. SEBY) Would that include 1 the ground. So while I didn't personally talk to any 2 disobedience with directives by the Corps to those 2 of these people, I'm very aware that DOJ was involved, 3 people on Corps property? 3 DOI was involved, DIH was involved, other elements. MS. ZILIOLI: Objection to the extent it 4 And so what we don't ever want to do is either 5 calls for a legal conclusion. 5 embarrass the Administration or work outside the intent 6 of the Administration. A. I think they got much, much more evident 7 in a couple months later. I still think this was an So when I felt that there was ever a time 8 evolving situation in September, so I would not 8 we were going to do something, most of the time we do 9 necessarily equate it as a -- as a crisis in September. 9 in the Army kind of what's called an ask-it check; go 10 to senior leaders and say, "I'm about ready to do 10 It was something we were concerned about. (BY MR. SEBY) Okay. Are you aware that 11 something. Do you have any issues with that?" 12 the Standing Rock Sioux Tribe sought a special use And this is where we wanted to make sure 13 permit from the Corps to conduct a protest on --13 that we acted as an aligned Administration team. And 14 against the Dakota Access Pipeline on Corps of 14 so at -- somewhere in the middle of September, we were 15 Engineers property? 15 doing a lot of that coordination with echelons above my 16 level; mainly, Ms. Darcy and other probably political A. I was aware that there was a request and, 17 leaders, but also maybe staff in those other agencies, 17 I believe, initial actions to be able to set the 18 conditions for the approval of a special use permit. 18 including the Department of the Army. Q. Did you have any involvement in the Corps' Q. (BY MR. SEBY) Yeah. Were you ever told 20 consideration of the special use permit application 20 that the Corps believed that the Standing Rock Sioux 21 submitted by the Standing Rock Sioux Tribe? 21 Tribe's special use permit application initially lacked A. Not at all. I believe those permits are 22 required information for approval?

23 approved by the district commander. In this case, that

Q. And -- thank you. And do you know, on

24 would have been Colonel Henderson.

47:1-10 Calls for legal

conclusion

701-702

A. I don't believe I was ever told that. I

24 do believe at some point, while the intent was to issue

25 the permit, I believe remembering that there were some

```
Page 50
                                                                                                             Page 52
1 conditions of that permit that were not met. I think
                                                            1 continued to get more violent. There were -- clearly
2 it mainly had to do with -- something with insurance
                                                            2 when it came to sanitary conditions, I know there was a
3 and maybe something else. But I don't know if it
                                                            3 lot of issues there. And so at some point, I think it
4 actually was a valid permit; because while I think it
                                                            4 got to the point where because they had not met their
5 was our intent to issue it, I'm not sure that those
                                                            5 end of the bargain, that's where the permit, I think,
6 conditions were carried out as -- as stipulated in the
                                                            6 continued to evolve in the next couple of months.
7 permit, if you understand what I'm saying.
                                                                       Q. Yeah. So -- so you'll agree with me, sir,
           Q. I think I do. Do you know what period of
                                                            8 that the -- there never actually was a final effective
9 time that circumstance evolved, when you realized that
                                                             9 in-place special use permit for the Standing Rock Sioux
10 was the case?
                                                            10 Tribe to use and be on Corps property?
          A. I believe this was all in about the same
                                                                            MS. ZILIOLI: Objection, legal
12 time, early September. I think it's important to also
                                                           12 conclusion.
13 inform you that there -- there was a very, very strong
                                                                       A. So I'm not sure I would say I disagree
14 desire, both on the Administration and even in the
                                                            14 with you. I would say that there were probably a
                                                           15 couple phases of this where, I think at some point, the
15 Army, to continue to deescalate this, to not have a
16 conflict that was going to continue to get worse and
                                                            16 Tribe had to apply for it, and then we would basically
17 worse. So either Colonel Henderson -- or it might have
                                                               grant it, given these extra conditions. And at some
18 been the chief, Archambault, I think his name is,
                                                               given point when those conditions were either not or --
19 basically said, let's have this standing use permit, so
                                                           19 intentionally not met or by default not met, then that
20 that if the -- if the Native Americans are there and
                                                               permit, in my understanding, was not necessarily a
21 we -- I guess you could almost say retroactively gave
                                                            21 legal binding permit and was, therefore, then,
                                                           22 reconsidered.
22 them a permit, then, theoretically, they could be
                                                           23
23 allowed to be there under the parameters of certain
                                                                           (BY MR. SEBY) Okay. I guess the question
24 things, which were -- I think we were very concerned
                                                           24 is -- and I'm not asking for a legal conclusion -- but
25 about health, very concerned about safety. I think we
                                                           25 the permit was offered by the Corps to the Tribe,
                                                 Page 51
                                                                                                             Page 53
1 also were getting very concerned at this point about
                                                             1 correct?
2 construction or permanent presence.
                                                                       A. I thought it was requested by the Tribe
                So I think that's where the standing use
                                                             3 and -- and willing to be supported by the Corps. So
4 permit was kind of an innovative tool to be able to
                                                            4 that's a little bit different way of saying it.
                                                                           Yeah. And I -- I -- I agree that the
5 provide some degree of top cover, so that the Native
                                                             6 Tribe sought the permit. But they applied, right? And
6 Americans -- this all went back to free speech -- so
7 that the Administration could support the Native
                                                            7 it's just like you apply for a driver's license. And
8 Americans' desire to be able to exercise their First
                                                            8 then the Corps gave -- tendered a permit, an unsigned
9 Amendment rights.
                                                            9 permit, to the Tribe and said, "You need to sign this
10
           Q. And that -- that was the hope, is what
                                                            10 and you need to meet these conditions, and then we'll
11 you're saying? That was the intent?
                                                            11 countersign and then you have an effective permit." Do
           A. I think that was the intent, to be able to
                                                           12 you know whether that happened?
13 somehow find a -- and I'm going to probably use this
                                                                       A. So that basically sounds like the process,
14 analogy a couple of times -- but this is a -- this was
                                                           14 but I'm not sure I know what order the signatures were
15 a incident that didn't necessarily have a plain
                                                            15 there. You might be correct. It might have been in a
                                                            16 different order. But basically, I think what you're --
16 solution. So every time we looked at options, we
17 always tried to pick the best of the bad options.
                                                            17 what you're summing up is relatively accurate.
           Q. Understand. And that intent, how did that
                                                                       Q. Did you ever see a signed, by Colonel
19 intent square with the reality that -- I believe you
                                                           19 Henderson or other Corps official, special use permit?
20 mentioned that the -- the permit conditions were never
                                                                       A. I never did.
21 actually met by -- by the permittee, which was the
                                                            21
                                                                       Q. Okay. Were you told there was one?
22 Standing Rock Sioux Tribe. How did that square with
                                                                       A. I'm pretty sure I was told that the
23 the intent?
                                                            23 process was underway. I don't know, at the time, if I
           A. Well, I don't think it met the intent.
                                                            24 was fully aware that the permit conditions had not been
```

25 The bottom line is, I think that the -- the protests

25 met. So I could have been under the impression that

ND OBJ:

Relevance

July 26, 2022

```
Page 54
                                                                                                              Page 56
 1 the permit was working or okay, but I'm not sure I had
                                                             1 watch; I just can't validate, one way or the other. I
 2 that level of fidelity to understand whatever these
                                                             2 never personally did anything to do with a special use
 3 insurance-embodied requirements were.
                                                             3 permit that involved free speech.
           Q. Okay. Sure. And you mentioned earlier,
                                                                        Q. Okay. Or -- or not even involving a
5 sir, the -- the free speech rights of the Native
                                                             5 special use permit, just the idea that you said -- or
                                                             6 one of your district commanders, when you were the
6 Americans. How did that square with the fact that the
7 protesters on Corps land were not uniquely Native
                                                             7 division chief. That would be the right way to say it,
8 Americans?
                                                               sir, I think.
                So I'm not sure I understand. I think the
                                                                        A. Correct. I -- I had nothing to do with
9
10 free speech rights would imply to any American, be they
                                                            10 special use permits.
11 Native Americans or non-Native Americans. And if, in
                                                                       Q. Okay. And you don't recall any being
12 fact, there were non-Native Americans there, our
                                                            12 granted for purposes of free speech demonstrations?
                                                                       A. I don't.
13 obligation to continue to support that balance, I think
14 would still be honored.
                                                                            MR. SEBY: Okay. All right. Sir, I'd
                                                            14
                                                            15 like to suggest we take a short break, Ms. Zilioli, for
                In your experience with the Corps, prior
16 to being the chief, I believe you said you were a
                                                            16 ten minutes. It's been just over an hour. Let's do
17 division commander, correct?
                                                            17 that, please.
                Six years --
18
           Α.
                                                                            MS. ZILIOLI: Sounds good.
           Q. Did you --
                                                                            THE DEPONENT: So probably one hour from
19
20
                Six years.
                                                            20 right now, then -- I mean, ten minutes from now? Top
21
                Six years as -- six years as division
                                                            21 of the hour?
22 commander. What -- what division was that, sir?
                                                            22
                                                                            MR. SEBY: Ten minutes from now. So
           A. The first three years, 2006 to 2009, it
                                                            23 the -- yes, top of the hour.
                                                                            THE DEPONENT: Great.
24 was called the North Atlantic Division; Virginia to
25 Maine, Europe, and Africa. '09 to '12, it was the
                                                            25
                                                                            THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Going off the record.
                                                 Page 55
                                                                                                             Page 57
 1 South Atlantic Division; Louisiana to North Carolina,
                                                             1 The time is 3:49 p.m. UTC, 9:49 a.m. Mountain.
 2 and South America.
                                                                             (Recess, 9:49 a.m. to 10:01 a.m. MDT.)
               With respect to your tenure as -- as a
                                                                            THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We're back on the
                                                             4 record. The time is 4:01 p.m. UTC, 10:01 a.m.
 4 division commander in those two jurisdictions, did you
 5 ever decree or grant the use of a Corps property as a
                                                             5 Mountain.
                                                                             (BY MR. SEBY) General Semonite, we're
 6 free speech effort?
           A. I don't believe I ever had any involvement
                                                             7 back after a short break. And just -- just before the
 8 in it. When I was in the South Atlantic Division was
                                                             8 break, we were talking about the free speech concept
9 when the water wars of Florida, Georgia, and Alabama
                                                             9 for use of the Corps property. I wanted to back up.
10 were going. There was a lot of significant
                                                            10 Do you -- I know you said you had never been to the
11 environmental impacts. There were a lot of people that
                                                            11 Oahe Project. Did you become familiar with the -- the
12 were -- wanted to protest, to a degree, on how the
                                                            12 Corps property through maps and others telling you
13 Corps managed those lands. I'm not sure that -- if
                                                            13 about it; the layout of the land, so to speak?
14 they were ever on Corps property, and I'm not sure we
                                                                            I don't believe I did early on, September.
15 ever did anything. But there were other times during
                                                            15 At some point, when it got to the point where we were
                                                            16 worried about the impending flood and the water levels
16 my career where I had seen people want to have a very,
17 I think, pronounced opinion of how the Corps was doing
                                                               and the ramifications of a water increase in certain
18 business.
                                                            18 areas, as normal, I had the team come in and lay out
           Q. Yeah. But did anyone ask you or -- or did
                                                            19 for me the hydrographic issues. And I think at some
20 you ever designate the use of Corps property as a free
                                                            20 point, and when or if, I think there was a couple times
21 speech zone in connection with any of your past
                                                            21 when some of these road junctions or bridges got to be
22 experiences?
                                                            22 flashpoints. I certainly wanted to understand the
```

So again, those permits are approved at

24 the colonel level. We did 80,000 different types of

25 permits a year. That could have happened under my

23 orientation of those as to how it got done. So yes,

24 over time I continued to get more and more involved.

Q. Do you recall directing your staff within

Page 58

July 26, 2022

```
ND OBJ:
                   Speculation
                                     Page 60
A. As I recall, at some point -- and I think
```

1 the Corps to develop and provide you with maps 2 indicating where protesters were encamped on Corps 3 property?

A. I don't, but it certainly sounds like 5 something I would do. I want to make sure that at some 6 point as this gets to be more and more intense, that I 7 have a good situational awareness of what's happening 8 on the ground.

9 Q. Yeah. Do you recall the -- the basic 10 geographic feature of the Cannonball River running 11 approximately east/west on the -- the Corps property 12 that was part of the Oahe Project?

A. So I know that -- a lot of discussions on 14 the north side and the south side of the Cannonball, 15 but I -- I probably couldn't draw you a diagram. But I 16 certainly know that there was differences in those two 17 geographies.

18 And what was the point of referencing 19 north of the Cannonball River and south of the 20 Cannonball River? Was that relative to denoting the 21 location of camps on Corps -- Corps property?

22 A. I think Colonel Henderson referred to 23 those mainly when it came to where he was going to 24 allow the standing use permit. And then over time --25 we can talk more about this later, but I think

2 it was later on, maybe November -- Colonel Henderson 3 did get worried about the north side. And in a manner 4 to try to move people out of the north, I think his 5 thought was to stand up this free speech zone so that 6 there would be more of a density on the south side. 7 Now, maybe that was in September. I don't have a real 8 clear recollection. But I do know that Colonel 9 Henderson thought the south side was a better place for 10 there to be any type of a consolidation point, free 11 speech zone. Would it surprise you, sir, that the free 13 speech area designated as such was the same as the

failed special use permit area?

MS. ZILIOLI: Objection, assumes facts. 16 A. So, I don't know that.

(BY MR. SEBY) Okay. Do you recall, sir,

that during the course of the -- the protest period,

19 August of 2016 through mid-2017, that the Standing Rock

20 Sioux Tribe and other tribes sued the Corps of

21 Engineers in the United States Federal Court with

22 regard to the decisions being made by the Corps

23 concerning the Dakota Access Pipeline crossing?

A. I'm definitely aware that there was legal

25 action taken against us.

Page 59

1 over time there was one of those areas, I believe it 2 was the north, that were more susceptible to water

3 rise. And there was also some, I think, difference in

4 where municipal facilities were and where -- the best

5 place to be able to allow the Native Americans to

6 reside, if there was a choice between one or the other. Q. Were there encampments on both the north

8 and south side of the Cannonball River on Corps

9 property?

A. I don't know exactly where they were, but 11 I -- I tend to understood (sic) -- and again, six years

12 ago --

13 Sure.

A. -- it probably does make sense that there

15 were encampments on both sides.

16 Okay. And do you recall where the special 17 use permit was -- was intended to apply?

18 I don't. I guess I thought at one point 19 it might have been for both sides, but it could have

20 very easily been either one or the other.

21 Okay. And do you recall the Corps, at 22 some point, announcing the designation of a free speech

23 zone on the south side of the Cannonball River for,

24 quote, all who wished to protest the Dakota Access

25 Pipeline?

Page 61 Yeah. By the Standing Rock Sioux Tribe 2 and other tribes?

And again, it might have been a 4 consolidation of tribes, it might have been several

5 different lawsuits, but I know that there definitely

6 was legal action where the Standing Rock were involved

7 in some manner, either by themselves or with others.

Sure, sure. And do you recall one

9 particular decision by a United States District Court

judge in Washington, D.C., where he denied an attempt

11 by the Standing Rock Sioux Tribe and other tribes,

12 acting together, seeking to obtain a preliminary

13 injunction against the Corps regarding the permits and

14 approvals the Corps had already issued to the Dakota

15 Access Pipeline developer?

A. So I remember that happened. I am not

17 real familiar where the different court systems and

18 where the judge was. He could have been in D.C. I

19 don't know what circuit court or how that works, but I

20 know that there was a -- a decision made.

21 Q. Does the name Boasberg, Judge Boasberg,

22 ring a bell?

A. It definitely does.

Q. Yeah. And I -- I'm talking about the

166:11 25 September 9th decision by Judge Boasberg denying the 401-402

60:17-25, 61: 8-23 401-402

61:24-

63:22,

64:17-

Page 62 Page 64 1 Tribe's motion for preliminary injunction. The Corps 1 saying that you knew -- you or anyone in the Department 2 won. And the court found -- Judge Boasberg found that 2 of the Army knew what that -- the basis or nature of 3 the tribes did not demonstrate substantial likelihood 3 the decision was going to be, do you? 4 of success in challenging the Corps' actions. And the A. No, I don't. But a lot of times in the 5 reason that's -- that's of interest to my question is, 5 Army, I mean, we pride ourselves on always having a 6 plan on the shelf. If -- if there are times when we 6 that same day, the Department of the Army, the 7 Department of Justice, and the Department of the 7 anticipate some future actions, we don't want to 8 Interior issued a Joint Statement -- notwithstanding 8 necessarily have to react to that. We just execute 9 the judge's order and decision and the Corps' victory, whatever that plan is. And I think at some point after 10 those three departments issued a Joint Statement on 10 the Labor Day weekend, I imagine some of those 11 discussions were to say, "If, in fact, a judge were to 11 September 9th. Do you recall that? 12 A. I remember that happened, yes. 12 execute this course of action, what should we do? And 13 Q. Okay. Do you know who made decision for 13 let's figure out how to do it ahead of time." And 14 the Department of the Army that is part of the 14 that -- that's conjecture on my part. So I'm just 15 September 9th Joint Statement? 15 trying to help you understand, but I don't know any of A. I don't, but I imagine that was either 16 that in detail. 16 Q. Okay. Okay. And so you do not know that 17 recommended by Ms. Darcy, the Assistant Secretary for 18 Civil Works, and that might have been a decision that the idea of having a contingent plan on the shelf 19 probably the Army General Counsel, the lawyers in the 19 included that, well, if the judge rules in our favor 20 Army, would have been -- embraced. And it might have 20 and we win, we're still going to issue a Joint 21 had to go to the Secretary of the Army. I don't know 21 Statement that says, "Notwithstanding the court's 22 whether Darcy was the decision-maker, but I know that decision, we're going to pause and reconsider what we 23 that was -- I'm not sure that was a surprise to us, but 23 did"? 24 I don't think that was something that we recommended 24 A. Yeah, that's correct. 25 Q. Okay. Are you aware, General, that 25 happen. Page 63 Page 65 1 General Spellmon and Colonel Henderson were surprised Q. Do you recall recommending that they not 2 do it? 2 and/or frustrated by the September 9th Joint Statement, -3 A. I don't recall that, either. However, I 3 in that they were not aware of it and that they were 4 was worried, to a degree, what that would have meant to

ND OBJ: As to 63:10 (beginning "Again...")-63:22, Non-Responsive

6 What's going to continue to evolve? 7 Q. So does that mean, General Semonite, that 8 before that action was taken, that you were consulted 9 for your opinion on whether or not to do it? 10 I don't remember being consulted. Again, 11 General Jackson was probably working this six or eight 12 hours a day. He might have certainly thought through 13 this and voiced any comments we had. I don't remember 14 any of the dialogue. I do know that -- I don't know 15 how we knew if -- or if we knew the judge was going to 16 make that decision. But I tend to think that they had 17 anticipated this, because it takes days, normally, for 18 those agencies to agree on something. And the fact 19 that -- it sounds like that joint press release, or 20 whatever it was, was the same day. So that must have 21 been perceived and concocted a couple days ahead of 22 time. 23 Q. Are you saying that the -- while you --

24 while the judge did announce that that was the date

25 that he was going to issue his decision, you're not

5 future actions. In other words, what's the step ahead?

4 frustrated by the substance of it, which was to 5 announce a reconsideration of the decisions that 6 Colonel Henderson had already made? MS. ZILIOLI: Objection; assumes facts, 8 misstates evidence. A. So I'm not aware that they didn't have 10 knowledge ahead of time. I guess I would have thought 11 that that would have been coordinated. You said 12 Henderson and Spellmon, but I'm going to assume maybe 13 General Jackson had prior knowledge. Whenever we have one of our very, very 15 deliberate procedures that I trust and have executed --16 and that was the analysis by Colonel Henderson --17 either delayed, questioned, or even to give the 18 perception to the American people that there's a 19 challenge with our Corps decision-making process, I 20 certainly would have been frustrated. And so, 21 therefore, it doesn't surprise me that Henderson and 22 Spellmon were surprised. It's not normal that Ms. Darcy would do something behind our back; but if 24 what you're saying is true, then that could have

25 happened.

Case 1:19-cv-00150-DMT-ARS Document 405-7 Filed 02/02/24 Page 18 of 45 Lieutenant General Todd T. Semonite (Ret.) July 26, 2022

Page 66 Page 68 (BY MR. SEBY) You're not surprised that 1 like a tinder -- a tinderbox. I mean, we didn't want 2 she did something behind your back? 2 to have the fire fueled here, so we were certainly A. There's times in the federal government 3 willing to do that. 4 when people might do something that is not necessarily There is time, and as we talked, in the 5 the way you would have done it, but most of the time we 5 next couple months after that, where there was a very, 6 always get together ahead of time and everybody has the 6 very growing concern, both on my part and my staff's 7 ability to put their opinion in. And being good 7 part, that we were beginning to deviate from normal 8 soldiers, if a decision is going to go some way that we 8 established regulatory procedures. 9 might not like, we still drive on, especially when (BY MR. SEBY) And what -- can you give me 10 it's -- it's the political arm of the Department of 10 an example or two of -- of that happening in the DAPL 11 Defense. 11 protest context or DAPL -- I guess the consideration of 12 Q. Yeah. And you mentioned something earlier 12 the easement, which was pending for quite some time 13 that -- that I wanted to come back to. I think when we 13 with Ms. Darcy and was called back by the September 9th 14 were talking about the hierarchy and the chain of 14 Joint Statement? Can you elaborate on -- on -- on that 15 command, that you, thankfully, explained -- I 15 consideration that you're raising; where there was a 16 understand it -- you made a point that the -- the 16 growing concern, to use your word, of deviating from 17 military, the Army, is subservient to civilian control. 17 normal established Corps regulatory procedure? 18 You -- you really emphasized that point, right? MS. ZILIOLI: Objection, misstates A. That's correct. And that's not, of 19 evidence. 20 course, just the Army. It also applies at the A. So it did not involve the protests or 21 Department of Defense level; the Chairman and the Joint 21 really anything on the ground. So what I'm talking 22 Chiefs who work for the Secretary of Defense. 22 about, the Corps is charged to be able to execute the Q. Right, right. And they all work for the 23 regulatory process in accordance with our rules and 24 President, correct? 24 procedures that are established in law. And so -- and 25 A. They do. 25 without making a -- a stupid analogy, the bottom line Page 67 Page 69 1 is, is that we have a set of procedures that says, Q. Yeah. And so when Ms. Darcy, the civilian 2 Assistant Secretary of the Army for Civil Works, does 2 "Here is what we have to do to be able to approve an 3 something you don't care for, perhaps personally or 3 easement." It's a little bit different for 4 different-sized pipelines. We go through our 4 professionally, your institutional parameter is to, as 5 you said, I believe, salute and drive on, right? 5 procedure, and then we basically execute that procedure 6 A. With a very strong exception. If it 6 based on the results of our analysis. 7 basically is either doing something which is against If, in fact -- so we have an obligation to 8 some -- something about our values, something to do 8 both parties. We have an obligation to the United 9 with integrity, in the occasion of something on the 9 States public to be able to make sure we're doing it 10 battlefield that would end up being an illegal order; 10 right. And just as important -- not more important, 11 or on this one specifically, if it gets to a point 11 but just as important -- is an obligation to the 12 where we feel that the law is not being done in 12 applicant to be able to make sure that the applicant is 13 accordance with our normal established procedures. 13 getting a permit, if the Corps decides the applicant Q. Did you feel that that circumstance was 14 deserves a permit. 15 approaching a trigger point in the context of how 15 So at some given point in this process 16 Ms. Darcy was considering and addressing the DAPL 16 when we were just going to say we were going to 17 protests while she was in office? 17 continue to study it, the question is, we -- we didn't 18 MS. ZILIOLI: Objection; ambiguous, 18 necessarily feel we needed to study it. We did our due 19 diligence. We knew what our answer was. Our answer 19 vague. 20 A. So at this time, probably not. And I 20 didn't change. So the ability in echelons above me --21 think it's key to make sure in my testimony -- we keep 21 and I don't know where that happened -- but to delay 22 talking about the word "evolve." This continued to get 22 the execution of that permit, we felt was not 23 worse and worse. So when that first statement came 23 necessarily in keeping with our obligations to the 69:25-24 out, this was probably a good low shot under the bow to 24 applicant. 70:14

25 keep everybody, without getting a lot more -- almost

67:14-68:8 611

(BY MR. SEBY) Yeah. And do you think 602,611

Page 70 Page 72 1 that was the reason for General Spellmon's and Colonel 1 conclusion. 2 Henderson's surprise and frustration by that A. I'm not sure that I felt we lost any of 3 September 9th announcement? 3 our authority or jurisdiction. And again, vaque MS. ZILIOLI: Objection; speculation, 4 memory, but I think, in retrospect, what it looked like 5 assume facts not in evidence. 5 was that the Corps' decision was being questioned, A. I think what we were all worried about in 6 which put our credibility on the line. 7 September was, what was the trajectory of this action. (BY MR. SEBY) Is that -- is that 8 And given that this -- we were getting into the winter something that concerned you? 9 season, the protests were getting bigger, more unruly, So my entire tenure of my time, not only 10 more violent, more law enforcement, we felt that this 10 in the Army, but in the Corps, was to always maintain 11 action, if anything, just to continued to embolden 11 the reputation of the U.S. Army and the U.S. Corps of 12 protesters to stay on the ground and continue to make a 12 Engineers as the most trusted federal engineering firm 13 very, very visible statement to the Administration not 13 in the world. And so any time we ever had any question 14 with our reputation or our credibility, our ethics, our 14 to approve the permit. (BY MR. SEBY) I see. And so, General, I 15 values, that always was one of my highest concerns. 16 have to ask, then, why did you entertain the Corps Q. Yeah. Did you ever have a discussion with 17 considering whether or not to give a use permit to use 17 Ms. Darcy about that very topic? 18 the Corps land and stay on the Corps land to So, I don't remember that. I probably 19 protesters, when those protesters were a -- a fraction 19 did, but I don't remember it coming to a head until 20 of the larger protesters who the Corps was recognizing 20 sometime probably in November or December. 21 was violent and using the Corps property for trespass Q. Did you ever have that discussion with 22 and attacking public property and private property? 22 anyone other than Ms. Darcy in September or October 23 Why -- why did -- why did the Corps allow that track to 23 2016? 24 go forward when you had that concern? A. I think internal to my very close staff, 25 MS. ZILIOLI: Objection; assumes facts, 25 which would have included Colonel Henderson at the Page 73 Page 71 1 misstates evidence. 1 district level, General Spellmon at the division level, A. So I would say that this was a series of 2 we were worried about if, in fact, the Administration 3 different steps. Perhaps the statement you mentioned 3 continued to maintain that same position, then where 4 would this get resolved. 4 in early September was one step. There were other 5 actions taken by the District Court. There were So it was an open and lingering topic of 6 actions taken to try to mitigate this to defuse the 6 concern within the Corps and yourself and your staff 7 situation. As those different steps failed to 7 for a significant period of time, it sounds like? 8 materialize in getting the results on the ground, then MS. ZILIOLI: Objection, mischaracterizes 9 we had to continue to look at what other options there 9 testimony. 10 were. 10 (BY MR. SEBY) I'm asking. I'm not trying 11 Again, I don't believe I was necessarily 11 to put words in your mouth. I'm just trying to 12 the decision-maker on the special use permit. We 12 appreciate, understand precisely what you're saying; is 13 thought all along -- I guess we probably hoped all 13 that there was a passage of several weeks, if not 14 along that had we issued that, at some given point the 14 months, where this announcement in the September 9th 15 protesters would have gone away. And, therefore, by 15 Joint Statement to look back at and evaluate the 16 giving them that venue, we would have allowed this to 16 decisions the Corps had already made concerned you for 17 defuse as the winter months came on. 17 several weeks or months. And it was an open issue, Q. (BY MR. SEBY) I see. Do you think that 18 because the consideration -- reconsideration was 19 when you were talking about normal Corps procedures for 19 ongoing for a while. Is that accurate? 20 permits and approvals and -- of the like, that when the MS. ZILIOLI: Same objection. 21 September 9th joint agency -- three-agency statement A. It -- it definitely continued to get to be 22 came out, that the Corps lost or conceded some of its 22 more of a concern as time evolved. Again, the first 23 authority for the easement, because it was now subject 23 couple weeks of September, it was probably much more

71:18-72:6 Calls for legal conclusion 701-702

24 to a three-party federal agency process?

MS. ZILIOLI: Objection, legal

24 contained to a very small internal group. But as we

25 got to be in the national press and there was -- and I

73:10-

74:9

611

Case 1:19-cv-00150-DMT-ARS Document 405-7 Filed 02/02/24 Page 20 of 45 Lieutenant General Todd T. Semonite (Ret.)

July 26, 2022

```
Page 74
                                                                                                             Page 76
1 don't know the details, but at some given point, the
                                                                       Q. (BY MR. SEBY) Can you help me understand
2 permittee -- that would have been Energy Transfer
                                                            2 why that mattered? I don't appreciate why what happens
                                                             3 every four years in the United States, as a matter of
3 Partners or whatever -- was continuing to push us to be
4 able to resolve it. And so we were clearly caught in
                                                             4 our constitutional course, would make any difference to
5 the middle of being asked to continue to study this
                                                               the execution of the Corps' mission and function.
6 longer delay of decision, when, I think in fairness to
                                                                       A. So it doesn't matter at all to the Corps.
7 my team, we felt we had done due diligence, and there
                                                            7 We pride ourselves on being apolitical. We don't have
8 was no viable reason that we could justify delaying a
                                                            8 a party. We don't have a position on this stuff. It
9 recommendation.
                                                            9 is our charter and our oath to administer the law as is
10
               (BY MR. SEBY) Yeah. And when you say you
                                                            10 written and established by Congress.
11 met with Ms. Darcy with some manner of frequency -- not
                                                                            On the other hand, there were, at this
12 as much as your -- your staff, but you met with her, I
                                                            12 time, growing concerns in the Department of Defense
13 don't know, maybe -- maybe once a month, I think you
                                                            13 that the Department of Defense might be asked to step
14 said, was -- was that frequency of meeting with her,
                                                            14 in with respect to law enforcement activities, either
                                                           15 done by National Guard under state authority or under
15 did that carry through during this time period, or was
16 it less or more?
                                                            16 federal authority. And I think that the optics of a
17
           A. I don't remember. Normally, I have a
                                                            17 continued protest on the nightly news could have been
18 very, very consistent battle rhythm, so I would have
                                                            18 played by the political parties, either for or against
19 envisioned it would have been the same. And I remember
                                                           19 in different manners. Our job is to stay out of that,
20 those discussions; very cordial. Again, we wanted to
                                                            20 but I'm not convinced that that was not a consideration
21 continue to try to find an acceptable solution for
                                                            21 by elements higher than me.
                                                                                                                     76:22-
                                                                                                                     <u>77:10</u>
                                                                       Q. Help me understand that. Why would the
22 everybody. So there was a lot of discussions as to the
                                                                                                                     602.
23 variables in this equation. But I -- I think as time
                                                            23 incumbent Administration delay a decision and
                                                                                                                     |611
24 went on and we didn't necessarily see a path forward,
                                                            24 exacerbate an ongoing protest on federal property? I
                                                            25 don't see the connection there. What is -- what is it
25 that concern got more intense for me.
                                                 Page 75
                                                                                                             Page 77
           Q. Yeah. Was -- was part of that concern
                                                            1 that you're thinking?
 2 exacerbated by a perception, perhaps, of Ms. Darcy
                                                                            MS. ZILIOLI: Objection; misstates
3 being less available or less responsive than you felt
                                                            3 evidence, assumes facts.
 4 she should, given the -- the pendency of that lingering
                                                                       A. So I don't know if I can connect the dots
5 question?
                                                            5 for you, whether it's a Native American issue or a
6
                MS. ZILIOLI: Objection, assumes facts not
                                                            6 pipeline issue or a green energy issue. I just know
7 in evidence.
                                                            7 that we got to the point where we were past regulatory
                                                            8 thinking, and some of the guidance we got, I think I
8
           A. So, certainly not less available. I don't
9 think availability was ever an issue. I think the
                                                            9 just was worried that it was -- had some degree of
10 concern was, is that we just didn't feel that we had
                                                            10 political connotation.
11 clarity on what she felt was lacking in our initial
                                                                       Q. (BY MR. SEBY) Yeah. And that's really --
12 analysis. And it was almost more of, I think, a
                                                            12 my question is, why? Why -- why was -- why did anybody
13 stalling tactic.
                                                            13 look at these issues and feel the need to ascribe a
           Q. (BY MR. SEBY) Yeah. Do you think
                                                            14 political connotation to them? That's the part I don't
                                                            15 understand.
15 Ms. Darcy owned the stalling tactic, or was she merely
                                                           16
16 carrying it through at the behest or in concert with
                                                                            MS. ZILIOLI: Objection, speculation.
17 other federal representatives?
                                                                       A. Well, if there's a perception in the
18
                MS. ZILIOLI: Objection, speculation.
                                                            18 Administration that this pipeline should not have been
           A. I don't know this. I think it's important
                                                           19 approved -- I don't know there was -- but if there was,
20 for this deposition to realize, also, that this was
                                                            20 and for some reason if the Corps made a recommendation
21 getting closer and closer to a national election. So
                                                            21 to approve it, the Corps is an element of the
22 people that might have been more politically involved,
                                                            22 Administration, I think that that would have put
23 I think were continuing to look at how this might play
                                                            23 certain players in uncomfortable positions.
24 out, had this protest and issue continued to merge
                                                                            That is truly my speculation only. But
25 right up into the national election.
                                                            25 any -- I've been through this for a couple different
```

75:1-13

611

75:14-25

602

77:11-

78:5

602

```
Page 78
                                                                                                                              Page 80
                1 elections. Any time you're close to a national
                                                                            1 in a working status. I believe Colonel Henderson gave
                 2 election, no one wants to be able to be the lightning
                                                                            2 the Standing Rock some period of time to come through
                3 rod to bring attention to either something that could
                                                                            3 on those conditions. So while that permit still had a
                 4 be perceived as going not necessarily in the direction
                                                                            4 probability of approval, then we looked at them as just
                                                                            5 having their ability to do -- to be able to have their
                 5 that somebody wants it to go.
                            Q. (BY MR. SEBY) Yeah, and I can appreciate
                                                                            6 First Amendment rights and, to a degree, some degree of
                 7 that. The part I don't understand is, this wasn't
                                                                            7 clearance to be able to be on the land.
                 8 happening in a vacuum. There were several thousand
                                                                                             There -- there was some point, and I don't
                                                                            9 remember when, when it appeared that the Standing Rock
                 9 people resident on Corps property with no -- no legal
                10 authorization to be there. And meanwhile, the --
                                                                            10 was not going to honor those commitments. And I don't
                11 Ms. Darcy, or others were asking her to, delay the
                                                                            11 know whether the permit, then, was withdrawn, canceled,
                12 decision on the pipeline. How did that help the fact
                                                                            12 or just null and void. But at some given point when
                13 that you had people setting up residence and building
                                                                            13 they were on the land, and it was not in the way the
                14 stuff on Corps property? How did that help things?
                                                                            14 Corps wanted them to be, we could talk north and south,
                                I only can -- can appreciate the
                                                                            15 then I do believe we certainly considered them as being
                16 perspective that it made it worse. And so I -- I'm
                                                                            16 on the land with -- without valid authorization.
                17 trying to understand what the motive or rationalization
                                                                                             I'm not sure the legal term of "trespass";
                18 would be from a political person to say that, "We're
                                                                            18 but when we ask somebody to remove themselves from our
                19 going to not make a decision while we have that problem
                                                                            19 land and they fail to do that, it clearly was a concern
                20 going on right now in North Dakota on our property.
                                                                               to us, mainly due to their own life safety.
                21 And just -- just let it ride until the election in a
                                                                                            And so I understood your -- your response
                22 couple of months."
                                                                            22 and -- and appreciate that during the pendency of the
                                MS. ZILIOLI: Objection; assumes facts,
                                                                            23 special use permit consideration, you didn't -- you're
                24 misstates evidence, speculation, ambiguous.
                                                                            24 saying you didn't view the Standing Rock people as
                            A. Yeah. So I can't speculate on exactly
                                                                            25 trespassers, because they were the applicant for the
                                                                  Page 79
                                                                                                                              Page 81
                1 what all the factors were involved. I just know that I
                                                                             1 special use permit; is that correct?
                 2 encourage and almost mandate my team that you follow
                                                                                             MS. ZILIOLI: Objection, legal
                3 the law, you follow policy, you follow regulation. We
                                                                             3 conclusion.
                 4 had done that, up until September. And then we had a
                                                                                        A. Yeah, I think that's the way I remember
                 5 hard time continuing to do due diligence and support
                                                                             5 it. Now, there could have been times where we might
                 6 the regulatory system with some of the guidance we were
                                                                             6 have written letters to them or we might have said, "If
                7 getting. And it's -- we'll leave it up to history to
                                                                             7 you don't do this by day X, then we're going to
                 8 figure out why the actions taken above me were taken
                                                                               consider . . . "
                9 the way they were.
                                                                                            At lower levels, there could have been
                10
                                                                            10 more use of the word "trespass." I think we wanted to
                                 (BY MR. SEBY) Sure, yup. So back to the
                11 situation on the ground in North Dakota, as of August,
                                                                            11 continue to look at how we could consider them as
                12 with the protesters arriving on Corps property. Do you
                                                                            12 authorized to be there within some very, very fenced
                13 recall whether you or other Corps officials referred to
                                                                            13 parameters. And I believe the parameters were that
                                                                            14 none of the state and local laws were broken, that they
                14 those protesters on Corps property as trespassers?
                15
                                 MS. ZILIOLI: Objection; assumes facts,
                                                                            15 had to -- there were definitely some health
                                                                            16 considerations with respect to conditions on the
                16 legal conclusion.
                17
                                                                            17 ground. I -- I think that those are the things that we
                            A.
                                So --
                18
                                 (BY MR. SEBY) I'm not asking you to make
                                                                            18 wanted to make sure were being done right.
                                                                            19
                                                                                             (BY MR. SEBY) Sure. And that's as to the
                19 an assumption, General. I'm asking you if you,
                20 personally, or in the presence of other Corps
                                                                            20 Standing Rock people, because they were the ones that
                21 representatives, referred to those people as
                                                                            21 actually applied for such a permit, right?
                22 trespassers?
                                                                                            So, I think they were the ones that
(beginning "And
                            A. So I don't believe we did in the
                                                                            23 applied, but I think -- and I don't know this -- the
                24 September-October time frame. And we talked about an
                                                                                permit could have been able to cover other tribes that
                                                                               were there. So, in other words, I'm not sure you had
                25 hour ago about the fact that the special use permit was
```

ND OBJ: As to 79:24

78:6-79:9

602, 611

```
Page 82
                                                                                                              Page 84
 1 to show an ID card to say, "I'm Standing Rock and,
                                                             1 either get everybody off the north side, or we're going
2 therefore, I'm able to be there." I think the Standing
                                                             2 to have to start enforcing removal."
                                                                            And -- and I think this is where it began
3 Rock applied for the permit, but I don't know the
4 specific parameters of what the -- who the permit
                                                             4 to start working with law enforcement officials. This
5 allowed to be on there. Good example, if you're not a
                                                             5 is when we began to start modeling, if the water comes
 6 Native American, and you're a Hollywood actress, are
                                                             6 up and if, in fact, those people are still in that
 7 you able to be on there under the guise of the Standing
                                                               camp -- you called it the Main Camp -- that,
 8 Rock -- of the standing permit? I don't know that.
                                                             8 theoretically, that was a dangerous place to be. And
                                                               the worst thing that can happen -- it's one thing if
                Okay. You don't know. You don't know
10 where the -- whether the special use permit was an
                                                            10 you're seeing people protest on TV at night, perhaps
11 everybody who is protesting authorization or just
                                                            11 illegally; it's something else to see violence. What
12 the -- just Standing Rock tribal members. You don't
                                                            12 we were very, very afraid of is that we were going to
13 know that?
                                                            13 have somebody that got hurt on Corps property by being
                                                               in a place where we couldn't control the water and
14
           A. I don't know that. But my guys do
15 unbelievable detailed work, and I'm sure that it's easy
                                                               their safe conditions.
16 to read the permit and figure out what the conditions
                                                                        Q. (BY MR. SEBY) Yeah. Do you recall,
17 of the permit were. I'm sure we stipulated what those
                                                               General, how long the Corps engaged in the pendency of
18 were in the document.
                                                                the special use permit, hoping the Tribe would meet the
                                                               conditions in the permit that the Corps tendered to
19
           Q. Yeah, of course, indeed. Okay. So that
20 was for the -- the pendency of the consideration of the
                                                                them? How long did that all go on from the application
21 Standing Rock Sioux Tribe application for a special use
                                                            21 in -- in August and the presentation of a proposed
22 permit. And we'll leave open the question of for whom
                                                            22 unsigned permit to the Tribe in -- in the third week of
23 the permit covered. And the document certainly speaks
                                                            23 September? How long after that did you -- did the
24 for itself. But earlier, I heard you acknowledge that
                                                            24 Corps officials under you try to get the Standing Rock
25 there never actually became an effective special use
                                                            25 to meet their obligations under the permit for it to be
                                                 Page 83
                                                                                                              Page 85
                                                             1 effective? How long did that go on?
 1 permit, and I agree with you.
                What about, though, the issue of the
                                                                        A. So I don't have a tick-tock laydown of all
3 protesters encamped on the north side of the Cannonball
                                                             3 the dates. From what we're saying, it sounds to me
 4 River, on Corps property, that were never part of the
                                                             4 like it was probably four to six weeks, something on
 5 Standing Rock Sioux Tribe's permit application for a
                                                             5 that order, because I know that somewhere this
 6 use area on the south side of the river? What did you
                                                             6 materialized probably the end of October, of telling
                                                            7 them to get off. So if they applied for the permit in
7 consider those people?
               So I just don't know the details of who
                                                            8 mid-September, 30 to 45 days, something like that.
9 was there, how many people, why they were there, how
                                                                       Q. Okay. And what do you mean by telling
                                                            10 them to get off?
10 long they were there. I just don't have some graphic
11 array that shows me exactly who's what. I just can't
                                                                        A. Well, I think, again, to -- to leave the
12 answer that question.
                                                            12 Corps land and -- and get off. And I'm assuming you're
13
           Q. Yeah. Do you think that you ever knew the
                                                            13 talking the -- the north side?
                                                                        Q. Yes, yeah, for example. Would it surprise
14 answer to that question about the -- there never was
15 even an attempt to get a special use permit applied for
                                                            15 you if that direction to get off the property didn't
16 by the large number of people on the north camp --
                                                            16 actually occur until November 25th, by letter from
17 otherwise known as the Main Camp or the Oceti Sakowin
                                                               Colonel Henderson, then providing a date of December 5
18 or the Seven Councils Camp?
                                                            18 in which to get off; but very much at the behest of
19
                                                                others in the Administration making clear that you
                MS. ZILIOLI: Objection, assumes facts.
20
           A. So you're using terms I'm not aware of. I
                                                               wouldn't actually force them to get off or evict them;
21 don't recognize any of those names. I do believe -- I
                                                                that there was quite a discussion between Ms. Darcy and
22 remember at some point, when it looked like the
                                                            22 the Department of the Interior cautioning the Corps
23 conditions were not going to be met for the -- the
                                                            23 against using any language that could be inferred to
24 permit on the south side, that Colonel Henderson met
                                                            24 indicate that you would actually mean it and tell
```

25 with the chief and said something like, "Hey, you know,

25 people not only to get off, but get off now, or we're

85:14-

86:15

611

```
Page 86
                                                                                                             Page 88
1 going to make you get off?
                                                                            MS. ZILIOLI: Objection, assumes facts.
                MS. ZILIOLI: Objection; assumes facts,
                                                                       A. So those dates, those are probably
                                                             3 different than what I would have recollected. I would
3 misstates evidence.
          A. So it doesn't surprise me. Obviously,
                                                            4 have thought that this was resolved somewhere in
5 you've got a much better handle on the dates. And if
                                                            5 January. There was a time, at some point, where the
                                                             6 easement was approved. The company went in and did the
6 it was a November time, I understand that. We were
7 extremely cautious here to try to find a path of least
                                                             7 boring and, by default, this was fait accompli. Now, I
8 resistance where we could support the Administration
                                                            8 think that must have been after the new Administration
9 while, at the same time, minimizing issues on the
                                                             9 was in play. So I would have thought at some point
10 ground. So a November time frame doesn't necessarily
                                                            10 this would have been pretty much resolved by the end of
11 surprise me. And I'm not sure I know the last part of
                                                           11 January.
12 your question, where we basically were told not to do
                                                           12
                                                                       Q.
                                                                            (BY MR. SEBY) Okay.
13 law enforcement. If that happened, it certainly
                                                           13
                                                                       A. But I'm not disagreeing. If you've got
14 doesn't surprise me, but I'm not sure I remember
                                                            14 facts that say March, then I certainly understand that.
15 specific direction not to do that.
                                                                           No, I understand. We're not -- you're not
16
                (BY MR. SEBY) Yeah. Thank you. And I
                                                           16 being argumentative in the least. Sir, with respect to
17 appreciate the Corps is operating within the larger
                                                           17 the several thousands of protesters and the eight-plus
18 Administration and subservient to civil control and
                                                            18 months that they occupied Corps lands, do you know how
19 command. I appreciate that. You've made that very
                                                           19 many Title 36 or other types of citations the Corps
20 clear.
                                                            20 issued to DAPL protesters present on its lands in North
21
                Do you know, General -- do you recall when
                                                           21 Dakota; how many citations?
22 the Corps made a decision to let the protesters, the
                                                            22
                                                                            MS. ZILIOLI: Objection --
23 DAPL protesters on Corps land, remain there? Was that
                                                           23
                                                                           So, I have no idea.
24 a -- was that a conscious decision, discrete decision,
                                                           24
                                                                            (BY MR. SEBY) Okay. Would it surprise
25 or was it sort of a -- it just played out that way?
                                                           25 you?
                                                 Page 87
                                                                                                             Page 89
                MS. ZILIOLI: Objection; assumes facts,
                                                                       A. You need to elaborate. I'm not sure I
 2 legal conclusion.
                                                             2 even know what the authority a citation has. I just
                                                             3 don't have that level -- I don't know whether it's a
               So I just don't remember, even if you were
                                                             4 traffic ticket or a summons. I just don't have any
4 to ask me, when did the protesters leave. I mean, I
                                                             5 understanding of how that potential citation action
 5 guess I kind of thought it was somewhere before
 6 Christmas. But if it was into, you know, January or
                                                             6 plays out.
7 February, that doesn't surprise me, either. I think at
                                                                       Q. Sure. And I wasn't so much focusing on
 8 some point it just got so cold, that I think some of
                                                            8 what the -- what the nature of a citation is or what it
9 them migrated back to the reservation. But I just
                                                            9 means and what it -- what it -- what it sets in motion
                                                            10 when one is written. But do you agree with me the
10 don't have a good understanding of those dates.
           Q. (BY MR. SEBY) Okay. So that was going to
                                                           11 Corps has the authority to issue citations to people
12 be my next question, is, do you recall how long
                                                            12 who are present on its land that the Corps believes are
13 protesters remained on Corps property?
                                                            13 not appropriately there or behaving appropriately while
                                                           14 they're there? We agree that the Corps has such
           A. I'm quessing anywhere from two to three to
15 four months, but I -- I don't have a good understanding
                                                           15 authority, correct?
16 of that.
                                                                            MS. ZILIOLI: Objection, legal
           Q. Would it surprise you, sir, to hear that
                                                           17 conclusion.
18 it was actually on the order of eight months?
                                                                       A. I believe we do have that authority. I'm
19
                MS. ZILIOLI: Objection, assumes facts.
                                                            19 sure, though, that with all the -- all of the
20
           A. Yeah, I think I would be surprised to hear
                                                           20 discussions in the interagency, either -- at some point
```

(BY MR. SEBY) Okay. So the idea that

23 protesters were on Corps property in August of 2016 and

24 stayed there, uninterrupted, through March or April of

21 eight months.

25 2017, that surprises you?

21 we were probably given either guidance not to do that

22 or some parameters as to how to not make the problem

23 worse by issuing citations. It could very easily be

24 that we were told, "Leave them there and don't do any

25 citations." I don't know that, but we would have

89:7-

90:3

<u>Calls</u>

<u>legal</u>

concl.

<u>701</u>-

<u> 702</u>

<u>for</u>

90:4-91:17 611, calls for legal conclusion 701-702

```
Page 90
                                                                                                             Page 92
1 followed whatever the Administration's guidance was.
                                                             1 it another way, because it's -- it's really the
2 And most of that would probably come from the Bureau of
                                                             2 question that's -- that's been out there. Are you
3 Indian Affairs.
                                                             3 aware of anyone telling the Corps not to issue
4
           Q. (BY MR. SEBY) And I certainly am not
                                                            4 citations to protesters on Corps property?
 5 asking you to speculate what -- what might have
                                                                            MS. ZILIOLI: Objection, asked and
                                                                                                                 92:9-93:6
 6 happened. I'd rather just stick to what you recollect.
                                                            6 answered.
                                                                                                                  611
7 And do you recall any instances where -- you know, I'm
                                                                       A. I just don't know the answer to that.
8 thinking about this in the context of what you told me
                                                                            (BY MR. SEBY) Okay. I understand. Thank
9 earlier, which makes complete sense and is part of the
                                                             9 you. And do you know why the Corps didn't issue any
10 discipline of the Corps and the transparency of the
                                                            10 citations?
11 Corps -- where you -- you have a job that's spelled out
                                                                            MS. ZILIOLI: Objection; assumes facts,
12 by law and your regulations and custom and practice for
                                                           12 mischaracterizes testimony.
13 executing and administering those things.
                                                                       A. I think we got to a point where at some
14
                But here, there were people on your land
                                                            14 given point, if people are going to be there doing
15 who you didn't give permission to be there. And you
                                                            15 things which were probably close to illegal -- they
16 have the ability to -- to issue citations when people
                                                            16 were not following law enforcement guidance, they were
17 aren't playing by the rules on your property. Why did
                                                            17 not following our guidance -- by giving them some
18 you not do that, is the question? Was it because you
                                                            18 ticket, I'm not sure we thought was going to be good.
19 were, in fact, told not to; or because you, as the
                                                            19 If we had done that -- and we might variously have
20 chief of engineers, said, "Let's not do that in this
                                                            20 issued citations -- I'm not sure that the average
21 instance"?
                                                            21 person who was in noncompliance is going to be impacted
22
                MS. ZILIOLI: Objection; assumes facts,
                                                            22 or affected by having a citation.
23 misstates testimony, legal conclusion.
                                                                            So it's probably a good question. I just
                                                            24 don't know the parameters of how some of that was
           A. So I never gave any specific guidance as
25 to what to do or not do with respect to that. I think
                                                            25 either played out or thought through that. I think if
                                                 Page 91
                                                                                                             Page 93
                                                            1 it was something -- somebody said, "Go write them all
1 it's incumbent to realize that while the Corps has
2 certain authorities and opinions, perhaps, of what the
                                                            2 up and give them citations, "we certainly would have
3 ramifications could be, we want to continue to work
                                                            3 done it. I think we were much more interested in
4 within the umbrella of the Administration. And so this
                                                            4 trying to come to a conclusion which was, like I said
5 is where we were a tool and had tools available, but
                                                            5 at the very beginning, the best possible outcome of all
                                                            6 bad courses of action.
6 when you look at the larger context of life safety,
7 Native American rights, the right to protest, free
                                                                       Q. (BY MR. SEBY) And I appreciate that. So
8 speech, I don't think that the Corps' ability to -- to
                                                            8 basically, the Corps -- is it fair to say -- let me --
9 issue a citation is more important than any of those
                                                            9 let me rephrase my -- my question. Is it fair to say
10 other things.
                                                            10 that the Corps, in the face of several thousand people
                And so this is where we take our guidance
                                                            11 without permission on Corps property and in a state of
12 from where the Administration wanted to balance all
                                                               anarchy, the decision was made to not use traditional
13 those interests. And at some point, we -- because I'm
                                                            13 enforcement tools, but to try and -- and approach the
14 not sure that the citation would have had any real
                                                            14 problem in a different way?
15 ability to do anything, I'm sure we followed whatever
                                                            15
                                                                            MS. ZILIOLI: Objection; assumes facts,
16 guidance we got. But I do not know if we got specific
                                                           16 ambiguous, misstates evidence.
17 guidance with respect to citations or not.
                                                                       A. I'm not sure I would agree with your word
18
                (BY MR. SEBY) Yeah, and I -- I appreciate
                                                               "anarchy." There's probably a lot of definitions of
19 all that. That's -- you've said that; that, you know,
                                                            19 that. And so I think it's best to say that they were
20 the Corps doesn't operate in a vacuum. You're part of
                                                            20 not necessarily in accordance with the manner we wanted
21 the Administration. And while you have your rules and
                                                            21 them to behave and under the special use permit.
22 custom and practice for administering the law with
                                                            22
                                                                            I do remember that at some point Colonel
23 regard to your property, you're also a piece of the
                                                            23 Henderson -- and I'm not going to say it was an
24 larger Administration.
                                                            24 edict -- but at some point you mentioned a November
25
                And so my question, I'm just going to ask
                                                            25 letter, when he basically said, "Hey, listen. It's
```

```
Page 94
1 time to leave." I do believe he discussed with local
                                                             1 marshals or whatever it is, but at some given point,
2 law enforcement officials, "Hey" -- and I don't know
                                                             2 there was a lot of law enforcement people from local,
3 the CFR very well at all. Like I told you, I probably
                                                             3 state, and the interagency trying to figure out how to
4 couldn't recite any of it. But I think the way that
                                                             4 put a law enforcement package together to be able to
5 that document or that law or that regulation is written
                                                             5 help mitigate this on the ground.
 6 allows local enforcement, whatever the state capability
                                                                        Q. (BY MR. SEBY) Would it surprise you, sir,
 7 is, to come on federal land and to be able to enforce
                                                             7 to learn that Colonel Henderson's letters and
8 that.
                                                             8 correspondence to the State of North Dakota and the
                So the question that you have asked
                                                             9 political subdivisions of Morton County actually
9
10 several times about citations, I think Colonel
                                                            10 contained express statements saying, "We are not asking
11 Henderson continued to recommend to the local law
                                                            11 you to assist with protesters on the north camp or some
12 enforcement officials, "You have the authority, under
                                                            12 of the south camp properties"? Not only did he not
13 the CFR, to come on our land and to be able to
                                                            13 invite them or request them, but he said, "Do not help
14 enforce." I think -- I think somewhere in the CFR it
                                                            14 us with respect to those camps." Would that surprise
15 talks about civil disobedience; if things are not being
                                                            15 you to know that was the case?
16 done in accordance with state or local laws, then it's
                                                                            MS. ZILIOLI: Objection; assume facts,
17 your responsibility to come in and do it. Because the
                                                            17 misstates evidence.
18 bottom line is, the Corps does not have an enforcement
                                                                        A. So I would say yes. And I think that it's
19 capability. Now, maybe my words are off here, maybe a
                                                            19 important to point out that I have the utmost respect
20 citation is enforcement. But the ability to actually
                                                            20 for Colonel Henderson. And it's important at this
21 rectify behavior through law enforcement-like
                                                            21 point to say that of the 43 colonels I had working for
22 capabilities, we don't possess that. We have to rely
                                                            22 me, he was, without a doubt, my number one colonel.
23 on state and local authorities to be able to put that
                                                            23 Had it not been for his kids, he would have stayed on
24 discipline back in on the ground.
                                                            24 and probably could have been a general officer.
25
                (BY MR. SEBY) Would it surprise you, sir,
                                                                            But this guy was above reproach. He was
```

94:25-96:5 611

```
Page 95
                                                                                                             Page 97
1 to learn that Colonel Henderson actually did not do
                                                             1 very, very well schooled on the authorities.
2 that? He did not ask law enforcement in the state of
                                                             2 Obviously, he must have gotten advice from counsel or
3 North Dakota to come on and remove people who were
                                                             3 other parts of the Administration on why not to do
                                                            4 that. But I'm not necessarily tracking with exactly
4 unlawfully present on your property, that no such
5 request was ever made. Would it surprise you to know
                                                             5 what you're saying. But I think, more importantly, if
6 that today?
                                                             6 that's true, which I have no reason to doubt what
7
                MS. ZILIOLI: Objection; assumes facts,
                                                            7 you're saying, then I'm sure he did that because he was
8 misstates evidence.
                                                             8 either worried about escalation or, you know, the
          A. So I guess the answer is yes, because that
                                                            9 appearance of violence or other things.
10 was not necessarily my understanding. I believe that
                                                                            There was a very, very large concern --
11 there was a lot of discussions back and forth between
                                                            11 and I had this by senior militaries of the Department
12 the district, Colonel Henderson, and -- and I don't
                                                            12 of Defense -- with having soldiers go head to head with
13 know exactly what parts of the state law enforcement,
                                                            13 Native Americans and the optics of how that would play
14 but I know that there was a lot, a lot of discussion.
                                                            14 out on TV. And so even though -- and I don't know for
                And I think, at the time, I remember it
                                                            15 sure, but I think at some point there were National
16 being much more of a partnership. It was not where,
                                                            16 Guard who were under the authority of the State --
                                                            17 don't forget, National Guard could be federalized and
17 "Hey, you know, you're asking us to do something we
18 can't do." It was much more of, "How are we going to
                                                            18 work for DOD, or they could work for the State -- but
19 take and combine state enforcement, along with what
                                                            19 there were a lot of concerns with the National Guard
20 you're asking us to do, to be able to get the situation
                                                           20 leadership to keep National Guard in the back doing
21 under control?"
                                                            21 traffic control, helping with housing, helping with
                I don't know the details, but I know that
                                                            22 other type stuff, and never get a flashpoint of where
23 at some point there were also requests for federal
                                                            23 somebody in a military uniform is enforcing a law
24 enforcement, and I don't think that ever materialized;
                                                            24 enforcement activity with a Native American. We
25 it might have. And I don't know whether I'm talking
                                                            25 just -- we were very, very concerned about those
```

Page 96

96:6-

98:1

611

98:4-16 <u>611</u>

```
Page 98
1 optics.
           Q. (BY MR. SEBY) So is that the reason why
3 you let the protesters stay so long?
                MS. ZILIOLI: Objection; assume facts,
5 misstates evidence.
         A. So we didn't do anything in a vacuum.
7 Again, I think that this was an interagency decision.
8 They were -- DOI, DOJ, in close coordination with the
9 Department of Defense, were really the drivers behind
10 those decisions. Had we been told to do something else
11 or we had been given other venues available, we
12 certainly would have done that. But at this point, and
13 probably as early as the middle of -- middle of
14 October, the Corps was really out of the process of
15 determining how to resolve this. This was much more of
16 an interagency and Administration decision.
           Q. (BY MR. SEBY) And what was the day -- the
18 date that you said the Corps was really out of the
19 process and the interagency decision took -- took over?
                Well, I don't know the date you said of
21 that -- that announcement, but I would say that --
22
                September 9th.
           A. -- this all started probably with that
24 joint announcement that took the Corps kind of out of
25 it in early September. So this was a -- this was the
                                                 Page 99
1 beginning of something that continued to get, I think,
```

Page 100 1 was involved. In later years, I did a lot of work 2 personally with the White House and some of these 3 interagencies. At this point, I had been in command, I 4 guess, three to four to five months. I was not well 5 known by the White House, the Obama Administration, or 6 probably any of the secretaries of the interagency. I 7 didn't work at that level at this point. And so as a 8 result, we got most of our direction from Ms. Darcy 9 either -- verbally on either what to do or, primarily, 10 maybe what we were not going to do. Q. General -- and so Ms. Darcy gave you 12 direction on what to do? A. I think she -- this probably goes back to 14 the discussion we had earlier on continuing to study 15 the issue and come back and give us more of your 16 decision -- more of your evidence on -- with respect to 17 the approval of the permit. I'm not sure Ms. Darcy 18 ever gave us any specific direction on the protest. I 19 believe most of that came through DOJ and probably 20 through DOI. Q. The what to do with respect to the protest 22 direction came from Department of the Interior? A. So I just don't know where that came from. 24 I know that most of this was a working group of about 25 five or six different agencies who, I'm assuming, met

99:6-100:10 611

```
2 more and more out of our control.
           Q. Yeah. September 9th was the Joint
3
4 Statement.
           A. That's correct.
 6
                Sure, sure. Thank you for that. That was
7 helpful to understand. So -- and I -- we got to that
 8 point of discussion because I had asked, at what point
9 did the Corps let those people stay. And I think
10 you've clarified that, you know, it got to
11 September 9th and the -- off the shelf came the Joint
12 Statement, on the same day that the Corps' decisions
13 were upheld against a challenge by the -- by the
14 tribes, including the Standing Rock Sioux Tribe. And
15 that even though you put one, the Administration,
16 through the interagency decision-making process, that's
17 when they decided to let those people stay, right?
18
                MS. ZILIOLI: Objection; misstates
19 evidence, assumes facts.
           A. So again, I think this was getting to be a
21 national strategic problem.
                (BY MR. SEBY) Yeah.
                And so as a result, I don't know for sure,
24 but I think at some point I remember the National
25 Security Council was involved. I know the White House
```

```
Page 101
1 either daily or weekly, whatever. But we would come up
 2 with some recommendations, to a degree -- be it the
3 standing use permit or other options -- on where we
4 could either relocate or move people. And either we
 5 briefed that to this working group, or they told us
 6 what to do. But most of that, I think, was much more
7 driven by national level agencies as to how to resolve
8 this.
           Q. And you mentioned five to six different
10 agencies. Which -- which ones come to mind? I mean,
11 you said a couple, but just to round out, who all that
12 might be?
           A. So I think, clearly, there was the
14 Department of Defense, really executed by the
15 Department of the Army, Office of General Counsel. We
16 talked about the Assistant Secretary of the Army for
17 Civil Works, DOI. But probably internal to DOI was --
18 I think it's Bureau of Indian Affairs, maybe it's BIA.
19 At some point, I think there was some degree of FBI
20 involvement. I don't know that, but I think at some
21 point they were part of this team.
                And then, honestly, the State of North
23 Dakota was a player in a lot of this. I don't think
24 the federal government did anything in a vacuum. But
25 at some given point, I would have thought that the
```

```
Page 102
                                                                                                            Page 104
1 county, the local officials, the State, the Tribe -- I
                                                             1 response to an interviewer's question about the -- the
2 mean, everybody was trying to figure out how to find
                                                             2 consideration by the interagency team to look at
                                                             3 decisions that have already been made by the Corps? Do
3 the best solution available.
           Q. Sir, the question was, you mentioned five
                                                             4 you recall the president, as part of his remarks,
 5 to six different federal agencies. And my -- my
                                                             5 indicating that the Army Corps is examining ways to
                                                             6 reroute the pipeline? Do you recall that instance?
6 question was, could -- could you just identify who --
7 who you had in mind when you used the -- the numbers
                                                                            MS. ZILIOLI: Objection; assumes facts,
8 five to six as federal agencies?
                                                            8 misstates evidence.
           A. Yeah, so I thought I did. Department of
9
                                                            9
                                                                       A. So I actually do remember discussions
10 Defense.
                                                            10 about rerouting. I don't remember that the President
11
           Q. Got it.
                                                            11 said it, but I do know that there were some
           A. Department of the Army; Department of the
                                                            12 proponents -- maybe press, maybe protesters, maybe
                                                            13 environmental groups -- who, at some point, brought the
13 Interior, specifically DIH; Department of Justice; the
                                                            14 idea up of rerouting. So yes, I do know that that was
14 FBI. That's four. Maybe four is the right answer,
                                                            15 a variable. I don't remember the time, though. That
15 then.
16
           Q. Okay.
                                                            16 could have been in the summer before the protest, it
17
           A. But on some of those, like the Department
                                                            17 could have even been after the Administration change.
18 of Defense, there were three or four different
                                                            18 And I just don't remember the -- the dialogue.
19 subagencies --
                                                                       Q. (BY MR. SEBY) Do you recall having an
20
            Q. Yes.
                                                            20 opinion, one way or the other, on the concept of the
21
           A. -- that were on that team. So DOD General
                                                           21 Corps examining rerouting the pipeline that had already
                                                            22 been permitted and approved on the land route, which
22 Counsel was there with Department of Army General
23 Counsel. And I think it's important to say that I also
                                                            23 was the majority of the pipe in North Dakota, by the
24 tell my guys, "You're my team. Stay in your lane.
                                                            24 State of North Dakota Public Service Commission and not
                                                            25 the Army Corps of Engineers?
25 You're engineers. You worry about water, you worry
                                                                                                            Page 105
                                                Page 103
1 about federal land. When it comes to exactly how we're
                                                                            MS. ZILIOLI: Objection; misstates
2 going to resolve, you know, political situations, then
                                                            2 evidence, legal conclusion.
3 we defer to those that have the authority to be able to
                                                                       A. I don't remember any of the details of
4 resolve those."
                                                            4 what you're talking about. I do remember, at some
                And we basically went and said, "We have a
                                                            5 point, asking logical questions to my team, "Hey, can
6 challenge. Here are some tools we have available."
                                                            6 we still move this?" And I don't know the exact
7 And at that point, I think the interagency took over
                                                            7 numbers here, but I think at some point the vast
8 control and basically said, you know, "Either you're
                                                            8 majority of this pipeline had already been in the
9 going to execute what we tell you to do, or don't do
                                                            9 ground. All the permits had been done.
10 anything without informing us and make sure that we're
                                                                            We got down to the very, very last permit,
11 a consolidated team versus the Corps trying to resolve
                                                            11 I think, or close to it, which was called this
12 it." This is where, in September, it was pretty much
                                                            12 408 easement, under the water of that particular
13 my, I think, belief that this was going to truly be an
                                                            13 waterway. And so at that given point, I think there
14 Administration's resolution versus the Corps of
                                                            14 was something called, like, the 20-mile limit or
15 Engineers'.
                                                            15 something, but most of this pipe was already in the
                                                            16 ground. So the ability to flex this or to flux it with
16
                Yup. Thank you. Do you recall the
17 President of the United States making a public comment
                                                            17 any given area, I think my -- my team came back and
18 on one or more occasions about the Dakota Access
                                                            18 said, "This is the environmentally safest, logical
19 Pipeline and the protests associated with it?
                                                            19 position to put this to balance both the needs of the
20
               I don't, but it's certainly -- I would
                                                            20 pipeline, but, more importantly, the protection of the
21 expect at some point he would have certainly made
                                                            21 environment." I think my team pretty much said that's
22 some -- some comments in a press conference or
                                                            22 not a viable solution.
23 whatever.
                                                            23
                                                                            (BY MR. SEBY) And did you make that --
           Q. Do you recall an instance where the
                                                            24 that position known within the Administration?
25 President of the United States made a comment in
                                                                            I certainly did not, no. I might have --
```

104:19

-105:

401-

402

16

Page 106 1 this is, again, where Ms. Darcy, being the political 2 appointee, she would have either carried that water or

3 represented our interest back up to somewhere else.

4 And it's probably important to realize, when was that

5 actual recommendation made. Obviously, with pipe going

6 in the ground every single day throughout the summer,

7 had that recommendation been made early on, there was

8 probably more ability to accommodate it. When that

9 recommendation was down to where the pipe was only

10 20 feet, 20 miles apart, then very, very little ability

11 to reroute.

106:12-18,

106:24-

<u>107:23</u>

401-402,

611, calls

conclusion

for legal

701-702

Q. Sure. And were you aware that the Corps' 13 interest or federal interest and involvement in the

14 pipeline only pertained to the federal Waters of the

15 United States for which it crossed?

16 MS. ZILIOLI: Objection; assume facts,

17 legal conclusion.

A. So, I am aware of that.

(BY MR. SEBY) Okay. It's pretty commonly

20 observed by the Corps on their daily SITREPS for the 21 DAPL. It's just -- it's just, you know, there's

22 probably 50 of them where it acknowledges that. So

23 it's not speculative.

Are you aware of -- so if the Corps' role

25 was right there in that zone of federal interest, who

Page 108 1 some-odd percent of the route of the Dakota Access

2 Pipeline in North Dakota was not evaluated and

3 determined by the Corps of Engineers or any other

4 federal agency?

MS. ZILIOLI: Objection; assumes facts,

6 legal conclusion.

A. It doesn't surprise me; but again, it goes

8 back to, we stay in our lane. Whatever our authorities 9 are, that's where we would have a vote. If there are

10 other federal interests that have decisions on those

11 other parts, then that's out of my scope.

(BY MR. SEBY) So are you aware, sir, that 13 with respect to the siting of -- of oil pipelines in 14 the United States, that that decision is left to the

15 traditional land use authority of the state?

MS. ZILIOLI: Same objections.

17 A. I thought there was some federal agency, 18 maybe in DOE, that has some jurisdiction over

underground pipelines that don't affect waterways. But

I -- I don't think that's only a state authority. I

21 thought there was some -- not a bureau, but some agency

22 that has to do with -- I think it also goes back to

mining and ability to do subsurface emplacement.

(BY MR. SEBY) Would you -- would you be 25 surprised to understand that the siting of a pipeline

Page 107

1 decided where to put the pipeline, site it, why to

2 locate it where, and why not to locate it other places?

3 Who made that decision?

A. So I don't know the answer, but our

5 doctrine normally has the applicant figure out what

6 they want to do. And then -- and this is much more of

7 a consultation. So as we talk -- and this is a whole

8 part of the dynamic that we can certainly talk about,

9 but there were many, many different deliberations with

10 the Tribe, and with any pipeline developer, as to where 11 does that route go, what are the ramifications with

12 historic sites, where there's urban areas. So I was

13 not involved in any of that. That probably happened

14 years before I got here.

15 But at some given point, I know that there

16 was a lot of discussions with Colonel Henderson and the

17 Tribe, prior to any of this, as to what their concerns

18 were. And I tend to remember that Colonel Henderson

19 said most of the time the Tribe didn't show up to

20 participate, because they didn't want to look like they

21 were part of the decision. So the best way to do that

22 is plausible deniability by saying, "We're not even

23 going to go."

Would it surprise you that the evaluation

25 and determination of whether to authorize the 90

Page 109 1 is actually conducted by the agencies and authorities

2 of the state within the United States when there is no

3 federal interest involved?

MS. ZILIOLI: Objection; assumes facts,

5 legal conclusion.

6

A. I'm not surprised by that.

(BY MR. SEBY) Okay. Are you aware that

8 the State of North Dakota Public Service Commission, an

independent elected body, conducted a several-month-

10 long adjudication where a docket was opened and the

11 applicant, Energy Transfer, sought a permit from the

12 State of North Dakota Public Service Commission to

13 designate a -- an approved route, after an evaluation

14 of alternative routes and the State-evaluated criteria

15 that are set in the State's constitution and statutes,

16 which define the jurisdiction of the Public Service

17 Commission, which includes the siting of oil pipelines 18 within the State for public interest criteria?

MS. ZILIOLI: Objection; assume facts, 19

20 legal conclusion.

So I've never heard of that agency, the 21 22 Public Service Commission. It doesn't surprise me that

any state would have such a similar capability. I have

MR. SEBY: Okay. Okay. We've now gone

24 no knowledge of anything of what you just said.

```
Page 110
                                                                                                             Page 112
 1 for more than an hour. I'd like to take another
                                                             1 was a -- a response from General Spellmon to General
 2 ten-minute break, if we may, sir, and Ms. Zilioli.
                                                             2 Jackson, that is the -- is the email above, which is
                THE DEPONENT: So let's come back right at
                                                             3 the one I want to ask you about. If you'd read that
 3
 4 the bottom of the hour. How does that sound?
                                                             4 for a minute. It's a short email. If you'd just check
5
                MR. SEBY: Great. That -- that's great.
                                                             5 it out, please.
 6 That sounds good. See you then.
                                                                        Α.
                                                                             (Deponent examined document.)
 7
                THE DEPONENT: Okay.
                                                                             So can you go down one more line -- a
                THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Going off the record.
                                                                couple more lines, please?
                                                                                                                   <u>112:11-</u>
 9 The time is 5:19 p.m. UTC, 11:19 a.m. Mountain.
                                                             9
                                                                             (Deponent examined document.)
                                                                                                                   <u>114:7</u>
10
                 (Recess, 11:19 a.m. to 11:33 a.m. MDT.)
                                                            10
                                                                             Okay. I got it.
                                                                                                                   602, 802
                THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We're back on the
                                                                             Okay. So the -- here we are in (sic)
11
12 record. The time is 5:33 p.m. UTC, 11:33 a.m.
                                                            12 August 22nd and the -- General Spellmon is telling
                                                            13 General Jackson that he has -- relaying Colonel
13 Mountain.
14
                 (BY MR. SEBY) General, we're back on the
                                                            14 Henderson's interactions with the Governor that
15 record after a short break. And I provided to your
                                                            15 morning. And that while the email from General Jackson
16 counsel certain emails. They're -- they're emails that
                                                            16 was concerning the three affiliated tribes land
17 the United States produced to the State of North
                                                            17 transfer, General Spellmon got into the Governor's
                                                            18 position on DAPL and the protests. And here at the end
18 Dakota.
19
                MR. SEBY: And the first one is
                                                            19 of, well, the third week of August, General Spellmon is
20 Exhibit 684, if we could put that on the screen,
                                                            20 telling General Jackson, "In short, his primary concern
21 please, for the General to see and for me to ask you
                                                            21 is upholding the right to peaceful protest-AND-public
22 about, sir.
                                                            22 safety."
                THE REPORTER: And, Mr. Seby, are these
                                                                             He goes on to say, "The common assessment
24 new exhibits or previously marked?
                                                            24 from the field is the Standing Rock Sioux Tribe
                MR. SEBY: This is a new -- new exhibit.
25
                                                            25 protests have crossed the line and are no longer
                                                Page 111
                                                                                                             Page 113
1
                THE REPORTER: Thank you.
                                                             1 completely peaceful in nature." Do you see that?
                 (Deposition Exhibit 684, remotely
                                                                        A. I do.
                                                                        Q. And he goes on to say the Governor has
 3 introduced and provided electronically to the court
                                                             4 made an emergency declaration that provides additional
 4 reporter.)
                 (BY MR. SEBY) General, this is an email
                                                             5 resources to state agencies to deal with the protests.
 6 from Donald Jackson to General Spellmon, dated
                                                             6 It does not include activation of the National Guard.
 7 August 22, 2016. And I just wanted to ask you --
                                                                             And from Colonel Henderson's notes earlier
 8 you're not copied on this email, but I wanted to show
                                                             8 today, you saw where he asked that we -- "he,"
 9 it to you, because -- and, first of all, would you
                                                             9 referring to the Governor -- he asked that we strongly
10 read -- there's -- there's two parts here. I only want
                                                            10 consider denying any permits for staging/protest areas
11 to ask you about the -- well, I think it's fair if we
                                                            11 on Corps property. Then he goes on to say, "I
12 start with the -- the one below, the first email in the
                                                            12 understand Northwest District" -- that would be
13 string. It's from General Jackson to Scott Spellmon,
                                                            13 Omaha -- "has received its first Special Use Permit
                                                            14 application and is working through that process now."
14 copy to John Henderson. John Henderson is removed in
15 the final communication above, but the middle one is
                                                                             So here at the third week of August, you
16 what I want to ask you about.
                                                            16 know, a couple weeks after these people showed up on
                                                            17 the Corps property, the, quote, common assessment from
17
                But here, I just -- just for context,
18 General Jackson is asking General Spellmon, at your
                                                            18 the field is that they've crossed the line and are not
19 behest, it says, the "Chief asked me what position
                                                            19 peaceful. Why on earth would the Corps consider giving
20 Governor Dalrymple had taken on this issue." And
                                                            20 that type of entity a special use permit to be on the
21 that's the -- the issue I think that they're talking
                                                            21 property? Do you know?
22 about is the Corps was evaluating whether to transfer
                                                                        A. So I've never seen this email trail. We
23 lands to the three affiliated tribes, which is not
                                                            23 do have an obligation to work with the -- I think
24 involving the DAPL protest.
                                                            24 several entities all together; the tribal nation, the
25
                But the interesting thing is that there
                                                            25 state, and the federal agencies. We try to find
```

```
Page 114
                                                                                                            Page 116
1 consensus. Just by reading this email, it sounds like
                                                             1 Ms. Darcy, Chief, and Major General Jackson, "First
2 the State is not a fan. I imagine -- because the Tribe
                                                             2 storyboard attached for the Dakota Access Pipeline
                                                             3 issue. Omaha District and Northwest Division [real
3 must have either -- asked for the special permit. So
4 therefore, I'm not sure it's fair to the Tribe just to
                                                             4 estate] were critical to its assembly. We intend to
5 completely deny it. So obviously, at this point, we're
                                                             5 generate [the] daily for now, and will endeavor to do
6 probably trying to figure out how to proceed. But
                                                             6 so by [the] end of the business day. Storyboard
                                                             7 addresses many of the questions being asked, including
7 that's only my conjecture.
           Q. Okay. Here we have the quote, "common
                                                             8 background/nature of the protest, impact to [Corps
                                                             9 property], impact to DAPL construction, location of
9 assessment from the field [that] the Standing Rock
10 Sioux Tribe protests have crossed the line and are no
                                                            10 protests relative to [the] Three Affiliated
                                                            11 Tribes . . ., and [the] way ahead. Thanks also to
11 longer completely peaceful in nature." Are you saying
                                                            12 Colonel Henderson and" -- "for his Commander's
12 that you're -- notwithstanding the behavior of the
13 applicants, that you're obligated to work with them
                                                            13 assessment."
14 towards giving them a permit if they can rightfully
                                                            14
                                                                             So now I'd like to look at the actual
15 obtain one?
                                                            15 storyboard that is being transmitted to you and
16
                                                            16 Ms. Darcy, collectively, the Corps' leadership. So on
           A. I think we have fairness to any applicant
17 to try to go through that due diligence. I'm not sure
                                                            17 this, if we could get to the map.
18 exactly what the elements of approval are for a permit.
                                                                            MR. SEBY: And, Rachel, could you --
19 I think it's key to remember that the line you just
                                                            19 before we talk about the text -- well, let's talk about
20 highlighted, "The common assessment from the
                                                            20 the text.
21 field . . ., " I read that as an extension of his
                                                                             (BY MR. SEBY) You see the -- it talks
22 primary concern, i.e., the Governor; so, therefore, the
                                                            22 about the "Situation" up in the first --
23 Governor's common assessment -- and probably "the
                                                                            MR. SEBY: Can you highlight that for the
24 field" means North Dakota -- that it's not -- did cross
                                                            24 General?
                                                            25
25 the line. So I don't think that's General Spellmon's
                                                                             (BY MR. SEBY) Can you see that, General?
                                                                        Q.
                                                Page 115
                                                                                                            Page 117
1 assessment. I think that's based on the discussions
                                                                            I can.
2 that Henderson had with the Governor.
                                                                            Okay. So here, it talks that the Corps
                                                             3 granted, as in has granted, two Section 408 permissions
               Okay. So you don't interpret the phrase
 4 "the common assessment" to include any federal opinion,
                                                             4 for the DAPL project; already occurred July 25. So
 5 then?
                                                             5 here we are, approximately a month later, and that has
 6
           A. I don't think it does. I think it would
                                                             6 happened. And one gave consent for the flowage
 7 have said something different than what it says here.
                                                             7 easements held at the lake by the Corps, and the other
                Okay. Okay. All right. Let's go on
                                                               provided an easement to cross federal property for
9 to -- the next exhibit that I'd like to show you is
                                                             9 flood control and navigation at Lake Oahe, North
10 Exhibit 686.
                                                            10 Dakota.
                (Deposition Exhibit 686, remotely
                                                                            On August 10th, protesters started showing
12 introduced and provided electronically to the court
                                                            12 up and beginning to interfere with the DAPL
13 reporter.)
                                                            13 construction on private land near Lake Oahe crossing.
           Q. And this is an email with an attachment
                                                               The number of protesters grew to approximately 1500 and
                                                                eventually caused pipeline construction to stop
15 that I want to show you in a moment.
                MR. SEBY: But if we could blow up the --
                                                            16 August 20, due to safety concerns.
17 Rachel, please blow up the "To" and "From" for a
                                                            17
                                                                            And then it says, "Additional Background."
18 moment. There we are.
                                                            18 Protesters set up, quote, spirit camps, plural, on
19
                (BY MR. SEBY) So this is from
                                                            19 Corps property south of the pipeline construction site.
           Q.
20 Ms. Durham-Aguilera, transmitting a Dakota Access
                                                            20 It does not say south of the Cannonball River. It says
21 Pipeline Protest Storyboard dated August 23, 2016. And
                                                                south of the construction site. So the river -- both
22 it's addressed to Donald Jackson and you, sir, General
                                                            22 north and south of the river are south of the
23 Semonite, and Ms. Darcy and Lowry Crook. Those are the
                                                            23 construction site. So that's a very general reference.
24 addressees of the email. And so the transmittal
                                                                            Here, we then go to the map that's
25 message from Ms. Aguilera is short, and it says,
                                                            25 provided as part of the -- the storyboard developed by
```

Page 118 1 the Corps, as Ms. Aguilera described it. 1 graphic shows. I've never seen this -- I haven't seen MR. SEBY: Rachel, yeah, could we blow 2 this storyboard in six years. Now, if it came to me, I 3 that up a little bit so we could look at the legend? 3 certainly saw it six years ago. But you're giving me a (BY MR. SEBY) So there, it denotes the 4 lot, a lot of information here that I don't know if I 5 Corps property. U.S. Corps [Reservation] Project is 5 can quickly analyze what you're trying to -- what 6 noted in blue. And then when we look at the -- the 6 you're trying to show. It looks like there's some 7 inset map on there --7 area, obviously south of the water, that is called MR. SEBY: Rachel, if you could go to that 8 "Camp South." 9 smaller inset right there. Q. Okay. Well, my view, because I'm reading 10 (BY MR. SEBY) -- we see that on the date 10 the legend where it says, "Reservoir Project," it 11 of this storyboard, which is the very first storyboard 11 doesn't say "Reservoir Waterway" or anything like that. 12 that Corps staff -- coming from Colonel Henderson, who 12 And so I -- I believe "Project" is referring to the 13 gets a lot of credit for its development, and the Corps 13 Oahe Project, which includes water and project lands as 14 resources in the -- in the division, real estate 14 part of the project. And so I believe what you are 15 division. So people who know what their property looks 15 being told with this graphic and the narrative text is 16 like have assembled this map and are providing it to 16 that there were camps on Corps property, and that's a 17 you, as the chief, and to Ms. Darcy, as the Assistant 17 depiction of them, camps plural. 18 Secretary of the Army for Civil Works. So a storyboard is a tool we use, put 19 together by my ops team, to be able to give me a 19 And the very first report that you and 20 Ms. Darcy receive about the status of the Corps 20 graphic array for things like hurricanes, tornadoes, 21 property is to note that there is a camp located on 21 and these kind of things. It's clearly the first one. 22 Corps property called "Camp North," and there's a camp 22 Most of the time it's about two weeks into this when we 23 located on Corps property called "Camp South." Do you 23 get everything right. 24 see those? I probably would have asked the same 25 A. So I see Camp North. This makes me think 25 questions you were. Let's blow it up more, let's have Page 119 Page 121 1 that Camp South is on the Reservation. 1 very clear graphics. I think it's -- if you're making Q. It's -- it's not clear, though, is it, 3 sir; because it's right there -- the arrow -- the tip 4 of the arrow is right there on the border. So we --4 I'm not trying to say that -- it's just hard to tell by 5 you don't know that it's on the Reservation, and I 5 looking at this graphic, by some captain who did this 6 in a PowerPoint shot, probably at 3 o'clock in the 6 don't know that it's on the Corps property. Can we 7 agree on that? 7 morning. Q. Okay. Earlier, you told me you had a lot 8

A. So I guess the question is, what's the

9 blue? Is the blue actually the water line? So when 10 you say, "Camp South," by this graphic, it would look 11 like Camp South is in the water.

Sir, back at the legend, the Corps legend 13 says Corps Reservoir Project. It does not say only the 14 water. So it includes the water and the project lands, 15 would be the way I read that.

A. Okay. I understand that. I understand. 16

Q. You disagree with that?

18 So that's probably the boundary of the 19 Corps project. And the water is either -- it's hard to 20 tell. The water is either inside where the dotted line

21 is or something less than that. So I understand it's

22 hard to understand by this graphic. Are you going to disagree with me that

17

24 Camp North is clearly on Corps-managed property? I don't have a clue of exactly what this

2 the argument that this is saying it is or not, I'm not 3 sure I understand the accuracy of these graphics. But

9 of faith in -- in the professionalism of Colonel 10 Henderson. And that includes his -- the nature of his

11 assessments. He didn't -- would you say Colonel

12 Henderson was prone to hyperbole?

A. I don't know what that means.

Do you think he made -- did you ever find 15 him to gratuitously say things or make things up?

A. Not at all.

Q. Okay.

16

17

I also want to clarify, the Corps is a 19 very, very professional place. But most of the time, 20 the first time I either see something or I hear 21 something, it's always the first report. So I put very 22 little credibility into the first things I see. But 23 keep going. I certainly will entertain whatever you want me to try to answer here.

Okay. I just want to show you one thing,

```
Page 122
                                                                                                            Page 124
 1 and that is --
                                                             1 Exhibit 688.
                MR. SEBY: Rachel, could we go back to
                                                                            (Deposition Exhibit 688, remotely
                                                             3 introduced and provided electronically to the court
3 the -- to the narrative text the Corps used on the
4 right side? And come down to "Northwest Omaha
                                                             4 reporter.)
 5 Commanders Assessment." And could we blow that up for
                                                                             (BY MR. SEBY) And this -- this is an
6 the General?
                                                             6 email from Lowry Crook that is responding to an email
                (BY MR. SEBY) So, General, the northwest
                                                             7 from General Jackson, reporting to you and Ms. Darcy.
 8 commander in this instance is Colonel Henderson,
                                                             8 And Crook weighs in that's it's okay with him if -- if
9 correct?
                                                             9 you move to more periodic reports versus these daily
10
           A. Yes.
                                                            10 storyboards. But that's not what I want to ask you
                                                            11 about. What I want to ask you about is General
11
           Q. Okay. So what we're going to read here is
12 his assessment, correct?
                                                            12 Jackson's email to you and Ms. Darcy, where -- if you
13
           A. Correct.
                                                            13 would take a moment and read -- read that, please.
                                                            14 Come down to the body of General Jackson's email that
14
                Okay. So here is what Colonel Henderson
15 says as his assessment. "We expect the size and
                                                            15 begins "Madam Secretary/Chief."
16 intensity of the protests to grow over the next 2 days
                                                                       A. Yeah, got it. I'm working my way through
                                                            16
17 leading into the Preliminary Injunction hearing."
                                                            17 it.
18 That's the September 9th decision that was ultimately
                                                            18
                                                                            Yes, sir. Take your time.
19 made that you won. "The location of these
                                                                            (Deponent examined document.) Okay.
                                                                       A.
20 encampments" -- plural -- "on U.S. Corps managed
                                                                            Earlier, sir, I asked you if you knew who
21 lands -- without a special use permit -- is considered
                                                            21 Mr. Michael Connor, the Assistant Secretary of the
22 trespassing and is effectively preventing DAPL from
                                                            22 Department of the Interior was, and I believe you told
23 working in the area."
                                                            23 me, "Don't recall his name. I just don't know who that
                First report you get, Colonel Henderson is
                                                           24 guy is." And I appreciate that six years later you've
                                                            25 moved on and -- but here, Mr. -- or General Jackson is
25 telling you and Ms. Darcy, we have people camping on
                                                Page 123
                                                                                                            Page 125
1 our lands, in more than one location, and these people
                                                             1 saying that, "Tracking your call" -- he's referring
2 do not have permission from me, the person who normally
                                                             2 to -- the "your" is at least Ms. Darcy and yourself --
3 gives it, for them to be there. Attention, I'm
                                                             3 "call with Mr. Connor following his discussion with
4 reporting that to you, sir. That's what -- that's
                                                             4 Governor Dalrymple."
5 what -- the information that you're provided on this
                                                                            Now that you've seen this and are
6 date, August 24th. And the report comes from the day
                                                             6 refreshed about having had a call with the Assistant
                                                             7 Secretary of the Department of the Interior regarding
7 prior, August 23.
                You, sir, did you not read this? I'm not
                                                             8 his discussion with the Governor of the State of North
9 going to assume that you did; so I want to ask, would
                                                             9 Dakota, what can you tell me about your call with
10 it -- would it have been unusual for you not to have
                                                            10 Mr. Connor?
11 read this?
                                                                       A. Yeah. So I don't believe I ever had a
           A. I normally peruse these documents. The
                                                            12 call. It's not abnormal that when somebody writes
13 volume of what I was running at that time probably
                                                            13 somebody, they put the other counterpart on the "To"
14 never let me have more than, you know, five minutes on
                                                            14 line. So here, I think we're talking about Darcy's
15 some of these. At this point, I would probably have
                                                            15 call with Connor. I think Connor came back alive here
16 gotten a perception that Henderson knows what he's
                                                            16 about a year ago, and I believe that might be the same
17 doing here and will let the staff continue to work it
                                                            17 Conner that's now the ASA (CW). But I don't think I
18 out.
                                                            18 ever knew Connor's name or BIA.
           Q. Did you ever recall you or Ms. Darcy
19
                                                            19
                                                                            So I'm not sure -- I don't think I ever
20 disputing the veracity of the information that was
                                                            20 had that call. And this is a regular update from Ed to
21 being provided to you?
                                                            21 Ms. Darcy, and I would almost consider me as a "cc." I
22
           A.
               I don't think so, no.
                                                            22 don't think I ever acted on this email whatsoever. I
23
           Q. Okay. I understand. All right. Thank
                                                            23 don't know if I responded or not.
24 you.
                                                                       Q. Okay. All right. But the bottom line is,
25
                MR. SEBY: Could we please go to
                                                            25 this reference to "your call," it did not include you,
```

```
Page 126
                                                                                                            Page 128
 1 even though you're being addressed as an -- as an
                                                             1 announced it was going to decide on September 9th. So
                                                             2 Ms. Darcy meeting with the Secretary of the Interior
2 addressee, right?
3
           A. Yeah. Ms. Darcy and I very, very seldom
                                                             3 and then heading over to the White House, is this a --
4 ever did joint calls. It would either be normally her
                                                             4 an indication, do you believe, sir, to the workings of
5 or me. But very seldom did we both have a call. And I
                                                             5 the interagency group that was putting together what
 6 think this is clearly her phone call with Connor.
                                                             6 ultimately became the September 9th announcement just a
           Q. Okay. Got it. All right. Okay.
                                                             7 few days later; notwithstanding the outcome of the
                MR. SEBY: If we could go to Exhibit 689,
                                                             8 court case -- and, geez, you won -- but notwithstanding
9 please.
                                                             9 that, Ms. Darcy made that, was party to -- committed
10
                (Deposition Exhibit 689, remotely
                                                            10 the Army to be party to the Joint Statement on
11 introduced and provided electronically to the court
                                                            11 September 9th with the Department of the Interior and
12 reporter.)
                                                            12 the Department of Justice?
                                                            13
13
                MR. SEBY: If we can come down to the
                                                                            MS. ZILIOLI: Objection; speculation,
14 bottom of the email. That's the only thing I really
                                                            14 foundation.
15 want to ask you about. Oh, I'm sorry, not -- not that
                                                                       Q.
                                                                             (BY MR. SEBY) I'm not asking you to
16 storyboard. We've already looked at that in the
                                                            16 speculate. I'm asking you whether you know that?
17 previous email. Right there.
                                                            17
                                                                            So, I don't know that. But by the way I'm
                (BY MR. SEBY) Mr. -- or Major General
           Q.
                                                            18 reading this, it appears that what I said a couple
19 Jackson is conversing with -- it starts off with Lowry
                                                               hours ago has some credibility. Clearly, the
20 Crook talking about a conversation he had. And that's
                                                                interagency was thinking through what was going to
21 not what I want to ask you about. I want to ask you
                                                            21 happen in that announcement and prepping the
22 about the one just above it, where General Jackson, on
                                                            22 battlefield to be able to react. Clearly, we know they
23 September 5, 2016 -- and I know you're not copied on
                                                            23 did issue a statement, but I would have envisioned that
24 this email, but I'm going to ask you about the subject
                                                            24 they would have an alternative position, given the fact
25 matter.
                                                            25 that the decision was the other way around.
                                                Page 127
                                                                                                             Page 129
                On August -- or, pardon me, September 5,
                                                                             I don't read this to say principals, as in
 2 2016, General Jackson says to Karen, who is Karen
                                                             2 the Secretary of DOI. A lot of times the principals,
3 Aguilera, "Karen, Need an update on DAPL from Omaha
                                                             3 just like how we wouldn't have the Secretary of the
 4 before noon tomorrow. Secretary Darcy has a
                                                             4 Army go into that meeting, I imagine the principal is
 5 1300 Principals Meeting with Department of Interior" --
                                                             5 probably some high-level staffer inside DOI, a
 6 using the acronym DOI -- "and they follow on at the
                                                             6 Ms. Darcy equivalent.
7 White House" -- I don't know what "o/a" means --
                                                                       Q. Sure. So maybe not the Secretary, but
 8 "1500."
                                                             8 maybe the Secretary's Chief of Staff, for example?
           A. On or about.
                                                                       A. Or, you know, I don't know what you said
10
           Q. On or about 1500. "I told the G3" -- what
                                                            10 Jewell's job was. Maybe -- I don't know whether she
11 is the G3?
                                                            11 was DOI. It might have been a BIA guy or whatever it
```

A. So the G3 is the terminology in the Army 13 for the Operations section. So in USACE, that is the 14 same as when I said a couple hours ago about the 15 Emergency Operations section. Ms. Karen D-A, she was

16 the leader of the Operations team. 17 0. Okay. Okay. So here we have General 18 Jackson telling Ms. Aguilera that the Secretary, 19 Ms. Darcy, has a meeting with the Department of the 20 Interior and a follow-up at the White House tomorrow, 21 which would be on September 6th. And, "I told the G3 22 to begin the daily updates once we get word [on] a 23 decision is imminent on the Injunction. Could be as 24 early as Wednesday." 25 And that's right, because the court

18 introduced and provided electronically to the court 19 reporter.) 20 (BY MR. SEBY) Okay. If we could go down 21 to the -- in the chain where there is -- hold up there 22 for a second. What this is is a -- and I don't want to 23 ask you about this, because it's long and has a bunch 24 of things that are not relevant. But there is a -- a 25 document being transmitted by an individual in the

12 is; but somebody obviously important enough to act on

Q. Yeah, yup, yup. Okay. All right.

(Deposition Exhibit 690, remotely

MR. SEBY: If we could go to Exhibit 690,

13 behalf of the Secretary.

16 please.

17

Page 131

July 26, 2022

Page 130 1 Corps, Patrick Seiber, Seiber (pronouncing), to the 2 Secretary of the Army, Eric Fanning, and General 3 Milley. And it's entitled "September 8th MRD 4 [Monitoring] Report." What does that mean, MRD? So every morning, the Public Affairs team 6 in the Army informs the Secretary and the Chief of 7 Staff of the Army of critical articles that probably 8 have happened in the last 24 hours. I don't know the 9 date of this. It looks like -- I don't know whether 10 this is a midweek. If it's a Monday morning, then it 11 would have been Saturday and Sunday. I think it's --12 MRD is something like morning -- I don't know what that 13 means. But the -- Seiber is not a Corps person. Pat 14 was actually the colonel that put this together on the 15 Army staff for the senior leadership of the Army. This 16 comes out every morning at about 6 o'clock or 7 o'clock 17 in the morning. Sure. And the date says, right there,

19 it's on a Thursday. Thursday morning, 6:46 p.m. --20 a.m., so it's early. And what you just said makes 21 sense, that it's a -- a -- it's a -- kind of a 22 chronology of articles that appeared in the media in 23 the last 24 hours, provided as a report to the

24 Secretary of the Army and the Chief of Staff, General

25 Milley. So I don't want to ask you about that.

2 copied on the distribution, but you got it. And I know 3 that, and you know that, because you took it and 4 forwarded it on in the next email. MR. SEBY: If we could go up, Rachel, the 6 next email from General Semonite to General Jackson, 7 copied to some people. 8 (BY MR. SEBY) But you changed the subject

But somehow you got this. And you're not

9 line from that "MRD" line, you changed it, sir, to say, 10 "Lieutenant General Semonite Tasker - Laydown of 11 Protest Site." And then you kept the MRD reference. 12 But you go on to say in the email, "ED:" -- Ed 13 Jackson -- "Please see #4 below . . .," referencing the 14 articles in the -- the MRD report. And No. 4 happens 15 to talk about the title of it. All I want to mention 16 is the title, "WHILE AWAITING PIPELINE RULING, NORTH 17 DAKOTA RECRUITS LAW OFFICERS TO HELP GUARD SITE OF 18 PROTEST THAT TURNED VIOLENT OVER THE WEEKEND." 19 So that's the article being given to the 20 Secretary of the Army and the Chief of the Staff --

21 Chief of Staff. And you are calling out that article

22 and tasking staff to get you a map, "a big map that I

23 can see both the TRAIL of the PIPELINE . . . the

24 3 percent that we control, the actual site of the 25 protests, the 20 mile exclusion zone. I would like you

Page 132 1 to get this to the PENTAGON by 0800 tomorrow and give

2 to ALLAN WEBSTER so I can have at the 0900 session

3 with" the Secretary of the Army and the Chief of Staff

4 of the Army.

So you're -- if I understand this

6 correctly, the next day, you're wanting a map, because

you have a meeting in the morning with Secretary

Fanning and Chief of Staff of the Army, General Milley;

is that correct?

A. So just a couple things. I definitely got this message every single morning. Most of the time the Army doesn't like to put 50 people on the "cc" line, so they put it on a blind line. So I -- I was routinely getting this. Every single day, I would probably forward anything that had to do with the 16 Corps, to my team. I always wrote on the subject line, 17 is this for information, is it guidance, is it a 18 tasker, or is it a calendar issue. So this is very 19 routine of how I work, what's going on. This gives me 20 the impression that I either didn't see that first 21 storyboard or I didn't really read it, because I'm actually asking something very similar to what was on the storyboard. I also remember every single -- I think at

Page 133

1 routine Army staff meeting with the Secretary of the 2 Army, the CSA, and all of the Army staff. So this is

25 the time it was Monday, Wednesday, and Friday, we had a

3 not a special DAPL meeting. This was the regular,

4 normal 9 o'clock meeting. But I wanted to always have

5 a graphic. So at some time during these meetings,

6 the -- you know, General Milley would say, "Todd,

7 what's going on here? See me afterward and show me

8 what's happening."

So Allan Webster was the colonel that was 10 currently in the Pentagon, worked there all the time, 11 and he was my inside guy to be able to ensure the Pentagon leadership understood what was happening. So this is probably exactly as you summed it up; what's going on, give me the map so I can understand how this is playing out.

16 Yup. And I wasn't suggesting that even 17 though you were given the storyboard two weeks 18 earlier -- because that's August 24th and here we are September 8th, by my count, that's two full weeks 20 later -- I wasn't suggesting that you didn't know what 21 it said and the camps and all that stuff. But all I'm 22 saying is that -- and thanks for that clarification. 23 You were bcc'd this, so it was normal for you to get 24 it. But you did change the subject line to add to

25 General Jackson a -- you reference it as a "Tasker."

```
Page 134

1 What is a tasker?

2 A. So the worst thing a leader can do is to
2 stage to be
```

 ${\tt 3}\,$ be vague and ambiguous. So like I told you, every

- 4 single email of mine -- you can go back and look -- I
- 5 would say, "Semonite info. Here is something that's
- 6 happening. It's for your information. Here is
- 7 guidance."
- 8 Okay. If it's a tasker, it means you owe
- 9 me a product. I normally am very clear with the
- 10 person's first name. I normally give them a suspense.
- 11 And all of my staff knew that if there was ever a time
- 12 I gave them a tasker and they didn't understand it, it
- 13 was on them to come back and to ask for more -- more
- 14 operations -- or for more clarity. I was doing about a
- 15 hundred emails a day during this period, or my entire
- 16 tenure, so I had to write relatively short and
- 17 succinct. But that set the conditions for the staff
- 18 now to act on this, so I could then have a product,
- 19 when needed, to be able to brief Army leadership.
- 20 Q. Yeah, I -- I understand. So a tasker,
 21 which is the question, is a directive from the chief of
- 22 engineers, to the addressee; in this case, General
- 23 Jackson?
- 24 A. Exactly right. And I think it's also
- 25 important to amplify here, you know, some people have

- 1 happening. So I want to make sure that I'm setting the
- 2 stage to be able to either advise them what to do or
- 3 make sure that they're aware that something could
- 3 make sure that they're aware that something coul
- 4 happen on their watch.
- Q. Yup, I understand. I understand. I got
- 6 that impression loud and clear from your email
- 7 directing General Jackson to get you a map so you could
- 8 see from that map where certain things were going on,
- 9 right?
- 10 A. Correct.
 - Q. And so you say, "On the map" -- and I'm
- 12 referring to the second paragraph of your email to
- 13 Major General Jackson, you say, "On the map . . . or
- 14 some other GRAPHIC . . . make sure I know WHERE the
- 15 protesters are . . . and WHOSE LANDS is our land." So
- 16 you were trying to assess whether or not protesters
- 17 were on your land, correct?
- 18 A. I think that's what I was trying to get to
- 19 here. And I do much, much better with -- with maps.
- 20 When we talk about graphics, the military uses a lot of
- 21 sketches, PowerPoint graphics. I don't want to use
- 22 words; I want to see a -- a laydown.
- 23 Q. Yeah, yeah. So you weren't expecting
- 24 anything but a visual that -- that answered your
- 25 directive to say, "I want to know where our land is,

Page 135

- 1 different ways of -- of working. I have been using
- 2 capital letters for 20 years. Okay. It's kind of like
- 3 how I talk.
- 4 Q. Yeah.
- 5 A. I want to amplify certain things, so
- 6 that's why you'll see certain words that are out here
- $7\,\,$ so that -- I always try to communicate so as to not be
- 8 misunderstood.
- 9 Q. Yeah. You -- you prefer to be candid
- 10 and -- and clear?
- 11 A. I do.
- 12 Q. Of course. And that's sort of a value
- 13 that is inherent in military command structures, too,
- 14 isn't it?
- 15 A. It is. I think the other thing to note,
- 16 I've been in the Army for 41 years and 4 months. You
- 17 can't be successful by trying to work all actions. I
- 18 told you a couple hours ago, I probably worked about
- 19 5 percent, but I waited for those to escalate to where
- 20 I thought it was at the point that me, as a three-star $\,$
- 21 general, needed to be involved.
- 22 So at this point now, the Army leadership
- 23 appears -- and I didn't read Article 4, I'm not sure
- 24 exactly what it says, No. 4 below, but at some point
- 25 now, the Army leadership is aware something is

- Page 137
- 1 and I want to know where the protest camps are relative
- 2 to it." Is that right -- is that what you're saying?
- A. That's correct.
- Q. And did you get that material from General
- 5 Jackson in time for your meeting on the next day;
- 6 which, wow, was September 9th, an interesting day of
- 7 parallels? Did you meet with the Secretary of the Army
- 8 that morning and give them a -- show them a map?
- 9 A. So, I don't remember that. But these
- 10 meetings were almost always on time, almost never
- 11 canceled. If somebody couldn't go, they would have a
- 12 deputy there. So unless you show me something
- 13 otherwise, I'm assuming I went. And I hate to say it
- 14 like this, but normally when a three-star asks a
- 15 two-star to do something, everybody reacts. I mean, we
- 16 were exceptionally responsive. I imagine they probably
- 17 used a graphic that was in that storyboard as a
- 18 starting point. But I'm assuming that I got what I
- 19 asked for here.
- 20 Q. Yeah, sure. And I can't tell you for
- 21 sure, because we weren't given the -- the information
- 22 from your counsel as to what General Jackson did in
- 23 response to this. We just know that he forwarded it on
- 24 and got -- got the staff busy working on getting you
- 25 what you asked for and --

```
July 26, 2022
                                                Page 138
                                                                                                            Page 140
               Yeah. Mr. Seby, real quick, in case I
                                                             1 just saying, unless you tell me otherwise, it would be
2 need to know, have your staff just scroll down to show
                                                             2 fair to understand that as of the morning of
 3 me No. 4, so I know what's in the context of that
                                                             3 September 9th, you went to a meeting and were armed
 4 paragraph, please.
                                                             4 with what you were asking be prepared for you and the
 5
           Q. Oh, the -- the reference to the -- the
                                                             5 Secretary and General Milley to know, or at least have
   email below that references the article?
                                                             6 the ability and option to discuss, where the protest
 6
                                                             7 camps, plural, were located relative to Corps of
 8
                Yeah, sure. Here we go.
                                                             8 Engineers property. Is that fair?
           0.
                (Inaudible.)
                                                                       A. It is. And I think the other thing is,
9
           A.
10
                We're on our way down. I read it, too,
                                                            10 this meeting was a joint meeting of the military
11 earlier, General. I -- I read the -- the title. I
                                                            11 members of the Army staff and the secretariat. So at
12 don't have the article, so I can't share it with you.
                                                            12 this time, I would have been sitting next to Ms. Darcy,
13 But -- I'd be happy to, but I don't have it. I just
                                                            13 and it was everybody in a big room. A lot of times we
14 know what the title of No. 4 is. And I knew to look at
                                                           14 did our coordination there. So I probably would have
15 it, because your email referenced No. 4 below. And
                                                            15 brought the map out, given her a copy of it, and I
16 unless you tell me otherwise --
                                                            16 said, "Hey, if we get a question on it, you handle the
17
                (Inaudible) they're getting ready for
                                                            17 political side, I'll handle the operational side."
           Α.
18 whatever the decision is, and they're -- they're
                                                            18 That's how we probably coordinated.
19 mobilizing enforcement officers. I got it.
                                                                       Q. Okay. So you -- unless she was, you know,
                Yeah, that -- that's right. That's right.
                                                            20 not there, she normally would have been there, right?
21 So you -- you don't recall this meeting, but do you
                                                                            If not her, then Lowry Crook would have
22 recall General Jackson failing to do what you asked?
                                                            22 been there.
23
           A. I doubt he failed to do that.
                                                            23
                                                                       Q. Lowry Crook (pronouncing)?
                                                                                                                    140:25-
           Q. Yeah. Okay. So --
24
                                                            24
                                                                            Lowry Crook.
                                                                                                                    142:5
               The other thing you need to know is
25
                                                            25
                                                                            Yeah. Okay. I just want to point out
                                                Page 139
                                                                                                            Page 141
 1 although -- these are summary paragraphs. So what
                                                             1 that the -- your request for the -- the tasker request
 2 you're showing me here, down at the bottom of this --
                                                             2 for the map showing the attributes that you requested
3 and maybe you didn't get that in the thing -- the full
                                                             3 was made on September 8th of 2016. And your meeting
4 articles are all the way down there. So this is just a
                                                             4 was the following morning, which happened to be the
 5 rolldown, or maybe that's a link. You click on that
                                                             5 morning of September 9th; which is also a date of
 6 title, and all of the -- so I'm sure I went down and I
                                                             6 interest, because that's the date that the Joint
7 read whatever that entire article was.
                                                            7 Statement from the three agencies or departments of the
           Q. Oh, no doubt. I'm not questioning it.
                                                             8 United States Government chose, after receiving a
 8
           A. If you go all the way down, somewhere in
                                                             9 favorable ruling from the United States District Court,
10 there the entire article is laid out, probably.
                                                            10 Judge Boasberg, denying it an effort to grant a
11
               That's great. And I'm not asking about
                                                            11 preliminary injunction against the Corps -- he won --
12 the article. I'm just reading what you wrote; and that
                                                                that that was the date that the Joint Statement says,
13 is, in response to your observation of that number
                                                            13 "Well, all the decisions we've made to date, we're
14 article, whatever it said, you directed General
                                                            14 going to relook at and decide whether or not we need to
                                                            15 change them or just not allow them to go forward."
15 Jackson, "Tomorrow morning, because I'm meeting with
16 General Milley and Secretary Fanning, I want a map that
                                                                            Same day, Ms. Darcy would have,
                                                            17 conceivably, been shown the map that you asked yourself
17 shows our lands relative to where the protesters are."
18 And you told me that you have no recollection of
                                                            18 to be -- asked that your staff be -- arm you with to
```

19 General Jackson failing to give you what you asked for. 20 It is not -- I would never have predicted 21 that he would have failed to do this. Now, again, we 22 let the staff do all this. So it says, I think, get it 23 to Allan Webster. So I certainly would anticipate that

24 all of those actions were followed through.

Q. Yeah. And I'm not questioning it. I'm

discuss with not only the Secretary of the Army, but 20 General Milley and Ms. Darcy. And all of you, 21 collectively, would have had the ability to know where the protesters were and whether -- and where on your 23 land they were, is what I'm getting at. Is that 24 correct? MS. ZILIOLI: Objection, misstates

```
Page 142
                                                                                                             Page 144
1 evidence.
                                                                             (BY MR. SEBY) Do you know, sir?
           A. So I haven't seen these emails in six
                                                                            So I'm speculating, but I will tell you
                                                             3 there doesn't have -- the renowned expert in water
3 years. But this is normally the way I operate, so this
4 is all very consistent with my command philosophy and
                                                             4 areas is the Corps of Engineers; 247 years, everybody
5 how I do things. So I think everything you're saying
                                                             5 knows the Army Corps crest. I think that this was done
                                                             6 either by mistake or an attempt to give credibility to
6 right now is -- is exactly right on track.
               (BY MR. SEBY) Okay. Thank you, sir.
                                                             7 the document that the leadership of the Corps of
 8 I -- I just was trying to get some clarification about
                                                             8 Engineers, which is a self-contained organization that
                                                             9 works for the Army, is making this statement.
 9 the situation. I wasn't being argumentative or
10 disagreeable to you; just trying to get to the bottom
                                                                             So I -- I don't know where you're going
11 of it.
                                                            11 with it, but if somebody were to ask me, "Are you going
12
                MR. SEBY: Let's go to 494, Exhibit 494.
                                                            12 to allow your crest to be up there, " I would say, "Put
                                                            13 the Army crest up there."
13
                (BY MR. SEBY) Okay. This is an exhibit
14 that is a -- a release by the United States Department
                                                            14
                                                                        Q. Yeah. I just was curious, because the
15 of the Interior, dated Friday, September 9th, 2017 --
                                                            15 title of the statement says the Department of the
16 2016. And it's addressed to Sally Jewell's email
                                                            16 Interior, Justice, and Army, but then the crest doesn't
17 address in the Department of the Interior. SRJ is
                                                            17 match consistent with that. I don't know why, I was
18 Ms. Jewell, her email. We know that from her
                                                            18 just wondering if you knew.
19 deposition. And Ms. Jewell was sent this announcement,
                                                                             So anyhow, and then when you look at the
20 which is a presentation of the Joint Statement from the
                                                            20 Joint Statement text itself, it says -- if you would,
21 Department of Justice, the Department of the Army, and
                                                            21 sir, read it again. I know it's been six years since
22 the Department of the Interior, regarding Standing Rock
                                                            22 you have, probably, but if you would take a moment and
23 Sioux Tribe versus United States Army Corps of
                                                            23 read it. It's -- it's pretty short.
24 Engineers.
                                                                       A.
                                                                             (Deponent examined a document.)
                                                            25
25
                And I was interested in this particular
                                                                            Okay. Go ahead.
                                                Page 143
                                                                                                            Page 145
 1 document, because when you get down below, the -- the
                                                                             (Pause.)
 2 heading on the Joint Statement, if you can see it right
                                                                       A. Okay.
 3 there -- I'm sorry, it's right --
                                                             3
                                                                            So that's the end of it.
                MR. SEBY: Rachel, it's the upper part of
                                                                            So I read that pretty fast. I certainly
 5 the screen now. Right there.
                                                             5 understand the general message there. But if we need
                (BY MR. SEBY) The Joint Statement from
 6
                                                             6 to, we might have to go back, if there's -- if you're
7 the three departments, it says -- in addition to the
                                                             7 going to ask me specifics on something.
 8 Corps -- pardon me, in addition to the Department of
                                                                        Q. No. I just wanted to ask you, do you
 9 the Interior and Justice, it says, plainly, the
                                                             9 recall this now? Up until now, I've been asking you
10 Department of the Army, correct?
                                                            10 about the -- the infamous September 9th Joint
11
           A. That's correct.
                                                            11 Statement. Now that you've read it, do you recall it
                Okay. And so what I want to show you is
                                                            12 as correct with what we've been talking about?
13 why I'm puzzled. If we could go back up to the top of
                                                            13 That's -- that's the statement?
                                                                        A. Yeah. So if you were to ask me an hour
14 the email where the agencies' crests are displayed, we
15 all see the Department of the Interior; buffalo, famous
                                                            15 ago, "What would the statement look like," I wouldn't
16 buffalo, pointing -- pointing to the left. And then we
                                                            16 be able to answer the question. But clearly, I read it
17 see the crest of -- of the Department of Justice,
                                                            17 and I certainly understand and know that this document
18 but -- which matches the title of the Joint Statement.
                                                            18 came out.
19 But I'm wondering if you know the answer to why, when
                                                            19
                                                                       Q. Yup, yup. And I -- I'm just observing
20 this is apparently a Joint Statement of the Department
                                                            20 with you that the title says that it's the Army --
21 of the Army, why is the unitary crest of the Army Corps
                                                            21 Department of the Army. And then in the body of the
22 of Engineers there and not the Department of the Army
                                                            22 text of the statement itself, second paragraph, it
23 crest?
                                                            23 says -- well, the last sentence of the first paragraph
```

24 says, "Therefore, the Department of the Army, the

25 Department of Justice, and the Department of the

MS. ZILIOLI: Objection; speculation,

25 foundation.

Page 146 Page 148 1 Interior will take the following steps." Q. Oh, okay. All right. So you are sending Second paragraph, "The Army will not 2 to those gentlemen, copying a very large group, an 3 authorize constructing the DAPL pipeline on Corps land 3 email from you entitled: Chief of Engineers and the 4 bordering or under Lake Oahe until it can determine 4 U.S. Army Corps of Engineers Update for September 11, 5 whether it will need to reconsider any of its previous 5 2016. And you are reporting on a number of topics. 6 decisions regarding the Lake Oahe site under" -- "under 6 And one of them that you mentioned is, "We're . . . 7 the National Environmental Policy Act or other federal 7 closely monitoring the DAKOTA ACCESS PIPELINE situation 8 laws." 8 and [the] Friday" -- "Friday's court decision." And 9 then you talk about the 1200-mile pipeline and so It talks about the Army this and the Army 10 that. It does not say the Corps of Engineers, does 10 forth. 11 it? 11 So I -- and this follows the meeting that 12 A. It does not. 12 you would have had two days prior with the Secretary. MR. SEBY: Okay. If we could go to 13 Do you think that General Allyn would have been present 13 14 in that meeting you had on September 9? 14 Exhibit 694, please. (Deposition Exhibit 694, remotely 15 A. He normally would go along with 16 Secretary -- Assistant Secretary Murphy. Now, again, 16 introduced and provided electronically to the court 17 reporter.) 17 somebody might have been out of town. But just to A. I think while you're doing that, it's also 18 clarify, every member of the Army staff normally wrote 19 critical to point out that this is what I was a SITREP every two weeks. So I, personally, wrote 20 remembering, where I talked about consultation and the 20 this. And this -- because there's so many on the "cc" 21 need for -- should the federal government reconsider 21 line, that is the Army staff. 22 some of the parameters as to how these pipelines are 22 And it was our battle rhythm to continue 23 approved. 23 to make sure that the secretariat and the generals on (BY MR. SEBY) What do you mean by making 24 the Army staff understood what each of the other 25 that additional comment, sir? I -- I -- I 25 commands were doing. This got to be a lot, a lot of Page 147 Page 149 1 understand --1 reports. I'm not sure how many people ever read them. A. I wasn't sure where I remembered that 2 But this is my attempt to inform Army leadership of 3 coming up, but I think this is what I was alluding to; 3 either good things the command was doing or potential 4 issues that might cross their desk. 4 is that there was some question on consultation with

ND OBJ: Non-Responsive

```
5 the tribes that was introduced into this particular
                                                                        Q. Yeah, yup. Okay.
                                                                            MR. SEBY: If we could go to Exhibit 420,
6 memo.
           Q. Yup. I think earlier you also told me
                                                             7 please. And if we go to the attachment to this email.
                                                             8 It's just a transmittal email. It's got some --
 8 that Colonel Henderson attempted, during the course of,
9 I don't know, a couple years prior to this, to consult
                                                             9 Rachel, if we could go to the end there, please.
                                                                             (BY MR. SEBY) After the social media
10 with the tribes. But they didn't have a lot of
                                                            11 graphics is a letter. General, this is a letter 1 \cdot \pi^{602}
11 interest, did they?
12
               That was my understanding.
                                                            12 going to ask you to please read in a moment, but let me
                Yup. Understand, sir. Okay. We're at --
                                                            13 just introduce it. Letter dated September 14, 2016
14 we're at Exhibit 694, which is a very long distribution
                                                            14 from -- and it's on a letterhead that is interesting,
15 list email from you, sir, dated September 11th, on a
                                                            15 in that it has the congressional delegation; the
16 Sunday. And it's sent -- the addressees are really
                                                            16 Republican United States Senator, a Democrat United
17 only two people, copied to an enormous number of
                                                            17 States Senator, and a Congressman, North Dakota's only
18 people. I only want to point out, one of the copy
                                                            18 Congressperson, Kevin Cramer. And then, also, it's
19 recipients is Ms. Darcy. But the addressees are
                                                            19 joined by the Governor of the State of North Dakota,
20 Patrick Murphy and General Allyn. You've told me who
                                                            20 Jack Dalrymple, on September 14th.
21 General Allyn is. Could you advise me who Mr. Murphy
                                                                             The addressees of the letter are Attorney
                                                            22 General of the United States, Loretta Lynch; Sally
           A. Mr. Murray -- Murphy was Secretary
                                                            23 Jewell, the Secretary of the Department of the
```

24 Interior; and Jo-Ellen Darcy, the Assistant Secretary

25 of the Army for Civil Works. And then if you would,

149:10-

150:14

401-402.

24 Fanning's deputy. He was called the Assistant

25 Secretary of the Army.

```
July 26, 2022
                                                Page 150
                                                                                                            Page 152
1 please, read the letter from the three Congresspeople
                                                             1 narrative is different than the three icons or the
2 and the Governor.
                                                             2 three people in that press release.
                                                                            (BY MR. SEBY) Sure; because the Corps put
           Α.
                (Deponent examined document.) Okay. Go
4 ahead.
                                                            4 its logo up there, not the Army. Does the -- does the
           Q. Okay. Did you see this letter?
                                                             5 Army -- Department of the Army not have a logo?
           A. I don't remember this specific letter, but
                                                                       A. Let me rephrase that. The Corps didn't
6
7 these things crossed my desk quite often. The person
                                                               put the logo up. Whoever caused -- whoever generated
8 that sent this, Jen Greer, was the equivalent of a --
                                                               that press release put the Corps up.
9 she was my congressional liaison. I don't know whether
                                                                            Yeah. All I'm saying is, I don't -- I
10 I'm on that "cc" or not, but I probably was certainly
                                                            10 don't want to speculate why they chose to reference the
11 informed on it. But I think at some point this request
                                                            11 Corps; but so what, it really doesn't matter. They're
12 is what Senator Hoeven and eventually Congressman
                                                            12 asking to meet with the Corps. And Ms. Darcy is an
                                                            13 addressee of the letter and a subject of the request
13 Cramer, who became Senator Cramer, continued to act on
14 through the tenure of my four years in command.
                                                            14 for a meeting, right?
                Yeah. So you read that -- the statement
                                                                       A. Correct.
16 that these individuals, the elected Senators and
                                                            16
                                                                       Q. Okay. So I asked -- I deposed Ms. Jewell
17 Congressman and the Governor of the State, are not
                                                            17 and I deposed Ms. Darcy. I talked to them about this
                                                            18 letter, and neither of them recalled any measure of
18 happy with the September 9th announcement, are they?
               So I'm not sure I see that in there. It
                                                            19 action to acknowledge it to the two Senators, the
20 says that we urge you to follow through on your joint
                                                            20 Congressman, or the Governor. Do you believe
21 release and immediately start thinking cost share. So
                                                            21 differently? Did they -- did they respond in any
22 "unhappy" is maybe a stretch. I will let the letter
                                                            22 manner?
23 stand for itself. But I think the bold letters are
                                                            23
                                                                            MS. ZILIOLI: Objection; misstates
24 relatively self-explanatory.
                                                            24 evidence, assume facts.
25
           Q. Yeah, they are. I agree. "As a result of
                                                                       A. I just don't know. I just don't know.
                                                Page 151
                                                                                                            Page 153
 1 your delay . . ., " so that's referring to the
                                                             1 When we get a letter from an elected official, it is
 2 September 9th announcement, that the final
                                                             2 not our desire, it is incumbent on my staff or my
3 authorization would be withheld indefinitely, is what
                                                             3 previous staff to be able to be responsive and to
 4 they say. So they say, "As a result of that delay,
                                                             4 answer back. So our normal process would have been to
                                                             5 follow up, even if not asked, knowing that Hoeven and
 5 North Dakota is experiencing a strain on its law
 6 enforcement resources." And they go on to say, "We
                                                             6 the rest of the team are asking for a meeting to be --
7 urge you to follow through on your joint release and
                                                            7 certainly be available for a meeting; and probably even
 8 begin planning immediately to cost share reimbursement
                                                             8 to the point of saying, "If you're not going to have a
9 and manpower that will be needed to support [us] . . .
                                                            9 meeting, then you need to -- you need to respond to
10 as [we] continue to provide public safety."
                                                            10 the letter," because I don't want the Corps to be the
                In addition, we want a meeting with you to
                                                            11 one with a black eye of not meeting with these four
12 discuss this matter and work a solution, ". . . as the
                                                               elected people.
13 Administration's unprecedented announcement warrants
                                                                            (BY MR. SEBY) Yup. And I gathered that
```

14 from other correspondence of yours I've read, that that was a stated position of yours. I saw it on several

153:13-

401-402,

<u> 154:7</u>

611

16 occasions. So I -- I appreciate that's your view.

17 Would you be irritated, then, General, to know that 18 nobody responded to -- to this letter and that there

19 never was facilitation of the meeting that the two

20 Senators, the Congressman, and the Governor requested,

21 ever?

MS. ZILIOLI: Objection; assume facts,

23 misstates evidence.

Q. (BY MR. SEBY) If you know otherwise --

A. I think I --

14 further [consideration]." I take that as being -- this

15 is a -- a letter expressing strong concern. Would you

19 note that, going back to your earlier point, we request 20 a meeting with the Department of Justice, that's a

21 political appointee, the Department of the Interior.

22 It doesn't say the Department of the Army. They now

25 of working with the states. But notice how that

23 have converted to the Army Corps of Engineers. I think

24 a lot of that goes back to the reputation the Corps has

MS. ZILIOLI: Objection, speculation.

A. I do. I think it's also interesting to

16 agree with me on that?

17

```
Page 154
                                                                                                             Page 156
           Q. -- please -- please enlighten me.
                                                             1 number of news organizations and out on the Corps of
                                                             2 Engineers website; which, fascinating to me, to this
              I think I would probably use a more
3 politically sensitive word, as opposed to being
                                                             3 day, you can still see it on the Corps of Engineers
4 "irritated." I think it's bad policy on behalf of the
                                                             4 website. Maybe it will now get taken down. But as of
5 federal government of not acting on, in some manner,
                                                             5 today, July 26, 2022, it's still there. And this
6 even to say no, to a request from four distinguished
                                                             6 announces, using very specific language somebody
                                                                decided to purposely use, the title, "U.S. Army Corps
7 people from that state.
                                                               of Engineers grants Special Use Permit . . . "
           Q. Yes, sir.
                                                                             "OMAHA, NEBRASKA - Today the . . .
                MR. SEBY: If we could go to -- to
10 Exhibit 26, please.
                                                            10 Corps . . . issued a Special Use Permit to the Standing
                (BY MR. SEBY) And you're not copied on
                                                            11 Rock Sioux Tribe to use Federal lands managed by the
12 this, but I want to point it out to you. It's an email
                                                            12 Corps near Lake Oahe." So I guess, as misleading as
13 from Colonel John Henderson, dated Friday,
                                                            13 this language that was chosen to be used, it does
14 September 16th, 4:59 p.m., to Dave Archambault, who is
                                                            14 answer the question you asked earlier, "Well, we don't
15 the Chairman at this time of the Standing Rock Sioux
                                                            15 know who the permit was allowed for people to -- you
16 Nation. And it's a -- the subject is "Press Release."
                                                                know, was it just the Tribe, or was it other?"
                                                            16
17 And it's a forwarded press release. We don't know what
                                                                            Here it says in the title and in the first
18 Colonel Henderson is forwarding, other than the
                                                            18 paragraph, the Tribe. It doesn't say all-comers, even
19 attachment. But this was given to Colonel Henderson to
                                                               another tribe. It says the Standing Rock Sioux Tribe.
20 forward, and he did.
                                                                That's the entity that was purportedly given
21
                And it says, "Mr. Chairman, I hope all is
                                                               permission. And it says the -- Colonel Henderson
22 well for you.
                                                               informed Standing Rock Sioux Tribe Chairman Archambault
                "Our staff will be sending you a copy of
                                                            23 that the Tribe's spiritual gathering, located south of
24 the permit by email soon; official copy [will] be sent
                                                            24 the Cannonball River, has been granted a special use
25 by certified mail on Monday." So after the weekend is
                                                            25 permit, which allows the Tribe to gather and engage in
                                                Page 155
                                                                                                             Page 157
 1 over, we're going to send you the official copy by
                                                             1 lawful free speech on federal lands designated in the
 2 certified mail.
                                                             2 permit.
                And, "We will send the attached" --
                                                                             The Tribe's special use permit application
 4 "attached press release out this evening . . . " So
                                                             4 requested to use the lands to the north and south;
 5 while the chairman of the Sioux Tribe hasn't been given
                                                             5 however, there's a grazing lease on the northern
 6 the permit, the press release is going out in two
                                                             6 portion, so we're not giving it to you at this time.
7 hours. And, "I wanted to give you the courtesy of
                                                                             And then it says in the third paragraph --
 8 reviewing it . . . prior to our release."
                                                             8 fourth paragraph, the special use permit allows the
                And then, "Have a good weekend; thanks
                                                             9 Tribe to use the lands, subject to the code. And
10 again for your partnership."
                                                            10 several activities require additional permission;
                MR. SEBY: So if we could turn to the
                                                            11 building structures, temporary or permanent, within the
12 attachment, which is the next page. If you could blow
                                                                area of the special use permit.
13 that up, Rachel, so the General can read it, top to
                                                                             So what I want to ask you about is,
                                                            14 Colonel Henderson hadn't even sent the permit to the
15
                                                            15 Tribe. And the -- the document that he sent was not
           Q. (BY MR. SEBY) This is Army Corps of
16 Engineers. There's that official Army Corps crest
                                                            16 signed. And it says: Chairman, you sign this and
17 again, isn't it? And it's entitled --
                                                            17 comply with the terms and conditions, then I'll sign
           A. True, but this one was -- this one was
                                                            18 it, and then there will be an effective permit.
19 apparently a news release of the Corps of Engineers,
                                                                             So do you think that whoever wrote this
20 not the Department of the Army.
                                                            20 press release got way ahead of their skis and used
21
                Oh, I understand. I understand. I'm not
                                                            21 language that was not correct or misleading, saying
22 suggesting otherwise. This is an official -- it's a
                                                            22 that it was a done deal, when, in fact, nobody had even
23 pretty official-looking news release, isn't it?
                                                                signed the document, and the compliance with the terms
24
           A. Standard format.
                                                            24 and conditions in the -- in the -- in the document
25
           Q. Yeah. And we know this went out to a
                                                            25 itself hadn't even been given a chance to be met, and
```

```
Page 158
                                                                                                            Page 160
1 never were met, weeks later, by the Standing Rock Sioux
                                                                       Q. Yeah. And I'm not -- I'm not questioning
2 Tribe? Do you disagree with any of that?
                                                             2 his integrity. I'm just asking you about the -- the
                                                             3 statements in the document. And one -- one statement I
3
           A. So I disagree with a lot of that. You
4 have a lot in that -- packed into that one statement.
                                                             4 want to ask you about, where it talks about additional
5 And we can take it piece by piece, but just --
                                                             5 permission will be required for activities identified
6
           Q. Yes, sir.
                                                             6 in Title 36; such as construction, either temporary or
7
           A. -- a couple things.
                                                               permanent, or any structures within the area identified
8
           Q. Yup.
                                                             8 in the special use permit. Is it your understanding,
9
                First of all, it says in the third major
                                                               as of September 16th, the date that this statement
10 paragraph, subject to federal rules and regulations of
                                                            10 about additional permission would be necessary to have
11 Title 36. It doesn't say it's -- it's, you know, a
                                                            11 temporary or permanent structures, is it your position,
12 freebie. It says there are still going to be
                                                            12 General, that you know or knew that as of that date,
13 conditions that are in there. It could have said -- we
                                                           13 not a single temporary or permanent structure existed
                                                               on the area identified in the special use permit?
14 like to always keep these to one page. It could have
15 said things like insurance and bonding. It doesn't say
                                                           15
                                                                       A. So I don't know any of that answer. I
16 that. But, on the other hand, it also says we --
                                                            16 would tell you that I think my -- my interpretation is
17 you're going to have to come back to us if you have the
                                                               that Colonel Henderson put that specific sentence in
                                                               there as to not get a perception of a blank check. In
18 desire to have construction, permanent, et cetera, or
19 structures in the area. So those are still things
                                                            19 other words, we're going to allow you to have this
20 that, I think in this press release, are things that
                                                               permit; however, I want the -- in the public release,
21 still have to be worked out.
                                                            21 to say anything you do that has to do with temporary
                                                            22 permit is an additional requirement for you to come
                When it comes to the scope of the
23 Department -- and the way I read this, but it's
                                                            23 back. So I don't know why that was in there, but it's
24 strictly my interpretation, in the fourth line down
                                                            24 probably just to be able to make sure that was clear.
                                                            25
25 where it says, ". . . Dave Archambault, that the
                                                                            I would also predict, and it's strictly my
                                                Page 159
                                                                                                            Page 161
1 Tribe's Spiritual gathering . . ., " I don't know how
                                                             1 conjecture, that we probably got pressure to put this
2 you define that, but at some point, what it is
                                                             2 out within a couple hours -- this was, I guess, sent on
3 attempting to say, apparently, is that whatever that
                                                             3 the night of that same decision of the overturn of the
                                                             4 injunction -- so that we would not have a lot of
4 gathering is now, we're going to allow that to go to
5 this other place. So that must be defined either as
                                                             5 protesting the next couple days. So I guess this is
6 members of a special council or whatever it is.
                                                             6 16 September. But I imagine this is the order to
7
                But it doesn't appear to me to say,
                                                             7 appease the Tribe to be patient, get the permit, and,
                                                             8 therefore, not protest.
8 "Anybody that wants to come protest with us has the
9 ability to do so under this special use permit." It's
                                                                       Q. Are you aware, General, that this document
10 really narrowed down at the very, very specifics of
                                                            10 was written by several other persons not employees of
11 whatever the Tribe's spiritual gathering is.
                                                            11 the United States Department of the Army or the Corps
                And then I think the other thing is, you
                                                            12 of Engineers?
13 made some inference that Henderson would sign it and
                                                                       A. No, I have no idea.
14 then -- no, I quess that -- I don't know how the order
                                                                            MS. ZILIOLI: Objection; assumes facts,
15 of signatures goes. I think what happens is, he is
                                                            15 misstates evidence.
16 sending the permit down. The person that is asking for
                                                           16
                                                                       A. I don't know that.
17 the permit, that would be the Chief, would send it
                                                                            (BY MR. SEBY) General -- General, would
18 back, and then it would be acted on by Henderson. So I
                                                           18 you be surprised to learn that this document was
                                                            19 exchanged and commented on and edited by the Chief of
19 don't want to say that I know exactly what the process
20 is. I trust Henderson. And whatever the rules for a
                                                            20 Staff of the Secretary of the Interior and several
21 special use permit, I would expect him to do it in
                                                            21 staff people in the White House in the executive office
22 accordance with our process.
                                                            22 of the President?
23
                Expectation?
                                                                            MS. ZILIOLI: Objection; assumes facts,
           A. I have no reason to doubt that
                                                            24 misstates evidence.
25 expectation. Henderson is a super solid officer.
                                                                       A. That doesn't surprise me. That doesn't
```

161:9-

161:17

<u>-162:1</u>

611

16

611

```
Page 162
                                                                                                            Page 164
                                                             1 Exhibit 429 on the -- on the screen. If we could go to
1 surprise me.
                                                             2 the email that is attached to that cover email. And --
                (BY MR. SEBY) All right. And the
3 Department of Justice, by that -- by that measure, that
                                                             3 so this is an email from Ms. Darcy, Wednesday,
 4 wouldn't surprise you either?
                                                             4 September 21, 2016. And it's addressed to Major
                MS. ZILIOLI: Same objections.
                                                             5 General Jackson and Lowry Crook. And she simply says,
                                                             6 after General Jackson, in the email below, reported to
           A. No.
 6
                (BY MR. SEBY) Okay. Maybe even
                                                             7 her that the Corps of Engineers had issued the permit
           ٥.
 8 Ms. Zilioli had a hand in it.
                                                             8 to the Standing Rock Sioux Tribe -- and the report from
                MS. ZILIOLI: Same objections.
                                                             9 General Jackson below says that -- this is under the
                MR. SEBY: Thank you for laughing. That's
                                                            10 "Omaha responses" section of the email, the bottom
11 all it was intended for.
                                                            11 there. General Jackson is saying that -- saying
                (BY MR. SEBY) So on this press release,
                                                            12 something that's not in the press release, General.
13 would you agree with me that it's -- it's confusing?
                                                            13 "The permit is only for the south side of the river,
                                                            14 with associated conditions. The Tribe has not signed
           A. So I'm not going to use that word. I
                                                            15 the acknowledgment for this permit yet not met the
15 think it probably was intended to have a certain
16 effect. And perhaps whoever contrived what that effect
                                                           16 liability requirements, so there is currently no permit
17 was, they felt that this language did that. So we
                                                            17 in place."
18 might have done it a little different if, in fact, what
                                                                            And no permit in place is different than
19 you say is true, that we did not write it. So,
                                                               the language that the kitchen of cooks that wrote the
20 therefore, the question is, whoever the authors were,
                                                               press release used imprecisely. And then General --
21 did this achieve their end state?
                                                            21 or, pardon me, Ms. Darcy writes back to General Jackson
           Q. Well, I appreciate that it was intended to
                                                               saying, simply, "So, there's no permit in place for
23 have a certain effect. I totally agree. What effect
                                                            23 this south encampment, even though we announced
                                                            24 Friday . . . that there was?"
24 do you think that was?
           A. Again, I think this was a stalling action
                                                                            So when I asked you whether you thought
                                                                                                            Page 165
                                                Page 163
1 or a -- no, that's not right. This was an action to
                                                             1 anybody could be confused by this, you -- you didn't
2 make -- make the tribes feel that they were getting
                                                             2 answer it. And here, I'm showing you that the
3 some degree of accommodation.
                                                             3 Assistant Secretary of the Army for Civil Works was
           Q. Yup. And, in fact, being told that,
                                                             4 confused by the press release and the way in which the
 5 "We're giving you a permit," right?
                                                             5 cabal of cooks wrote it and came away with a very
 6
           A. Apparently.
                                                             6 different impression than you did when you tried to
7
           Q. Yeah. Okay. And the question of whether
                                                             7 explain what it really meant, when it doesn't say that.
 8 you think it's unclear, or worse, misleading, which
                                                             8 And I would just say that Ms. Darcy is the evidence of
9 implies some intent -- and I don't know that, I just
                                                             9 that confusion, in her own words, so --
10 know that it's -- what I do know is it generated some
                                                                            MS. ZILIOLI: Objection, misstates
11 interesting confusion. And I want to show you an
                                                            11 evidence. Sorry (inaudible).
12 example of that that's not a man-on-the-street kind of
                                                                            MR. SEBY: Great.
13 confusion. But let's look at Exhibit 429.
                                                                            THE REPORTER: Hold on. Excuse me. I
                MS. ZILIOLI: Counsel, I'm going to
                                                            14 couldn't hear you, Ms. Zilioli.
                                                            15
15 object. I believe we're over the four hours. We can
                                                                            MS. ZILIOLI: I withdrew my objection,
16 take a -- go off the record if you want to confirm
                                                            16 because he wasn't finished.
17 that.
                                                                            THE REPORTER: Okay. Thank you.
18
                                                                            (BY MR. SEBY) So, General, do you recall
                MR. SEBY: Let's go off the record.
                THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Going off the record.
                                                            19 a time when the U.S., as a whole, ever made a statement
19
20 The time is 6:43 p.m., 12:53 p.m. Mountain.
                                                            20 that resulted in a deescalation of the DAPL protests?
21
                (Discussion off the record.)
                                                                            MS. ZILIOLI: Objection; misstates
                                                            21
                THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We are back on the
                                                            22 evidence and testimony.
23 record. The time is 6:57 p.m. UTC,
                                                                            (BY MR. SEBY) I'm asking --
24 12:57 p.m. Mountain.
                                                                       A. I'm sorry. I just don't understand the
25
                (BY MR. SEBY) So, General, we have
                                                            25 question.
```

```
Page 166
                                                                                                            Page 168
           Q. I'm just asking you if you can identify
                                                            1 relative to Ed Jackson's clarification. That -- that's
2 any instance when the United States, writ large, ever
                                                            2 all I'm making a point of, and I'll leave it there.
3 did anything, statement or action, that resulted in a
                                                                       A. I would just end by saying that I think
4 deescalation of the DAPL protests occurring for months
                                                            4 where there was an intent to issue the permit, and then
 5 on Corps property?
                                                             5 the question is, was it actually done in accordance
          A. So I think there were actions taken with
                                                            6 with the conditions of the permit, those are two
7 respect to, you know, certain things we were trying to
                                                            7 different things. And I could understand how an
8 do with the State and law enforcement, the National
                                                            8 uninformed political appointee might not have
9 Guard, et cetera, that I think attempted to do
                                                            9 understood the nuances of not following through with
10 deescalation. But I'm not sure that any of those were
                                                           10 the rest of the permit. So I'll leave it there.
11 manifested.
                                                                       Q. Yeah, sure, sure. And along -- along with
           Q. "Manifested" meaning ever actually caused
                                                           12 the thousands of protesters who probably were also led
13 a deescalation?
                                                           13 to believe something that wasn't true.
                                                           14
                                                                            MR. SEBY: All right. That's -- that's
           A. Yeah, actually -- actually implemented.
           Q. Okay. General, I don't have any further
                                                           15 the end of my questions, General. Thank you for your
16 questions for you. And I've understood that all of
                                                           16 time today. I appreciate it.
17 your answers are your answers, unless you choose now to
                                                                            THE DEPONENT: Thank you for yours.
18 clarify or withdraw or add to any of them. Do you wish
                                                                            MR. SEBY: Thank you, sir.
19 to do any of those things?
                                                                            THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Before we go -- sorry.
                I don't. I think it's just fair to say
                                                                            MS. ZILIOLI: I was just going to say,
21 that that last set of emails, there was a lot on there,
                                                           21 this is Erica Zilioli. We have no questions for
22 and I didn't really have a chance to review the whole
                                                            22 General Semonite. We'll read and sign.
23 document. But I -- I think I understand the context of
                                                           23
                                                                            THE VIDEOGRAPHER: And would you like a
24 it, but in no way have I ever seen that document
                                                           24 copy of the video?
25 before. And I did not -- was not able to internalize
                                                           25
                                                                            MR. SEBY: Yes.
                                               Page 167
                                                                                                            Page 169
1 some of the messages that Ms. -- that was underneath
                                                                            MS. ZILIOLI: We -- we just order the
2 Ms. Darcy's note.
                                                             2 transcript. So I'll defer to --
           Q. Well, I would just point out that General
                                                                            THE DEPONENT: Oh, I would like a copy.
4 Jackson's email explaining that Ms. -- that there is
                                                                            THE REPORTER: I'm sorry. Who is -- who
5 actually no permit, because the Corps hadn't signed it
                                                            5 is talking right now?
6 as of almost a week later and -- acknowledging it, and
                                                                            THE DEPONENT: Yeah. General Semonite.
7 they've not met the liability requirements, so there's
                                                            7 If it's available or acceptable, I'd like a copy.
 8 no permit in place. That email, sir, includes you as
                                                                            THE VIDEOGRAPHER: It's if your attorney
                                                            8
9 a -- as an addressee, after Ms. Darcy. And so you did
                                                            9 would like it and . . .
10 get it.
                                                                            MS. ZILIOLI: Mr. Banks, we'll -- we'll go
                And September 21st, whatever you may not
                                                            11 through the U.S. Attorney's Office on any order for
12 have known before then, here was another instance to
                                                            12 that. Thank you.
13 let you know that there is no permit. And whatever
                                                           13
                                                                            THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Okay. Great.
                                                           14 Mr. Jafek?
14 confusion you had, if any, isn't the question that I
15 asked. I was just pointing out Ms. Darcy's response,
                                                           15
                                                                            MR. JAFEK: I think we'll just do our
16 which I don't understand -- I don't take it that you're
                                                           16 standard, what we've done in other depositions.
                                                                            THE VIDEOGRAPHER: All right. We are
17 arguing those. That's actually not what she said. But
18 what she said is, "Really? There is no permit in place
                                                           18 going off the record. This concludes the remote
19 for the south encampment, even though we said there
                                                            19 video-recorded deposition of General Todd Semonite.
20 was?"
                                                           20 The time is 7:04 p.m. UTC, 1:05 (sic) p.m. Mountain.
21
                And my point was, is that the confusion --
                                                           21 We are off the record.
22 I asked you whether it was possible to read that press
                                                                            (The following proceedings were held
23 release -- existed. You had a ready explanation why I
                                                           23 outside the videotape portion of the deposition.)
24 was wrong. Yet, I showed you Ms. Darcy totally
                                                                            THE REPORTER: Mr. Seby, would you like a
25 indicating confusion about what the press release said,
                                                           25 copy of the transcript?
```

```
Page 170
                                                                                                              Page 172
                                                                             I, LIEUTENANT GENERAL TODD T. SEMONITE
1
                MR. SEBY: Yes, please.
                                                              2 (RET.), do hereby certify that I have read the above
                THE REPORTER: And do you need another
                                                              3 and foregoing deposition and that the same is a true
3 copy of the exhibits with the sticker on them?
                                                              4 and accurate transcription of my testimony, except for
                MR. SEBY: Ms. Hymel will help us with
                                                              5 attached amendments, if any.
5 that answer, please.
                                                                             Amendments attached ( ) Yes ( ) No
                MS. HYMEL: I would say yes. And can we
7 make sure the video for our copy is synchronized,
8 please, Mr. Banks?
                THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Absolutely.
10
                MS. HYMEL: Thank you, sir.
                                                             10
                                                                          LIEUTENANT GENERAL TODD T. SEMONITE (RET.)
                THE REPORTER: Ms. Zilioli, did you say
12 you were ordering a copy at this time, or you needed to
                                                             12
                                                                            The signature above of LIEUTENANT GENERAL
13 check?
                                                             14 TODD T. SEMONITE (RET.) was subscribed and sworn to or
14
                MS. ZILIOLI: We do order a copy of the
                                                             15 affirmed before me in the county of ____
15 transcript, yes. I do need to check on the video,
                                                             16 Colorado, this _____ day of _____, 2022.
16 because I don't believe we have traditionally ordered
17 the video. So we'll have to look into that before
                                                             1.8
18 responding.
                                                             19
19
                THE VIDEOGRAPHER: You can always let us
20 know.
                                                                                           Notary Public
21
                THE REPORTER: Would you like another copy
                                                                                           My commission expires
22 of the exhibits with the sticker on them as well?
                                                             22
                MS. ZILIOLI: Can we get back to you on
24 that? I don't know what the standard order has been.
25 I don't handle those. I'm sorry.
                                                             25 State of North Dakota, 7/26/22 (go)
                                                 Page 171
                                                                                                              Page 173
                                                                                   REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE
                THE REPORTER: Okay. No problem. Thank
                                                              2 STATE OF COLORADO )
2 you.
                                                              3 CITY AND COUNTY OF DENVER )
                MS. ZILIOLI: I took down your email.
                                                                             I, GAIL OBERMEYER, Registered Professional
4 Thanks so much.
                                                                Reporter and Notary Public ID 19994012647, State of
                WHEREUPON, the within proceedings were
                                                              5 Colorado, do hereby certify that previous to the
                                                                commencement of the examination, the said LIEUTENANT
6 concluded at the approximate hour of 1:04 p.m. Mountain
                                                              6 GENERAL TODD T. SEMONITE (RET.) verbally declared his
7 on the 26th day of July, 2022.
                                                                 testimony in this matter is under penalty of perjury;
                                                              7 that the said deposition was taken in machine shorthand
8
                                                                by me at the time and place aforesaid and was
9
                                                              8 thereafter reduced to typewritten form; that the
                                                                 foregoing is a true transcript of the questions asked,
10
                                                             9 testimony given, and proceedings had.
11
                                                             10
                                                                             I further certify that I am not employed
12
                                                                 by, related to, nor of counsel for any of the parties
                                                             11 herein, nor otherwise interested in the outcome of this
13
                                                                litigation.
14
                                                             12
                                                                             IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have affixed my
15
                                                             13 signature this 3rd day of August, 2022.
16
                                                                             My commission expires May 20, 2023.
                                                             14
                                                                             Dail
17
18
                                                                             Gail Obermeyer, RPR
19
                                                                             Registered Professional Reporter
                                                             17
                                                                             Notary Public, State of Colorado
20
                                                             18
21
                                                             19
                                                             20 \underline{\hspace{0.1cm}}X\underline{\hspace{0.1cm}} Reading and Signing was requested.
22
                                                                _____ Reading and Signing was waived.
                                                             21
23
                                                                     Reading and Signing is not required.
                                                             23
24
                                                             24
25
```

Case 1:19-cv-00150-DMT-ARS Document 405-7 Filed 02/02/24 Page 45 of 45 Lieutenant General Todd T. Semonite (Ret.)

		Page 174
	1	Errata Sheet
	2	
	3	NAME OF CASE: Plaintiff vs UNITED STATES
	4	DATE OF DEPOSITION: 07/26/2022
	5	NAME OF WITNESS: Lieutenant General Todd T. Semonite (Ret.)
	6	Reason Codes:
	7	1. To clarify the record.
	8	2. To conform to the facts.
		3. To correct transcription errors.
		Page Line Reason
	11	From to
	l .	Page Line Reason
	13	From to
	14	Page Line Reason
	15	From to
	16	Page Line Reason
		From to
	18	Page Line Reason
		From to
	l .	Page Line Reason
		From to
		Page Line Reason
		From to
	24	
	25	
ŀ		